

Table 1: Draft Funding List – with no extra conditions

Disclaimer: The Recommended Award, Matching Funds, and Total Project Cost are all estimated and conditional until final terms and conditions are agreed upon and an agreement has been executed. The awarded grant amount listed in the executed agreement can be less than the Recommended Award amount listed here based upon final negotiations between the Awardee and DWR. DWR staff may determine certain tasks are not eligible or do not meet the requirements outlined in the 2019 Guidelines and 2019 PSP and are subject to change.

Organization Name	Proposal Title	County	Notes	Amount Requested	Recommended Award	Required Local Project Cost	Total Project Cost	Percent Local Cost Share
Alameda County Water District	The Alternative Update and Model Upgrade Project	Alameda	C	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$736,655	\$1,236,655	59.57%
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Carpinteria Groundwater Basin GSP Development	Santa Barbara	C	\$1,942,900	\$1,942,900	\$675,000	\$2,617,900	25.78%
City of Modesto	Modesto Subbasin Monitoring Network Augmentation Proposal	Tuolumne, Stanislaus	-	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	0.00%
Coachella Valley Water District	Indio Subbasin Modelling, Data Collection, and Alternative Plan Update	San Diego, Imperial, Riverside	A, C	\$1,999,998	\$500,000	\$553,998	\$1,053,998	52.56%
Coachella Valley Water District	Mission Creek Subbasin Modelling, Data Collection, and Alternative Plan Update	Riverside, San Bernardino	A, C	\$1,957,281	\$500,000	\$187,889	\$687,889	27.31%
Cuyama Basin GSA	Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Supplemental GSP Development	San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern	-	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	0.00%
Eastern Municipal Water District	West San Jacinto Groundwater Sustainability Plan and Monitoring	Riverside	C	\$1,166,500	\$1,166,500	\$467,400	\$1,633,900	28.61%
Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Authority	Eastern San Joaquin Proposal for Studies and Equipment to Support GSP Development	Calaveras, San Joaquin, Stanislaus	F	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$75,000	\$425,000	17.65%
Fox Canyon GMA	Develop GSPs for the Oxnard Subbasin, Pleasant Valley Basin and Las Posas Valley Basin	Ventura	C	\$854,600	\$854,600	\$1,198,465	\$2,053,065	58.37%
Mendocino County Water Agency	Ukiah Valley Basin GSP Development Support	Mendocino	-	\$1,233,800	\$1,233,800	\$0	\$1,233,800	0.00%
Merced Irrigation District	Merced Subbasin GSP Development Project for Addressing Critical Data Gaps	Merced	-	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	0.00%
Montecito Groundwater Basin GSA	MGB GSA GSP and Sustainability Projects' Development	Santa Barbara	C	\$1,627,205	\$1,627,205	\$542,202	\$2,169,407	24.99%
Ojai Basin Groundwater Management Agency	Ojai Valley Basin GSP Development	Ventura	C	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$133,600	\$533,600	25.04%

Organization Name	Proposal Title	County	Notes	Amount Requested	Recommended Award	Required Local Project Cost	Total Project Cost	Percent Local Cost Share
Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency	Pajaro Valley Sustainable Groundwater Planning Project	Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Benito	C	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$204,000	\$704,000	28.98%
Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority	South American Subbasin Grant Proposal for Evaluating GDEs and Surface Water Depletions	Sacramento	-	\$985,957	\$985,957	\$328,621	\$1,314,578	25.00%
Sacramento County	Cosumnes Groundwater Subbasin GSP Development and Well Installation Project	Sacramento	-	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000	0.00%
San Benito County Water District	Reaching Sustainability: Dedicated Monitoring Wells and Managed Aquifer Recharge for North San Benito Basin	San Benito	A	\$1,170,000	\$1,169,664	\$390,000	\$1,559,664	25.01%
Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency - SCVWA	Santa Clara River Valley East Subbasin GSP Development and Supplemental Technical Studies	Los Angeles	-	\$891,159	\$891,159	\$381,925	\$1,273,084	30.00%
Santa Margarita Groundwater Agency	Santa Margarita Groundwater Sustainability Plan Development Enhancement	Santa Cruz	-	\$644,200	\$644,200	\$226,500	\$870,700	26.01%
Siskiyou County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	Siskiyou County Flood Control Prop 68 Grant Proposal	Siskiyou	-	\$1,635,000	\$1,635,000	\$0	\$1,635,000	0.00%
West Stanislaus ID	Delta-Mendota Subbasin Supplemental GSP Development	San Joaquin, San Benito, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno	-	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	0.00%
White Wolf GSA	GSP Development Activities in the White Wolf Subbasin	Kern	-	\$513,000	\$513,000	\$171,000	\$684,000	25.00%
Yuba County Water Agency	Yuba Subbasins Sustainable Groundwater Management Project	Butte, Sutter, Yuba	-	\$1,600,400	\$1,600,400	\$0	\$1,600,400	0.00%
				\$23,372,000	\$20,264,385	\$6,272,255	\$26,536,640	

- A – Applicant requested more grant funds than they were eligible. The Recommended Award is less than the Requested Amount due to an adjustment based upon previous Round 2 award for GSP development and the maximum award available as instructed in the PSP.
- B – Two applications applied for funds in the same basin and were combined to maintain Round 3 eligibility.
- C – Priority funding given to new applicants who have not received previous Round 2 funds.
- D – Ineligible cost removed from Recommended Award Amount
- E – Ineligible cost included in application, but unable to determine amount to reduce from Recommended Award Amount. Will need to adjust accordingly.
- F – Recommended Award reduced based upon application score and funding available.
- G – The conditional award amount, matching funds, and status of a reduction or waiver in matching funds cannot be determined until the applicants provide additional documentation indicating they meet the requirements for a match reduction or waiver. Applicants either did not provide enough documentation as outlined in the Guidelines and PSP, only provided a map showing the project area(s), and/or the percent of Disadvantaged Areas were calculated based upon geographical area and not population. Applicants must provide the additional documentation within 3 weeks of the date the Draft Funding List is posted to the public to be included on the Final Funding List.

Table 2: Draft Funding List – with extra conditions

Disclaimer: The Recommended Award, Matching Funds, and Total Project Cost are all estimated and conditional until final terms and conditions are agreed upon and an agreement has been executed. The awarded grant amount listed in the executed agreement can be less than the Recommended Award amount listed here based upon final negotiations between the Awardee and DWR. DWR staff may determine certain tasks are not eligible or do not meet the requirements outlined in the 2019 Guidelines and 2019 PSP and are subject to change.

Organization Name	Proposal Title	County	Notes	Amount Requested	Recommended Award	Required Local Project Cost	Total Project Cost	Percent Local Project Cost
Arroyo Seco GSA	Arroyo Seco Groundwater Sustainability Plan	Monterey	B, C	\$553,000	-	\$0	-	-
City of Brentwood	East Contra Costa Subbasin GSP Development	Contra Costa	A, G	\$1,165,200	\$1,078,600	\$205,000	\$1,283,600	15.97%
City of Redding	Enterprise Anderson Groundwater Sustainability Agency (EAGSA) Enterprise and Anderson Sub-Basin Groundwater Sustainability Plan	Shasta	G	\$544,500	\$544,500	\$0	\$544,500	0.00%
Colusa Groundwater Authority	Colusa Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Plan Development	Glenn, Colusa, Yolo	G	\$999,600	\$999,600	\$0	\$999,600	0.00%
County of Madera	Madera Subbasin Domestic Well Inventory and Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Project	Madera	G	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	0.00%
County of Madera	Chowchilla Subbasin Domestic Well Inventory and Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Project	Madera	G	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	0.00%
East Bay Municipal Utility District	East Bay Plain Subbasin Characterization and Data Management	Alameda	G	\$758,467	\$758,467	\$122,000	\$880,467	13.86%
East Kaweah GSA	Kaweah Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Planning Project	Tulare, Kings	G	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$186,000	\$686,000	27.11%
Humboldt County	Eel River Valley Groundwater Sustainability Plan and Monitoring Well Installation Project	Humboldt	C, G	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000	\$0	\$1,900,000	0.00%
Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority	Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Basin - Implementation Projects and Additional GSP Development	San Bernardino, Inyo, Kern	A, D, F, G	\$753,900	\$200,000	\$73,000	\$273,000	26.74%
Kern River GSA	Kern County Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Plan Support - Phase II	Kern	F, G	\$500,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000	0.00%
Lake County Watershed Protection District	Big Valley Basin GSP	Lake	C, G	\$1,392,205	\$1,392,205	\$161,504	\$1,553,709	10.39%
Lower Tule River Irrigation District GSA	Tule Subbasin Sustainable Groundwater Management Planning Grant	Tulare	A, F, G	\$1,500,000	\$700,000	\$0	\$700,000	0.00%
Mid-Kings River GSA	Tulare Lake Subbasin Supplemental GSP Development	Kings	F, G	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000	0.00%
Modoc County GSA	Big Valley Groundwater Sustainability Plan Water Measurement Enhancement Project	Modoc, Lassen	C, E, G	\$987,660	\$987,660	\$0	\$987,660	0.00%
Napa County	Napa Valley Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Plan and Monitoring Well Installation Project	Napa	C, E	\$1,958,500	\$1,958,500	\$678,300	\$2,636,800	25.72%
North Fork Kings GSA	Kings Basin SGMA Round 3 Planning Grant	Fresno	G	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	0.00%
Petaluma Valley GSA	Petaluma Valley Groundwater Sustainability Agency Sustainable Groundwater Planning Round 3 Project	Sonoma	C, E	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$333,333	\$1,333,333	25.00%
Pleasant Valley GSA	Pleasant Valley Groundwater Sustainability Plan	Fresno	G	\$981,198	\$981,198	\$173,153	\$1,154,351	15.00%

Organization Name	Proposal Title	County	Notes	Amount Requested	Recommended Award	Required Local Project Cost	Total Project Cost	Percent Local Project Cost
Salinas Valley Basin GSA	Salinas Valley Basin Groundwater Sustainability Plans	Monterey	B, E	\$2,500,000	\$3,053,000	\$870,380	\$3,923,380	22.18%
San Antonio Basin GSA	San Antonio Basin Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) Monitoring and Modeling Update	Santa Barbara	F, G	\$249,400	\$200,000	\$82,200	\$282,200	29.13%
Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Agency	Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Monitoring and Data Management System Improvements	Santa Cruz	E, G	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$170,000	\$670,000	25.37%
Santa Rosa Plain GSA	Santa Rosa Plain Groundwater Sustainability Agency Sustainable Groundwater Planning Round 3 Project	Sonoma	E	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$333,333	\$1,333,333	25.00%
Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District	Airborne Electromagnetic Survey of the WMA and CMA of the Santa Ynez River Valley Basin	Santa Barbara	F, G	\$296,000	\$250,000	\$98,675	\$348,675	28.30%
Sierra Valley Groundwater Management District	GSP Development and Critical Programs for Efficient and Effective Sustainable Groundwater Management under an Adaptive Management Approach	Plumas, Sierra	C, G	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$538,186	\$2,538,186	21.20%
Solano Subbasin GSA	Solano Subbasin GSP Development and Well Installation Project	Solano	F, G	\$705,000	\$500,000	\$105,100	\$605,100	17.37%
Sonoma Valley GSA	Sonoma Valley Groundwater Sustainability Agency Sustainable Groundwater Planning Round 3 Project	Sonoma	E	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$333,333	\$1,333,333	25.00%
Tehama County Flood Control & Water Conservation District	Groundwater Sustainability Plan Development for the Red Bluff, Antelope, and Los Molinos Subbasins	Tehama	G	\$1,499,200	\$1,499,200	\$0	\$1,499,200	0.00%
West Turlock Subbasin GSA	Draft Programmatic EIR, Groundwater Recharge Assessment Tool, and Monitoring Wells to Support the Turlock Subbasin GSP	Stanislaus, Merced	E	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	0.00%
Westlands Water District	Metering and Advanced Metering Infrastructure Network, and Ground Elevation Benchmark Network	Fresno	G	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$261,000	\$761,000	34.30%
Zone 7 Water Agency (BMS)	Five-Year Update: 2022 Alternative Groundwater Sustainability Plan for Livermore Valley Groundwater Basin	Alameda	C, E	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$166,500	\$666,500	24.98%
				\$29,243,830	\$27,152,930	\$4,890,997	\$32,043,927	

- A – Applicant requested more grant funds than they were eligible. The Recommended Award is less than the Requested Amount due to an adjustment based upon previous Round 2 award for GSP development and the maximum award available as instructed in the PSP.
- B – Two applications applied for funds in the same basin and were combined to maintain Round 3 eligibility.
- C – Priority funding given to new applicants who have not received previous Round 2 funds.
- D – Ineligible cost removed from Recommended Award Amount
- E – Ineligible cost included in application, but unable to determine amount to reduce from Recommended Award Amount. Will need to adjust accordingly.
- F – Recommended Award reduced based upon application score and funding available.
- G – The conditional award amount, matching funds, and status of a reduction or waiver in matching funds cannot be determined until the applicants provide additional documentation indicating they meet the requirements for a match reduction or waiver. Applicants either did not provide enough documentation as outlined in the Guidelines and PSP, only provided a map showing the project area(s), and/or the percent of Disadvantaged Areas were calculated based upon geographical area and not population. Applicants must provide the additional documentation within 3 weeks of the date the Draft Funding List is posted to the public to be included on the Final Funding List.