1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 OROVILLE DAM CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMISSION MEETING 3 FRIDAY FEBRUARY 21, 2020 4 PROCEEDINGS 5 ---000---6 MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you all for being here 7 today. This is the third meeting of the Oroville Dam Citizens Advisory Commission. I'm seeing some familiar 8 9 faces in the audience today, but for those who are here 10 for the first time, this is a body created through state 11 law, thanks to the leadership of Mr. Gallagher, Mr. 12 Nielsen, and our legislature. And that law, 13 essentially, has created this body of local leaders, as 14 well as folks from the state government. And we are 15 specifically focused on ensuring information's provided 16 from local community; from state government, Department 17 of Water Resources, my -- our Agency, the Natural 18 Resources Agency; and to ensure that we can actually receive information from local leaders to really 19 20 strengthen our relationship. 21 My name is Wade Crowfoot, and I serve as the 22 secretary of the Natural Resources Agency. I thought 23 what we would to start is just to have our members of 24 the commission to once again introduce themselves to 25 really -- we know each other now, but certainly the

Pages	25
-------	----

	Page 2		Page 4
1	folks here today. So why don't I start on my right with	1	to flood control.
2	Karla Nemeth.	2	And then looking forward, how we can work
3	MS. NEMETH: Good morning. Karla Nemeth,	3	together to both optimize Oroville to protect the
4	director of the Department of Water Resources.	4	community here, and then also continue to have it play
5	MR. MILLION: Lieutenant Joe Million, Yuba	5	an important role in our state's water supply. So we
6	County Sheriff's Department.	6	will spend a lot of time hearing from our partners at
7	MR. COLLINS: Lieutenant Steve Collins with	7	the Army Corps of Engineers. I first, though, wanted to
8	Butte County Sheriff's office.	8	ask Karla to give us an update on the request that the
9	MR. LAMBERT: Steve Lambert, Butte County	9	State made to the federal government on the
10	Supervisor.	10	reimbursement of costs related to the repairs that Water
11	MR. LAMOUREUX: Eric Lamoreux, Deputy Director	11	Resources have been making on the facility in Oroville.
12	of Emergency Operations, Cal OES.	12	MS. NEMETH: Thank you, Secretary. Many of
13	MR. CONANT: Mat Conant, Sutter County Board	13	you may be aware that Department of Water Resources
14	of Supervisors District 1.	14	after the failure of the gated spillway and emergency
15	MR. PITTMAN: Dave Pittman, City of Oroville	15	spillway and subsequent evacuations, the Department of
16	Councilman.	16	applied to FEMA for reimbursement for recovery effort
17	MS. WIDENER: Genoa Widener, Butte County	17	associated with that project. We did receive word from
18	Supervisor's appointee.	18	\ensuremath{FEMA} just this week that the entire gated spillway is an
19	MR. TEAGUE: Matt Teague, California State	19	eligible expense, which is important. Our total budget
20	Parks' designee for Lisa Mangat.	20	for the recovery effort is 1.1 billion.
21	MR. GALLAGHER: James Gallagher, State	21	We are now eligible for 75 percent of the
22	Assemblyman.	22	gated spillway expenses. We have a little bit more to
23	MR. CROWFOOT: Nice going. And I think we'll	23	do associated with power lines and other aspects of the
24	soon be joined by Congressman LaMalfa. Very excited	24	recovery effort. This is important for the greater
25	that he'll be joining for his first meeting. To start	25	community. The reimbursement by the federal government
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So	1	$$\mathbf{P}$age 5$$ enables the department to do more sooner, if you will,
1 2	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand.	1 2	Page 5 enables the department to do more sooner, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of
1 2 3	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)	1 2 3	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing.
1 2 3 4	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our	1 2 3 4	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission
1 2 3 4 5	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in	1 2 3 4 5	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body,	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of the public to share their perspective and also ask	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last meeting.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of the public to share their perspective and also ask questions that technical leads at the Department of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last meeting. MR. GALLACHER: Yeah, thank you, Director.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of the public to share their perspective and also ask questions that technical leads at the Department of Water Resources were able to answer. In our third	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last meeting. MR. GALLAGHER: Yeah, thank you, Director. And this, again, it's great to have everybody back here
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of the public to share their perspective and also ask questions that technical leads at the Department of Water Resources were able to answer. In our third meeting today a major area of focus will be in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last meeting. MR. GALLACHER: Yeah, thank you, Director. And this, again, it's great to have everybody back here together again. You know, looking forward to some of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of the public to share their perspective and also ask questions that technical leads at the Department of Water Resources were able to answer. In our third meeting today a major area of focus will be in understanding the partnership that we have with the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last meeting. MR. GALLACHER: Yeah, thank you, Director. And this, again, it's great to have everybody back here together again. You know, looking forward to some of the discussion about, you know, the partnership with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 3 our meeting, let us recite the pledge of allegiance. So if you'd stand. (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) MR. CROWFOOT: So as I mentioned, this is our third meeting. Our first meeting took place in October and was really focused on creating this body, discussing how we'd operate and conduct business, and then starting to understand more about Oroville and the role that it plays in the state's water system. At each meeting we also have an opportunity to hear public comment, which is very important. So we heard public at that first meeting which took place in November. We finalized the charter, essentially the body of rules that govern how we operate. And then we got a much deeper presentation from the Department of Water Resources on how it operates Oroville, both for flood control and water supply. And that provided an opportunity for members of the public to share their perspective and also ask questions that technical leads at the Department of Water Resources were able to answer. In our third meeting today a major area of focus will be in understanding the partnership that we have with the Federal Army Corps of Engineers to really understand the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 5 enables the department to do more sconer, if you will, to make sure that our efforts to improve the safety of the Oroville Dam and its pertinences is progressing. And that is certainly a big part of why this commission was formed, was to get us on a better footing into the future after the incident in 2017, and I'm delighted to report that those dollars are coming. And I just want to thank everyone in the community. And local leadership, who has been very helpful in impressing upon the federal government around the importance of the FEMA reimbursement dollars. So that's some good news for all of us. MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Assemblymember Gallagher, as I mentioned, was one of the guiding forces in the establishment of this commission, so we like, at the beginning of each meeting, to hear from him and Senator Nielsen on any sort of opening remarks or observations since your last meeting. MR. GALLAGHER: Yeah, thank you, Director. And this, again, it's great to have everybody back here together again. You know, looking forward to some of the discussion about, you know, the partnership with Army Corps of Engineers. And one of the things that

1	Page 6 you know, aftermath of the Oroville Dam incident is	1	Page 8 there's always things. But anyway, (unintelligible) so
2	forecast-based operations and trying to work towards,	2	we have a lot of great friends and allies in this as
3	you know, a more modernized way of managing water, and	3	well. So I'm going to keep it short. Basically
4	managing for a flood.	4	everything he just said. But I'm also pleased that, at
5	You know, in the modern era, you know, we've	5	the federal level, we're able to come through even
6	been using a manual that, you know, was first you	6	stronger than I anticipated that we could do here.
7	know, first came together and first established in the	7	So, you know, I kind of had the idea it might
8	1950s. And so and based, you know, on some of the	8	be a little lower ceiling, but in that it's going to be
9	data that we had seen and understood at the time, now we	9	looking like \$750 million towards the reconstruction;
10	know a lot more. And we know that those that we are	10	that's pretty exciting. And so I think that gives us a
11	getting actually more surges of water at different times	11	lot more lateral moves that we can be doing as a state,
12	that are obviously concerning. So, you know, obviously,	12	for the projects that need to be continuing to get
13	that's that's a big concern is getting towards the	13	rigged around the state to catch up with safety on
14	forecast-based operations and finding ways to modernize	14	the a lot better projects. And also, we can remember
15	that manual.	15	that there's a lot of local recreation that no dollars
16	And also, you know, we continue to do the work	16	are going to be freed up for to help with the original
17	with the ad hoc advisory committee regarding the	17	promise or implications going back to the '60s; it's
18	comprehensive needs assessment at the dam and	18	very important that Oroville and Butte County areas.
19	identifying infrastructure improvements that would	19	So if we can, you know, light up that
20	increase the safety, the overall safety, and reliability	20	discussion and keep things going forward on what is
21	of Oroville Dam. There's been some very goods	21	needed right here so that's more possible. Plus the
22	discussions there, and, you know, looking forward to	22	since we're a little more flush, we can also continue
23	the, you know, the final outcome of that, we've got	23	talking about the upgrade to Highway 70 and Highway 99.
24	some both the senator and I have had some very good	24	I know those are different parts, but, you know, tax
25	discussions in that ad hoc; some of the members are part	25	payers look at it all as the same pocket. Anyway, these
1	Page 7	1	Page 9
T	of this commission as well.		are all things that are important to our area here. So
2	And obviously, our goal really being we want		with that I'm looking forward to the discussion today,
2 1	cortainly there's been a lot of progress there but we		important
5	want to look the at the entire complex in making sure	5	Ind we'll bring the heat in on the flood
6	that we are where we need to be from a safety	6	control aspects But also when you you guys are
7	standpoint, and a flood control standpoint. So with	7	probably tired of hearing me say it, but the balance
8	that, I'm looking forward to the discussion this	8	between flood control and how we're going to keep our
9	morning. Thank you again for all the partners who	9	lake full you know having never dynamidg. James was
10	5 1 5 1		TAKE TUIT, YOU MIDW, HAVING NEWEL UYNAMILES. UAMES WAS
11	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also	10	talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as
T T	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal	10 11	talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing
12	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning.	10 11 12	talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded
12 13	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the	10 11 12 13	take full, you know, naving newel dynamics. banks was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look
11 12 13 14	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal	10 11 12 13 14	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. balles was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and
12 13 14 15	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us	10 11 12 13 14 15	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. Games was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by.
12 13 14 15 16	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And
12 13 14 15 16 17	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. Some was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. balles was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these issues; fighting for us at the federal level. And so	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. Some was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the improvement. I think we're very thankful to both FEMA
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these issues; fighting for us at the federal level. And so maybe that'll I might turn it over, if you'd like to,</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. Some was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the improvement. I think we're very thankful to both FEMA and to you and other leaders of the delegation for the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these issues; fighting for us at the federal level. And so maybe that'll I might turn it over, if you'd like to, Congressman, to address this a little bit. But looking	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. balles was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the improvement. I think we're very thankful to both FEMA and to you and other leaders of the delegation for the news that came through just this week that Karla just
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these issues; fighting for us at the federal level. And so maybe that'll I might turn it over, if you'd like to, Congressman, to address this a little bit. But looking forward to this meeting. Thank you.</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. banks was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the improvement. I think we're very thankful to both FEMA and to you and other leaders of the delegation for the news that came through just this week that Karla just summarized. Just by way of explanation, this body of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these issues; fighting for us at the federal level. And so maybe that'll I might turn it over, if you'd like to, Congressman, to address this a little bit. But looking forward to this meeting. Thank you. MR. LAMALFA: Thank you, James. It's so good 2</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. banks was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the improvement. I think we're very thankful to both FEMA and to you and other leaders of the delegation for the news that came through just this week that Karla just summarized. Just by way of explanation, this body of local leaders and state agency leaders was put together
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 4 25	<pre>continue to be very much engaged in this. And I also especially want to thank the director for his personal engagement on this from the very beginning. And Karla Nemeth, the director of the Department of Water Resources, giving their personal attention. And it is my great honor to have with us this morning Congressman Doug LaMalfa who I've worked with for many years. I actually worked for him at one time. And but always been very much engaged on these issues; fighting for us at the federal level. And so maybe that'll I might turn it over, if you'd like to, Congressman, to address this a little bit. But looking forward to this meeting. Thank you. MR. LAMALFA: Thank you, James. It's so good 2 to see you here. And you probably are better to be on the set of the s</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	take full, you know, naving newer dynamics. banks was talking about that as far as how we can keep the lake as full of possible but with the safety factor in needing to do so. So, you know, more modernized and upgraded forecasting and et cetera. But we know that, and I look forward to discussion. So thank you for having me and Bill to come by. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Congressman. And thank you for your leadership and partnership in terms of getting that federal reimbursement for the improvement. I think we're very thankful to both FEMA and to you and other leaders of the delegation for the news that came through just this week that Karla just summarized. Just by way of explanation, this body of local leaders and state agency leaders was put together as a result, of course, of the emergency that we

Pages	10.	.13
- ugeb	10.	10

	Dogo 10		Dogo 12
1	And we in state government knew that we had to	1	government that the people not knowing what was going
2	do better in terms of explaining how this facility's	2	on. And in this case, it was a very good example with
3	operated and how we're going to keep people safe in this	3	the failure of the spillway. But they have been so
4	community. And then Senator Nielsen and Assemblymember	4	attentive to allowing public citizens to this venue by
5	Gallagher, through a law change, institutionalized this	5	supporting the legislation that James and I worked on,
6	body to make sure that there's good information flowing,	6	and then setting this up.
7	and we're collectively moving forward. So we're our	7	And the secretary put in his very valuable
8	third meeting now on that. So next in our agenda I'll	8	personal sometime into this. And I'll tell you, I'm
9	just give a brief update on what we achieved at this	9	involved in a lot of issues; Wade is everywhere in
10	last meeting. I'll note that out charter again, is	10	California. We were just in committee, I think it was
11	this collective set of rules that bring our how	11	yesterday or the day before; I can't even remember. And
12	govern ourselves has been finalized.	12	a couple things I do what to bring to your attention
13	We have information, including meeting agendas	13	that does warrant our attention. Though it doesn't
14	and meeting minutes from the last meeting on our website	14	relate to Oroville Dam, it relates to the state water
15	from the California Natural Resources Agency. So that	15	project and about everything else that's going on; it's
16	home page is like a one stop shop for all information on	16	homeless. Now, that's a very high priority. But it
17	this commission. I will also mention that at our last	17	does affect us as well.
18	meeting we discussed the \$5 million grant project for	18	The encampments along out waterways have
19	sediment removal in the Feather River. And the good	19	become a problem. The degradation of our levees? Most
20	update, I want to let everybody know that this grant	20	assuredly. And pollution of our waterways. And James
21	agreement has been signed with the Sutter Butte Flood	21	and I are working on some legislation related to that
22	Control Agency. So progress there.	22	right now. I know some of our local governments are
23	And we'll continue to keep the commission	23	attending to it. But it is part and parcel of our
24	updated as that work moves forward. So let's shift into	24	future and things that we're going to need to do in the
25	our third item on the agenda, which is our discussion	25	future to maintain all of this. Again, I've just been
1	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we	1	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it.
1 2	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really	1	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal
1 2 3	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps	1 2 3	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And. Karla, how are you?
1 2 3 4	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working	1 2 3 4	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to	1 2 3 4 5	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of this commission.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of Engineers as it pertains to flood control operations in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of this commission. MR. NIELSEN: I will catch my breath and thank	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of Engineers as it pertains to flood control operations in Northern California. As I was introduced, my name is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of this commission. MR. NIELSEN: I will catch my breath and thank you. You know, folks, it's really moving for me to see	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of Engineers as it pertains to flood control operations in Northern California. As I was introduced, my name is Joe Forbis. I've been with the Corps of Engineers
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of this commission. MR. NIELSEN: I will catch my breath and thank you. You know, folks, it's really moving for me to see this. And I want to commend the secretary for his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of Engineers as it pertains to flood control operations in Northern California. As I was introduced, my name is Joe Forbis. I've been with the Corps of Engineers coming on nine years now. I've been the chief of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of this commission. MR. NIELSEN: I will catch my breath and thank you. You know, folks, it's really moving for me to see this. And I want to commend the secretary for his attentiveness of the agency to this, and the governor as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of Engineers as it pertains to flood control operations in Northern California. As I was introduced, my name is Joe Forbis. I've been with the Corps of Engineers coming on nine years now. I've been the chief of the water management section for nearly four years. I was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 11 with the Army Corps of Engineers. And as as we talked about at the last two meetings, we're really interested in closer work together with the Army Corps of Engineers to build a really strong working relationship, and the congressman and the law office to really understand how the facility's at Oroville can be optimized to maintain public safety, to control for flood, and also to supply benefit. So we're excited to have Mr. Joe Forbis from the Army Corps Sacramento District, water management section chief, who is one of the leaders of the Army Corps in our region. And I might before you before I ask you to start on your presentation, I've just welcomed Senator Nielson. MR. NIELSEN: Hey, how are you? MR. CROWFOOT: I'm good. We'll we've got a space for you right there. Senator, welcome any opening thoughts you have as we jump into our third meeting of this commission. MR. NIELSEN: I will catch my breath and thank you. You know, folks, it's really moving for me to see this. And I want to commend the secretary for his attentiveness of the agency to this, and the governor as well. The situation we're dealing here is very great	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 13 so humbled, absolutely humbled to see the success of it. Mr. Secretary, thank you very much for your personal attention. And, Karla, how are you? MS. NEMETH: Good to see you. MR. NIELSEN: Karla Nemeth has been doing a fine job for these folks. Thank you. I'm glad to be here with you. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Senator. So Mr. Forbis is going to start with the presentation, and then we'll have an opportunity for questions and answers our commission. And thank you in advance, also, for sticking around for public comment. So if members of the community in public comment have questions for Mr. Forbis of the Army Corps, he's generously offered to stick around to be able to answer those as well. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you, Commission, for the invitation to come here and speak about what we do at the Army Corps of Engineers as it pertains to flood control operations in Northern California. As I was introduced, my name is Joe Forbis. I've been with the Corps of Engineers coming on nine years now. I've been the chief of the water management section for nearly four years. I was in that position for roughly four months before

	Page 14		Page 16
1	well very quickly.	1	operated by other entities, like DWR with Oroville. We
2	And so just give you a quick little background	2	call those, those are termed as Section 7 dams.
3	of why I'm here today is that what my team does is	3	I'll in this slide upcoming I'll show you
4	we're involved in the oversight of flood control	4	why that is. But you can see that two-thirds of the
5	operations within our district boundaries. So I'm going	5	reservoirs that we are involved in the flood operations
6	to go a little bit into, like, what Sacramento District	6	for aren't owned or operated directly by the Corps of
7	looks like, how we fit in the bigger picture, what our	7	Engineers, it's done by others, per the rules that the
8	roles and authorities are, and, like, why we do what we	8	Corps of Engineers, at one time or another, have
9	do, what our purpose is here. Then I'll shift into	9	established. And so just to give you a sense of the
10	something that were mentioned already this morning about	10	range of size of the reservoirs that we track here, the
11	the water control manuals, what they are, how you go	11	largest one within our footprint, within our district,
12	about updating them. And then diving into an example of	12	is Shasta, a little more than four-and-a-half million
13	a recent one we've updated for Folsom Dam, which I think	13	acre-feet [sic.] Oroville, actually, is the second
14	is a really good template or example to look at for here	14	largest and one that's local, a little more than
15	at Oroville.	15	three-and-a-half million acre-feet. They can range in
16	There's a lot of similarities and some lessons	16	size all the way down to just a little over 3.000
17	learned that we can gain from the experience that we had	17	acre-feet.
18	in updating Folsom's water control manual. And then	18	One of the reservoirs in Utah that's owned and
19	lastly. I have a few slides just talking about the	19	operated by the City of IItab there one of their
20	forecasting form for operations program. I believe it's	20	municipalities, it's only 3,000 thousand acre-feet.
21	been talked about here before so I think some of you	21	which you can see has probably different impacts than
22	are familiar but I'll just give you a recent undate on	22	what would be done here to reservoirs like Shasta or
22	the progress there And I welcome questions from the	23	Oroville So there's a wide variety or a lot of
24	commission of course so if you need to interrupt while	24	regional differences differences between the watersheds
25	I'm talking and ask me something to clarify something	25	and what's needed and what's provided by those
25	I in carking and ask me something to charing something,	25	and what is needed, and what is provided by chose
	Page 15		Page 17
1	Page 15 please do so.	1	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of
1 2	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm	1 2	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also
1 2 3	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no	1 2 3	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform
1 2 3 4	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about.	1 2 3 4	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of
1 2 3 4 5	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions	1 2 3 4 5	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 15 please do so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the bigger ones in our agency. And to show you exactly how	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they have other purposes, as you're aware of.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the bigger ones in our agency. And to show you exactly how that comes about for the like, which reservoirs we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they have other purposes, as you're aware of. The state water project that supplies water
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the bigger ones in our agency. And to show you exactly how that comes about for the like, which reservoirs we have authority of within terms of their operations.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they have other purposes, as you're aware of. The state water project that supplies water for irrigation, water supply, hydro power, recreation;
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the bigger ones in our agency. And to show you exactly how that comes about for the like, which reservoirs we have authority of within terms of their operations. There within the Sacramento District, there are 45	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they have other purposes, as you're aware of. The state water project that supplies water for irrigation, water supply, hydro power, recreation; it's a balance that has to be set. In different times
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the bigger ones in our agency. And to show you exactly how that comes about for the like, which reservoirs we have authority of within terms of their operations. There within the Sacramento District, there are 45 reservoirs that have a valve (unintelligible) flood	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they have other purposes, as you're aware of. The state water project that supplies water for irrigation, water supply, hydro power, recreation; it's a balance that has to be set. In different times of year, different purposes take precedent, but we need
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 15 please do so. I want to make sure that the information I'm sharing comes across as clearly as possible, and no one's left wondering what the heck Joe is talking about. MR. CROWFOOT: Good. So if you have questions or want some clarification, just raise a hand or, per his invitation, just butt in. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Yes, thank you. So to start off, let me get this oriented correctly. The Corps of Engineer is divided up into different divisions, like, kind of regions, and we are located in the South Pacific Division. So I have a map here that I wanted to show, like, what makes up our division. The one that's in the pink-red color, that is the Sacramento District. So you can see we're located in Sacramento, but it extends pretty far out to the east to cover more than just part of California. And in terms of land mass, we're one of the bigger ones in our agency. And to show you exactly how that comes about for the like, which reservoirs we have authority of within terms of their operations. There within the Sacramento District, there are 45 reservoirs that have a valve (unintelligible) flood control purpose; 14 of them are owned and operated by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 17 reservoirs. So it's not a one-size-fits-all kind of thing that we deal with within our district. I also wanted to touch on that it's the job that we perform with the Corps of Engineers in Sacramento in terms of water management isn't done in a vacuum, and it's not done just ourselves. We rely on the partnerships that we have with multiple different group or entities in order to do so effectively. It can be with irrigation districts, flood control districts, federal water masters have a significant role in for some of the projects that we manage. And, of course, other government agencies like DWR or the bureau proclamation. We have to work together in order to to do the best job possible in balancing not just the flood operations, but also the other purposes that those reservoirs and dams fulfill. There's more a lot of these reservoirs, actually most of them, are more than just flood control projects; they have other purposes, as you're aware of. The state water project that supplies water for irrigation, water supply, hydro power, recreation; it's a balance that has to be set. In different times of year, different purposes take precedent, but we need to be keep all of those purposes in mind whenever

Pages 18..21

	Page 18		Page 20
1	release and when from those projects. So I mentioned	1	(unintelligible) like Oroville, establishing set of
2	before that the dams or the reservoirs that aren't owned	2	rules that are to be followed and then coordinated
3	or operated by the Corps of Engineers, but we have a	3	between your two agencies and the execution of those
4	role and authority in their operations board called the	4	rules. So depending on the project, the location, a lot
5	Section 7 dams or Section 7 projects.	5	of factors; the size of that flood control space may
6	That's ties to, or that's because of the 1944	6	vary throughout the year for different reasons. But
7	Flood Control Act, where, in Section 7, it specifies	7	it's just that space that the Corps of Engineers has
8	at the time I think they called them secretary	8	the that implements their authority. Above that
9	referred to as Secretary of War. But it's essentially	9	space, we designate that the surcharge pool where
10	the it's been delegated down to the chief of engineer	10	that that's the space between, typically, the top of
11	of the Army Corps of Engineers, the responsibility to	11	what you would consider a 100 percent full, or gross
12	prescribe the flood control operations and regulations	12	pool, all the way to the top of the dam. And in that
13	for projects that, one, have an authorized flood control	13	space, when operation decisions are being made, dam
14	purpose, and two, either wholly or in part, where the	14	safety is the paramount of motivation for the decision
15	construction was funded using federal funds. So those	15	making, because they're getting close to the top.
16	two things have to be true in order for the Corps of	16	Most dams are not designed to flow over the
17	Engineers, through this authority, to have any sort of	17	top. Some are. Some thin, concrete arch dams are, but
18	role in prescribing how that project will be operated	18	for the most part, dams are not designed that way. So
19	for flood control purposes.	19	actually, the responsibility of operations in that
20	So there could be other projects that have the	20	surcharge zone is the dam owner and operator because
21	flood control purpose, but if it wasn't funded through	21	they're the ones they're they party responsible for
22	federal funds, then we won't be required to prescribe	22	the dam safety of the projects it doesn't mean that the
23	direct relations in that scenario. So to tie it to	23	Corps hasn't established guidance or rules to follow to
24	Oroville specifically, there's a contract and agreement	24	manage that effectively, but the ultimate decision is
25	that was that was established in the early '60s that	25	still left with the dam owner and operator. So how that
	-		-
1	Page 19	1	Page 21
1	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to	1	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR_NIELSEN: On that point
1 2 3	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville	1 2 3	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes.
1 2 3 4	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I	1 2 3 4	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my
1 2 3 4 5	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more	1 2 3 4 5	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective: is that viable reservation?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning no other diversion can come from that amount of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water I think we said what? 750 000 acre-feet that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that 's got to remain there stationary for flood control
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on like what I'm talking about	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage gones or pools. So here I have a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Orrowille the amount that is required varies throughout
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and L can show you yisually in a counte slides
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left and the space behind the dam is proken up into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on not just time of year
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones: the bottom one water	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation water sumply pool. I thick you all ere	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain more water but it also
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation, water supply pool. I think you all are fairly familiar with what that water are used for and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain, more water but it also varies based on essentially a promoter that is used
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation, water supply pool. I think you all are fairly familiar with what that water can used for, and what it's used for especially at Oroville	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain, more water but it also varies based on essentially a parameter that is used to as a provy for identifying how yet the untersched
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation, water supply pool. I think you all are fairly familiar with what that water can used for, and what it's used for, especially at Oroville.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain, more water but it also varies based on essentially a parameter that is used to as a proxy for identifying how wet the watershed is _So the watter the watershed is _the more that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation, water supply pool. I think you all are fairly familiar with what that water can used for, and what it's used for, especially at Oroville. Above that is a flood control pool, or a flood control zone. That it's just that zone that the Correc	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain, more water but it also varies based on essentially a parameter that is used to as a proxy for identifying how wet the watershed is. So the wetter the watershed is, the more that future rain will turn directly into rumoff and their
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation, water supply pool. I think you all are fairly familiar with what that water can used for, and what it's used for, especially at Oroville. Above that is a flood control pool, or a flood control zone. That, it's just that zone that the Corps of Engineers regulates either at our our dams by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain, more water but it also varies based on essentially a parameter that is used to as a proxy for identifying how wet the watershed is. So the wetter the watershed is, the more that future rain will turn directly into runoff and their inflow into the reservoir.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 19 said, for 22 percent of the construction cost of Oroville up to \$85 million for that cost up to 750,000 acre-feet of space will be provided at Oroville for flood control purposes. So it it it's I mean the contract's several pages, and it goes into more detail about how that's executed, but essentially, those funds contributed to the construction, in a sense, bought that amount of space to be used for flood control operations. So before I go too far into the weeds and the details of reservoir operations and especially into the Folsom example I wanted to make sure that we were all on the same page on, like, what I'm talking about and how the water behind the dam translates into these different storage zones or pools. So here I have a graph where it just shows a very simplified dam on the left. And the space behind the dam is broken up into these different zones; the bottom one, water conservation, water supply pool. I think you all are fairly familiar with what that water can used for, and what it's used for, especially at Oroville. Above that is a flood control pool, or a flood control zone. That, it's just that zone that the Corps of Engineers regulates, either at our own dams by prescribing the release schedules ourselves, or at a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 21 translates oh, yes, Senator? MR. NIELSEN: On that point MR. FORBIS: Yes. MR. NIELSEN: I just call it the term my old term the "flood control reserve" that MR. FORBIS: Yes. (Simultaneous cross-talk.) MR. NIELSEN: placing in 1964 or whenever that was effective; is that viable reservation? Meaning, no other diversion can come from that amount of water. I think we said what? 750,000 acre-feet, that that's got to remain there stationary for flood control at all times to reserve space? MR. FORBIS: Not at all times. Specific to Oroville, the amount that is required varies throughout the year, and I can show you visually in a couple slides here. It varies based on, not just time of year because we all know that different times of year there's a greater risk of more rain, more water but it also varies based on essentially a parameter that is used to as a proxy for identifying how wet the watershed is. So the wetter the watershed is, the more that future rain will turn directly into runoff and their inflow into the reservoir. So depending on how dry the ground is, or wet

1	Page 22	1	Page 24
	the ground is, the ground can either soak it up, or it		were just talking about in terms of how the reservoir's
	can't soak up anymore and it can run oir. A so there's		divided up in these different zones into the reservoir
3	iew different things at Oroville that they did; how	3	operation rules and the graphical representation of
4	empty the flood (unintelligible) Oroville's supposed to	4	that, is what's shown on this slide here. So that red
5	be. And during summer months, Oroville can be 100	5	trapezoid kind of in the middle of that diagram, that
6	percent full because the risk of rain, and	6	just represents simply, like, how much flood control
7	(unintelligible) are so low. So it's not a stationary	7	space may be required based off of certain dates and
8	750,000, it's a maximum that	8	other parameters. Every dam has its own criteria for
9	MR. NIELSEN: That figures in the protocols	9	how much space is require and when.
10	for the operation of the dam	10	And then above that space, as I mentioned
11	MR. FORBIS: Yes.	11	before, there's a separate diagram that aids in the
12	MR. NIELSEN: would that the not be	12	operation when the storage of Oroville is at is above
13	correct?	13	the flood control pool and the gross pool in the
14	MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. Absolutely.	14	surcharge zone. This emergency spillway release diagram
15	MR. PITIMAN: Quick question I have here.	15	has different criteria that, if these things are true,
16	When you're talking about this specific reservoir	16	release this much water. And when you're in that
17	MR. FORBIS: Yes.	17	zone and that's in that diagram, where those sets of
18	MR. PITIMAN: does the Army Corps have any	18	rules are in play flood control operations is no
19	other control of flood ops upstream, the reservoirs	19	longer the main concern; your concern about whether or
20	before that?	20	not the dam can hold back all the water that's coming.
21	MR. FORBIS: No, sir. No. Just at Oroville.	21	And so most of the releases that would be
22	MR. PITIMAN Just at Oroville?	22	required if that diagram's in use are going to be above
23	MR. FORBIS: Right. Just at Oroville.	23	what we normally see; and it's in order to maintain the
24	MR. PITTMAN: Thank you.	24	integrity of the dam safety at Oroville. So it, like,
25	MR. CONANT: Here's one other quick question.	25	shifts the context of what's driving the decision
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead.	1	Page 25 making. Yes, sir?
1 2	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I	1 2	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on
1 2 3	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only	1 2 3	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account,
1 2 3 4	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never	1 2 3 4	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river,
1 2 3 4 5	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is	1 2 3 4 5	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon rain upon rain, it's likely that the watershed is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I think we went up to 160 in the past one time. I think.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon rain upon rain, it's likely that the watershed is saturated, and therefore, it could be that 750,000	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I think we went up to 160 in the past one time, I think, around '97, I believe. But we're still using that dam
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon rain upon rain, it's likely that the watershed is saturated, and therefore, it could be that 750,000 acre-feet may be required.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I think we went up to 160 in the past one time, I think, around '97, I believe. But we're still using that dam (unintelligible) capacity. And the Feather. up to where
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon rain upon rain, it's likely that the watershed is saturated, and therefore, it could be that 750,000 acre-feet may be required. MR. CONANT: Thank vou.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I think we went up to 160 in the past one time, I think, around '97, I believe. But we're still using that dam (unintelligible) capacity. And the Feather, up to where it meets the confluence of the Yuba in which you have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. CONANT: Okay. That's what I thought. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon rain upon rain, it's likely that the watershed is saturated, and therefore, it could be that 750,000 acre-feet may be required. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Sure. So to translate	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I think we went up to 160 in the past one time, I think, around '97, I believe. But we're still using that dam (unintelligible) capacity. And the Feather, up to where it meets the confluence of the Yuba in which you have objective flows of 300,000 CFS at that location. And
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 23 MR. FORBIS: Yes. Go ahead. MR. CONANT: I just want to make sure I understand it. The 750,000 acres only is only pertaining during flood event periods, and can never exceed that number, no matter what the pool of water is in the runoff in the (unintelligible); correct? MR. FORBIS: If I understand your question correctly, the most that would ever be required for flood control operations, per the rules in the water control manual, is 750,000 acre-feet. MR. FORBIS: Yup. And during the winter months, it could be as low as 375, so half that. And that would be dependent upon on how dry or wet the watershed. So if we're coming out of five years of drought, then it's very likely that the minimum required during the winter months is what would be in play. But if we've had October, November, December of rain upon rain upon rain, it's likely that the watershed is saturated, and therefore, it could be that 750,000 acre-feet may be required. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Sure. So to translate that these are great questions, because these are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 25 making. Yes, sir? MR. LAMALFA: Do you have a current figure on what river capacity is; maximum flow taken into account, the silt and the other material that got into the river, however much may or may not have been removed? What is its maximum capacity, anywhere from here to south to Yuba and Sutter, that you could push without negatively affecting any community at any time; just take into account river dam outflow? MR. FORBIS: Good question. So we are still we are still using the number of the 100 I think it's the 150 is what's is what the maximum 150,000 CFS coming from the dam. MR. LAMALFA: I think it was 160 in my mind, but I could be MR. FORBIS: I'd have to I actually have the diagram on the next slide, so we can actually check. So it's either 150 or 160. I think it's 150, and I think we went up to 160 in the past one time, I think, around '97, I believe. But we're still using that dam (unintelligible) capacity. And the Feather, up to where it meets the confluence of the Yuba in which you have objective flows of 300,000 CFS at that location. And then, I think, when the Bear River comes in, it's about

	witching on	041	21/2020 1 ages 202
1	Page 26	1	Page 28
2	done	2	the public to also understand with us that in a
2	And so part of the FTPO effort which I'll	3	relationship flows that are coming in from different
4	talk about in a little but and also undating the flood	4	watersheds It's a very dynamic system it's a big
5	control manual It's the verification that these	5	system and it's going to take everybody to get us on a
6	downgtream objective flows are still viable. Recause	6	path into the future where we're protecting the public
7	there were established as Senator Callarher mentioned		no matter what watershed you're living in Thank you
, 8	back in the $\frac{1}{200}$ and $\frac{1}{200}$ So it's likely it's	8	MP FORRTS: Veg Great point
0	likely different in some form or another. I don't know		MP CALLACUEP. I was just going to gave weah
10	to what degree but it's likely a little bit different	10	historically 150 has been that number. And that's kind
10 11	MP LIMALER. If you don't mind is there	11	of that's what I think a lot of people consider
12	anybody else on the namel that would have a concern to	12	capacity at what the lawage can handle downgtream Now
12	that number? Equatially from Big South Vuba Sutter	12	when you're at 150 there's going to be a lot flood
14	Mat2 Andrody2 Is there a is there a number that	14	planning going on level districts are going to be
15	would make you is that number too high? What do you	15	andhagged heavy. I mean it gets really hairy. I
16	think about that?	16	think it was in 105 we want to 150 and we had a break
10	MP CONTANT: You know a lot of it depende	17	And then in 197 we had to actually go to 160 it was
10	upper what releases are in the shaft. But because the	10	the first time it went over that number which is you
10	upon what releases are in the shart. But because the	10	the first time it went over that humber, which is, you
20	and the higher the (unintelligible) on the Beer is usu	20	they went ever. I was going to ask you how often have
20 21	and the inight the (dimiteringible) on the beat is, you	20	we ever been in the actual emergency curcharge
21 22	releasing 42 that is what happened in 196 of source	21	aituation bistorically? Have we exercised in that?
22 22	releasing 45, that is what happened in oo. Of course,	22	MP FORDER: I'd have to check and like
23 24	flooded So you know when you got a somehow we	23	The house to check and goo if the the degision making
24 25	need a I don't know hav we get this number to be	24	around going up to 160, to see if that was following the
20	need a I don't know now we get this number to be	25	around going up to 100, to see if that was following the
1	Page 27 we're all talking to each other and making sure it's a	1	Page 29 rules of that emergency spillway release diagram or not.
2	doable number.	2	Because under the slide I have up right now, is under
3	MR. FORBIS: And what helps is our	3	like, for normal flood operations, this is what we call
4	coordination with DWR and the realtime operations is	4	the flood control diagram, the water control diagram; it
5	that, we have, at all of our projects, a list of ongoing	5	doesn't prescribe anything more than 150 in this case.
6	project concerns and considerations that, maybe the	6	And so if the other diagram, which is this one I
7	rules say this, but here's something you need to know,	7	won't go into what all this means.
8	like, this landowner's property gets flooded at this	8	This is pretty complicated and a little but
9	level. Now, maybe that's not the driving force for your	9	convoluted, especially in a venue like this. But it
10	decision making, but it's important to know that. If	10	would be this diagram that, if you're following by the
11	it's safe to keep something at a lower level, as in your	11	letter, that would dictate at least more than 150. So
12	operational decisions, that you can do so without	12	if in '97, if it didn't come into play there, and it was
13	causing these more peripheral nuisances of the problems	13	done based on other factors, then that leads me to
14	along the downstream areas. Yes?	14	believe that we've never made decisions based off of the
15	MS. NEMETH: I'd like to add, if I could, this	15	rules on this graphic. But that would require more
16	is great conversation to be having. And the department	16	investigation on my part.
17	has a lot of history working with the local flood	17	MR. CROWFOOT: Could you go back a slide and
18	control districts, our partners at the Corps; we've got	18	just let us know what we're looking at?
19	a very good working relationship. It's going to be	19	MR. FORBIS: Yes. So you may have seen a
20	essential to draw on that working relationship to turn	20	version of this diagram before. What I did this is
21	our attention to the future and come to some agreed upon	21	the water control diagram. So this dictates what
22	understanding what about we expect in future hydrology,	22	release and what operational decisions would be made at
23	and establish plans that accommodate all the different	23	Oroville when the amount of storage at Oroville is more
24	responsibilities from the local, state, and federal	24	than what's allowed per flood control rules. And what I
25	level on multiple different watersheds.	25	did was, I highlighted the area in which that flood

	08 aneq		Page 32
1 control space 1	requirement could vary. So depending on,	1	how did it relate to the flood pool, et cetera.
2 like I said, wh	nat what depending on how wet the	2	MR. FORBIS: Sure. With those, actually I
3 watershed is, a	and the time of year, the amount of flood	3	don't have the actual numbers with me this morning, but
4 control space b	peing required would occur somewhere	4	the pool was the storage at Oroville was just I
5 within that blu	ue polygon.	5	would consider just barely into the flood control space.
6 Just	to orient you, along the X-axis are the	6	So it was encroached in the flood control space. The
7 dates, so, like	e, months of the year; and then along the	7	flood so the rules in the water control manual were
8 Y-axis is store	age. So that's what we're looking at	8	dictating releases, and it was at the time of increasing
9 here. So if yo	ou're if it's really dry, like I was 10	9	the flood control release to what was appropriate. Up
saying before, if w	we have seven years of drought, it 11 would	10	to, I believe, 60,000 at the time, is was the release
likely be the storage	ge allow or the flood	11	schedule was for. It was in that process of during the
12 control space 1	required which is kind of the	12	increase when the initial damage in the gated spillway,
13 inverse the	flood control space required would be 14	13	the concrete chute, was observed. So it wasn't in a
hugging the top lir	ne of that polygon that goes down and 15	14	from a flood control perspective, there wasn't any
then horizontally b	back up. If there's been a lot of 16 rain	15	concern at that time if there's still a lot of space
in the watershed sat	curated, then the flood control 17 space	16	being provided in the reservoir. And releasing 60,000,
required could be al	ll the way down to the bottom 18 of the	17	I mean, it doesn't necessarily happen every year, but
outside border of th	nat polygon, and then	18	it's should be that's well within the channel
19 everything in k	petween.	19	capacity down the stream.
20 MR. C	WALLAGHER: I'd like to go back to the flood	20	MR. CROWFOOT: That's helpful.
21 capacity which	you were talking about. Even at 150, we	21	MR. FORBIS: Yes?
22 lose two parts	every time we reach that capacity;	22	MS. WIDENER: I have a quick question.
23 bedrock and riv	verbed.	23	MR. FORBIS: Yes.
24 MR. B	FORBIS: Okay.	24	MS. WIDENER: Does the owner have the ability
25 MR. 0	WALLAGHER: So I just want you to be aware	25	to increase the flood control pool beyond what the Army
1 that there c	Page 31	1	Page 33
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in	Page 31 during the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know	1	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)?
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w	Page 31 during the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one	1 2 3	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question.
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150.	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one	1 2 3 4	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I	1 2 3 4 5	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. F 6 think it only c	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I sot a 100.000 CFS. But I don't know how	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only c 7 that compounded	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. F 6 think it only c 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n	Page 31 during the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the
1 that there c 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. F 6 think it only c 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. C	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how a downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. FALLACHER: It wiped out two city parks.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR.	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. SALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. G 10 MR. H	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. MALLACHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? MALLACHER: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. G 10 MR. G 11 MR. G 12 MR. G	Page 31 during the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. BALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? BALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. G 10 MR. G 11 MR. G 12 MR. 13 that we would	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how a downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. EALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? EALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. Of 11 MR. Of 12 MR. 13 that we would 14 listed in our	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. SALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? SALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only s 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. O 10 MR. 11 MR. O 12 MR. 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. BALLACHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? BALLACHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. G 10 MR. G 11 MR. G 12 MR. G 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how a downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. SALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? SALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to to something that high because of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. Of 11 MR. Of 12 MR. Of 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions that	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how a downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. EALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? EALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. Of 11 MR. Of 12 MR. Of 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions tha 18 is any chance	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one CORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. SALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? SALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. Of 11 MR. Of 12 MR. Of 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions that 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. SALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? SALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to?
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. O 10 MR. O 11 MR. O 12 MR. O 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions tha 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of 20 that flexibili	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. BALLACHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? BALLACHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have ty to not to avoid those sorts of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to? MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. O 10 MR. O 11 MR. O 12 MR. O 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions tha 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of 20 that flexibili 21 situations.	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how a downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. EALLACHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? EALLACHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and I; that if you might not be able to to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have ty to not to avoid those sorts of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to? MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you 'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. 11 MR. Of 12 MR. 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions tha 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of 20 that flexibili 21 situations. 22 MR.	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one CORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. EALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? EALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have ty to not to avoid those sorts of CROWFOOT: Can you remind us from the Army	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to? MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. 11 MR. Of 12 MR. 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions tha 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of 20 that flexibili 21 situations. 22 MR. 23 Corps' perspect	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. SALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? SALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have ty to not to avoid those sorts of CROWFOOT: Can you remind us from the Army tive that the reservoir conditions three	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to? MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Because we don't govern the water in the reservoir below the flood control space. So
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only of 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. Of 10 MR. Of 11 MR. Of 12 MR. Of 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions that 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of 20 that flexibili 21 situations. 22 MR. 23 Corps' perspect 24 years aco., when	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. BALLAGHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? BALLAGHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have ty to not to avoid those sorts of CROWFOOT: Can you remind us from the Army tive that the reservoir conditions three n the emergency occurred? In other words.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to? MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Because we don't govern the water in the reservoir below the flood control space. So whether releases are made for environmental reasons.
1 that there of 2 \$10 million in 3 what the flow w 4 150. 5 MR. H 6 think it only g 7 that compounded 8 part that you'n 9 MR. O 10 MR. O 11 MR. O 12 MR. O 13 that we would 14 listed in our 15 considerations 16 avoid going up 17 conditions that 18 is any chance 19 avoid some of 20 that flexibili 21 situations. 22 MR. 23 Corps' perspect 24 years ago, whe 25 how you know	Page 31 Auring the spillway incident, we had over damage to the one part. I don't know was there; I know it was more than one FORBIS: At least from the reservoir, I got a 100,000 CFS. But I don't know how d downstream and where that impacted, the re talking about. MALLACHER: It wiped out two city parks. FORBIS: Okay. In Oroville? MALLACHER: Yes. FORBIS: That is an example of something want to make sure that we know and have Oroville, like, concerns and ; that if you might not be able to o to something that high because of the t are present at the time. But if there that you don't have to, and you can't this type of damage, then we might have ty to not to avoid those sorts of CROWFOOT: Can you remind us from the Army tive that the reservoir conditions three n the emergency occurred? In other words, w, what was the reservoir level. what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 33 can Corps Engineers has dictated for that month or time, and what (unintelligible)? MR. FORBIS: Yes. That's a great question. So the rules in the water control manual govern a specific space in the reservoir. And so if the dam owner or operator wishes to provide more space, or make any releases that are while the reservoir is below the flood control space, they absolutely have all the ability and power to do so. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Yes. MS. WIDENER: And so even so you the Army Corps of Engineers just dictates the maximum flood pool; correct? And then so, like, there's that 750,000 MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: If we're in that still, but we're still under the Army Corps of Engineers' line, they can still release if they choose to? MR. FORBIS: Yes, yes. MS. WIDENER: Okay. MR. FORBIS: Because we don't govern the water in the reservoir below the flood control space. So whether releases are made for environmental reasons, hydropower, additional flood control. like. any of

Pages 34..37

	Dogo 24		Dogo 26
1	those any of those reasons and more, the dam	1	over when some gets too high.
2	owner/operator, they do not need our permission to	2	Since you can't really control that with
3	govern releases throughout the entire pool, the entire	3	opening or closing gates, this type of diagram doesn't
4	reservoir.	4	exist for those projects. But Oroville, Shasta, Folsom,
5	MS. WIDENER: Okay.	5	places like that that have gated spillways, they would
6	MR. FORBIS: So ves. they in fact, also	6	have a diagram that looks kind of like this. So before
7	in 2017, there's another reservoir down in the San	7	I jump into water control manuals, I wanted to at least
8	Joaquin Valley that, based off of what was forecasted to	8	give you a brief list of the other things that the water
9	come in, they worked with us and let us know that they	9	management group for the Sacramento District does. We
10	thought it was appropriate to release more than what	10	talked about overseeing flood operations. When water
11	they were required to at the time because they were	11	control manuals get updated, that includes establishing
12	seeing that the amount of space made available per their	12	new rules for flood control operations; that would be
13	water communal may not be enough to capture what was	13	something that we would do. We also train dam
14	coming in. And that sort of preemptive decision making	14	operators.
15	is especially when justified and warranted by	15	Tvoically, that's for Corps damms, but we also
16	forecast information and other things $$ can be very	16	meet with some of our Section 7 partners that like
17	appropriate	17	refresher trainings on how the water control manual gets
18	MS WIDENER: Thank you	18	used and implemented As you can imagine if there's
19	MR (ROMEOOT: So just to provide context for	19	several years of drought and staff turnover they're
20	this year you know unfortunately from the water	20	making people that have never had to make flood release
20	supply perspective we're obviously having this dry-lake	21	effort or never even had a need to open up a water
22	winter	22	control manual So we do that with some of out partners
22	MP FORRIS: Vec	22	to make gure that we're all prepared before flood season
23	MP (PONECOT: So how would you I mean if	23	of what to do if the weather warrants flood control
25	the hydrology kept up the way it is we're going you	27	releases to be made. And then last thing I wanted to
1 2.1	the hydrology kept up the way it is, we re going, you	20	rereases to be made. And then rast thing I wanted to
25			
	Page 35	1	Page 37
1	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look	1	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages.
1 2	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps	1 2	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to
1 2 3	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control	1 2 3	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested
1 2 3 4	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool?	1 2 3 4	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when	1 2 3 4 5	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly minics the water control manual update process where
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we consider being normal, that the water control diagram	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and mitigated, then deviation requests are typically
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we consider being normal, that the water control diagram would dictate. So it there are rules and guidance	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and mitigated, then deviation requests are typically approved, and it's done so at the South Pacific Division
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we consider being normal, that the water control diagram would dictate. So it there are rules and guidance that apply for the bottom of the flood control pool, all	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and mitigated, then deviation requests are typically approved, and it's done so at the South Pacific Division office. So the regional office that the Sacramento
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we consider being normal, that the water control diagram would dictate. So it there are rules and guidance that apply for the bottom of the flood control pool, all the way up to the top of the dam. And this type of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly minics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and mitigated, then deviation requests are typically approved, and it's done so at the South Pacific Division office. So the regional office that the Sacramento District falls under.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we consider being normal, that the water control diagram would dictate. So it there are rules and guidance that apply for the bottom of the flood control pool, all the way up to the top of the dam. And this type of diagram would only really exist at projects where there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and mitigated, then deviation requests are typically approved, and it's done so at the South Pacific Division office. So the regional office that the Sacramento District falls under. MR. CROWFOOT: Question. Karla reminded me
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 35 know, dry the rest of the winter, what would that look like in a year like this? What would the Army Corps would you end up even would your rules control because we don't even nearly hit that flood pool? MR. FORBIS: Since the rules only control when the reservoir is in the flood control space, like, the folks at DWR that we work the most with, they'll let us know and keep us in the loop of, like, you know, "This is what we're doing," but they're not, obviously, required to do that. And there wouldn't be any rules of ours that would dictate the decisions that they would need to make, because they would be nowhere close to the flood control space. MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. MR. FORBIS: So I showed this one. I just want to let you know there is another graphical representation of operations for the events that are more rare and more significantly large than what we consider being normal, that the water control diagram would dictate. So it there are rules and guidance that apply for the bottom of the flood control pool, all the way up to the top of the dam. And this type of diagram would only really exist at projects where there is a gated spillway. Some dams have ungated spillways	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 37 point out on this list was preparing deviation packages. That's Corps term for when temporary modifications to the normal flood control operations are being requested or are necessary. It's not just coming out in an emergency, but it could because we're in the middle of the drought and a reservoir owner reason would like to store more water than what the water control manual would normally allow. There's a process that you can go through. For example, for this water year alone, you are allowed to store up to this much extra water in your flood control space, and releases would now be dictated this way. It's a way to accommodate temporary changing conditions. And it's just an official Corps process, and it actually fairly mimics the water control manual update process where you're looking at flood risk, dam safety risk, environmental impact, things like that. And if things are properly accounted for and mitigated, then deviation requests are typically approved, and it's done so at the South Pacific Division office. So the regional office that the Sacramento District falls under. MR. CROWFOOT: Question. Karla reminded me that our FERC license from the Federal Energy Regulatory

	Page 38		Page 40
1	operations. What is the Army Corps' role in, like, the	1	we get to an approved water control manual. So you
2	relicensing process that FERC has authority over?	2	establish that, obviously, very early on.
3	MR. FORBIS: Usually, it's it's usually	3	And another thing that you establish very
4	fairly minimal, and that's typically because, at least	4	early on is the public and state holder outreach; it's
5	in our experience, FERC includes language where it will	5	something that, as you can see, it's the longest
6	specifically say that refer to the regulations, like, to	6	duration item on this chart, and it's because through
7	that (unintelligible) by the Corps of Engineers. And so	7	down through stakeholders, operating partners, you want
8	unless there's something that's going on that would	8	to get them involved in the very beginning. In fact,
9	inadvertently conflict with that, then, for the most	9	it's in our own Corps regulations to do so, to make sure
10	part, we're notifying that it's going on, but in terms	10	that they are sufficiently involved and informed and can
11	of operation, we're not. And since we don't have a dam	11	provide input throughout the water control manual update
12	safety authority over projects like Oroville, we don't	12	process. At one point, like, halfway through this, it
13	typically have a very involved role in the FERC process.	13	might shift from the initial development of the water
14	But er definitely like to know what's going on in case	14	control manual, it might shift to their role the public
15	there is some sort of impact to the way we normally do	15	would serve in the NEPA process, the environmental
16	business, and that we would need to be aware of.	16	impacts.
17	MR. CROWFOOT: Got it.	17	But involving the partners and stakeholders is
18	MR. FORBIS: So water control manual. So	18	something that starts from the beginning, ands lasts.
19	we've been talking about that a lot already this	19	essentially, through the very end, until it gets to the
20	morning. The water control manual is book that contains	20	point where it's final review and approval. So and
21	more than just the operating procedures and the rules;	21	that's extremely critical for things like this. As the
22	it contains a lot of background information and context	22	director mentioned making sure that concerns are
22	about the project historical facts and performance and	23	captured in developing the new operations. Like that's
24	other data description of physical components. It's	24	critical It's extremely important Another
25	the handbook that DWP can have at their dignocal for	25	cornerstone of the work of undating the water control
20	the handbook that bak can have at there disposal for	25	contensione of the work of updating the water control
1	Page 39	1	Page 41
1	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of	1	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a
1 2	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document.	1 2	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate
1 2 3	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be	1 2 3	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using
1 2 3 4	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does	1 2 3 4	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so
1 2 3 4 5	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers	1 2 3 4 5	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our highlights, some of those things. So we were just aware	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated. That in and of itself affords another look
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our highlights, some of those things. So we were just aware of when the Oroville water control manual gets updated,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated. That in and of itself affords another look rules to see, like, are the rules that are in place
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our highlights, some of those things. So we were just aware of when the Oroville water control manual gets updated, what are the different areas that are being focused on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated. That in and of itself affords another look rules to see, like, are the rules that are in place still appropriate, and if they are, are they optimized?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our highlights, some of those things. So we were just aware of when the Oroville water control manual gets updated, what are the different areas that are being focused on through that work. So the first step is establishing a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated. That in and of itself affords another look rules to see, like, are the rules that are in place still appropriate, and if they are, are they optimized? So making sure that you've got hydrology that's updated
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our highlights, some of those things. So we were just aware of when the Oroville water control manual gets updated, what are the different areas that are being focused on through that work. So the first step is establishing a plan; right? A project management plan. And so that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated. That in and of itself affords another look rules to see, like, are the rules that are in place still appropriate, and if they are, are they optimized? So making sure that you've got hydrology that's updated and is extremely important. And this hydrology can
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 39 Oroville, and it is a document that is a Corps of Engineers document. So it's something that, when it needs to be updated, there could be discussions on which party does what work. But in the end, it's a Corps of Engineers document that needs to be reviewed and approved by the division commander at the division office. So you can view it as, like, the flood operations bible that there is for each project. So it's I wanted to hit a caveat for the next few slides that this I tried to put together a general, simplified chart of what the water control manual update process could look like. It could vary from project to project, based off of the needs of updating the water control manual, what's being looked for. But in general, it's at multi-year process that looks at a bunch of different things, and has quite a few components, and several levels of review. And I wanted to point out some of our highlights, some of those things. So we were just aware of when the Oroville water control manual gets updated, what are the different areas that are being focused on through that work. So the first step is establishing a plan; right? A project management plan. And so that identifies schedules, who's in the project, and what are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 41 manual, especially if the update includes reoperating a facility, is establishing and assembling the appropriate hydrologic data to make sure that you're using everything that you know that's at your disposal, so that way, when you're comparing the alternatives and evaluating them, you're doing so that in that in a way where it represents the reality as best as we can. And even if there weren't the incidents in 2017 at Oroville, and even if there wasn't the comprehensive needs assessment that was going on for the (unintelligible) structural changes with Oroville, the fact that the manual was last approved in 1970 indicates there's decades of hydrologic data that could that very well would update our understanding of, well, what's a 200-year event look like? How what do those flows look like? The hydrology, there's so much data there that has that we've collected and observed since it was last updated. That in and of itself affords another look rules to see, like, are the rules that are in place still appropriate, and if they are, are they optimized? So making sure that you've got hydrology that's updated and is extremely important. And this hydrology can include not just observed data, but also synthetic data,

Pages 38..41

1	Page 42 next few sides. But anything to do with water data, you	1	Page 44 internal to the Sacramento District, one internal to the
2	want to make sure you have all of it before you get	2	Corps of Engineers, one where you get an independent
3	started in developing the alternatives.	3	expert outside of the Corps of Engineers to review.
4	MR. PITIMAN: Quick question.	4	Like, especially depending on the whether it's a
5	MR. FORBIS: Yes?	5	controversial, or it's a new and improved, there's
б	MR. PITTMAN: Does the Corps do its own data	6	you want that to make sure that you looked at it
7	analysis or reception in the Feather River range, or	7	thoroughly before you implement it into the new way of
8	does it rely on DWR's state inflection?	8	doing things.
9	MR. FORBIS: At least at the dam and upstream,	9	And then finally, there's obviously the
10	I do not believe that the Corps has any gauges of their	10	approval process where you the whole water package is
11	own. But along the Feather and Yuba, there might be	11	put together and given to the South Pacific Division,
12	some. I'd have to check. But for most of our Section 7	12	and they make sure that all the right policies and rules
13	partners we rely on the data collection or the data	13	are followed in the review. And then, it eventually
14	collection infrastructure from those partners.	14	gets approved by the division commander. So those are
15	- MR. PITTMAN: Thank you.	15	the broad strokes of what would go into updating a water
16	MR. FORBIS: So one of the next steps up is	16	control manual. And most of those things would occur to
17	also characterizing the existing conditions, to make	17	that detail for Oroville. Now, one thing to keep in
18	sure you fully understand what is it doing now. So that	18	mind that makes it unique at Oroville is that there's
19	way, whenever you're preparing potential future changes	19	also the forecasting (unintelligible) operations project
20	of the operation, you know the increases, and hopefully	20	going on; FIRO is underway.
21	no decreases, in performance are. So understanding	21	And through that effort, some of the things
22	existing conditions is very important. Then you go into	22	that would normally go in that would be completely
23	identifying well, what are the different ways that we	23	confined within the water control manual of this
24	can change the operation at the project? So identifying	24	process, some of that technical work is already being
25	multiple alternatives, and concluding and determining	25	done as far as RND effort. And so though I was
1	Page 43 which one is would performs the best, is the next	1	Page 45 indicating that the five-ish years might be what it
2	logical step there.	2	takes to update a water control manual, with FIRO going
3	In part of that, that it's so significant I	3	on at the same time, we would fully expect for a
4	pulled it out as its own component is the	4	timeline of five years to be shorter, because you're
5	environmental effects analysis. So you're preparing	5	looking at same type of things that can be used for the
б	alternatives for rotating the water control manual,	6	update process, and it should we should see some time
7	typically evaluating flood control of performance, flood	7	savings there.
8	risk management performance. But you also need to look	8	Another thing that I wanted to highlight that
9	at and see what those changes could do to the	9	I wasn't sure if everyone knew about, but in fiscal year
10	environment upstream and downstream throughout the whole	10	2020, through the federal budget process, the Corps of
11	system. So that is a significant chunk of the schedule	11	Engineers has actually received \$4 million to update a
12	for updating it, that there's the established and deeper	12	water control manuals that meet a few criteria. I have
13	process for what type of document you create, what sort	13	a screenshot here of the language. If we look at the
14	of review goes into it, what sort of outreach goes into	14	criteria of what project or projects it's been applied
15	it. And it needs to be done efficiently, but it usually	15	to, when you go through each one, it really can only
16	isn't done extremely quickly because you need to make	16	apply to Oroville and New Bullards Bar. Which we would
17	sure that you covered all your bases. You have to	17	want to update both of those at the same time anyway,
18	create all the documentation that goes with it; the end	18	because they operate to the same downstream control
19	result being, of course, the water control manual. But	19	points, and it wouldn't be as effective to upgrade one
20	you've got to do the deeper diving, whether it's	20	and not the other.
21	environmental assessment, environmental impact studies,	21	And that's also why the two of them those
22	something along those lines.	22	projects are included in the FIRO effort as well,
23	And then there's different want review reports	23	because you want to look at the system-wide
24	that are part of it as well. There's several stages of	24	multi-watershed view in terms of when you try to
25	review that go into updating a water control manual; one	25	optimize those operations. So for context we don't, at

Pages 42..45

Pages 46..49

	Page 46		Page 48
1	the Corps of Engineers, especially the Sacramento	1	MR. NIELSEN: If you need any help on that.
2	District, we don't really ever receive money to update	2	MR. FORBIS: And I think it wouldn't be so
3	water control manuals. Like, it's something that we ask	3	much as a lose-it situation as maybe a not being able to
4	for year after year, but it's something that's never	4	manage expectations appropriately of what the 4 million
5	been well, I won't say never, but it rarely gets	5	will how far that will get us. I think we would
6	given.	6	still be able to use it, but if the 4 million was
7	So to not only to get funding, but to get	7	provided with the intent of, we expect it to be used by
8	funding to this degree, to do something in Northern	8	the end of September, it's on us at the Corps of
9	California is something that we're really excited about.	9	Engineers to make sure that we communicate, "It could be
10	Now, it's going to be a unique challenge to where we're	10	used better if you give us more time." And so that's
11	balancing the RND FIRO effort at the same time updating	11	that's the improvement we've got from headquarters, and
12	the water control manual. Usually, you'd want one to	12	so that's the path we've moving forward. I'll try to
13	happen before the other. So it will require some	13	I know that I've used up a lot of your time, so I
14	careful planning and establishing a schedule and	14	apologize.
15	delineation of roles and duties. But if it's done	15	I'll try to go through the Folsom example that
16	right, then we should be able to see time savings there.	16	I have as efficiently as possible. This is a picture of
17	Yes, sir.	17	the new spillway there. As I mentioned earlier, this is
18	MR. NIELSEN: Is the 4 million adequate? Is	18	a really good case study for us for us before with
19	it getting there timely and where it needs to be?	19	Oroville, because it has a lot of the same types of
20	MR. FORBIS: 4 million would based of what	20	components and aspects between the two of. Like, where
21	changes we expect to see structurally at both projects,	21	it's located regionally, how reliable the forecasts are,
22	and with FIRO going on, the \$4 million is likely not	22	the capability of what can be released from the
23	enough to cover the entire total. But that's heavily	23	projects. So it's a really good thing that we have
24	dependent upon how much our partners like Yuba Water	24	recently updated this.
25	Agency and DWR take on some of the trichinal work	25	This water control manual was updated and
	Рада 47		01 and
1	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the	1	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that
1 2	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to	1 2	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely
1 2 3	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're	1 2 3	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of
1 2 3 4	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out	1 2 3 4	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it
1 2 3 4 5	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money	1 2 3 4 5	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more reliable forecast, and therefore, smarter decisions
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility. MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, and I wouldn't want you to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more reliable forecast, and therefore, smarter decisions being made about what space is required for reservoirs,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility. MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, and I wouldn't want you to get caught in a use-it-or-lose-it situation.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more reliable forecast, and therefore, smarter decisions being made about what space is required for reservoirs, and what water needs to be released and when.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility. MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, and I wouldn't want you to get caught in a use-it-or-lose-it situation. MR. FORBIS: Right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more reliable forecast, and therefore, smarter decisions being made about what space is required for reservoirs, and what water needs to be released and when. So I am by no means a weatherman, so I won't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility. MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, and I wouldn't want you to get caught in a use-it-or-lose-it situation. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: So please keep our office	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more reliable forecast, and therefore, smarter decisions being made about what space is required for reservoirs, and what water needs to be released and when. So I am by no means a weatherman, so I won't bore you with the details that I'll let the Weather</pre>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 47 themselves and figuring out how best to optimize the funding that we received. Because this was intended to be just for this fiscal year initially. Now, what we're pushing for at the district level is to spread that out beyond this fiscal year because we can use that money more intelligently if we have more time to do it. MR. NIELSEN: You have the latitude to extend the funding to extend the time? Does it have to be used in the time? MR. FORBIS: The direction I've been given is that as long as we have a plan established for when we want to use it, there is the (unintelligible) that we can use it beyond the end of this fiscal year. Carry-over funding is a concept that we're looking to carry over money from fiscal year to fiscal year. And that is typically allowed as long as you're showing that you're doing so responsibility. MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, and I wouldn't want you to get caught in a use-it-or-lose-it situation. MR. NIELSEN: So please keep our office abreast of that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>Page 49 finally approved in June of 2019, so really not that long ago. So we've got some very pertinent and timely lessons learned that we can use. This is me one of my favorites that I like to show because what what it really is indicating you don't really need to know much about what the numbers, but just blue and black rainfall variability is greater. And so if you look at the eastern half of the United States, the rainfall from year to year is vary fairly consistent. As we all know out here in California, you can swing from the worst of drought years to the worst of flood years back to back. It create a challenge for how do you operate reservoirs responsibly and smartly. And one of the main drivings forces, and part of what is the of which has been developed in the FIRO project is the weather (unintelligible) atmospheric triggers and how our ability and desire to improve our ability to forecast these phenomenon is what could result in more reliable forecast, and therefore, smarter decisions being made about what space is required for reservoirs, and what water needs to be released and when. So I am by no means a weatherman, so I won't bore you with the details that I'll let the Weather Service talk about that if you want to invite them. But</pre>

Pages 50..53

	Page 50		Page 52
1	driving phenomenon for creating rain and snowpack in our	1	with the operation of with the operation. Yes, sir?
2	state. So that's helpful to be aware of. The watershed	2	MR. LAMALFA: Just two words: Auburn Dam.
3	for the American River, it's a fairly steep watershed,	3	MR. FORBIS: I've heard of that, sir. So to
4	so whenever rain falls, it gets to Folsom Dam very	4	highlight a couple of the problems with the existing dam
5	quickly. It has the potential for heavy rain and snow,	5	is that we're finding more and more that the 400,000
6	and it also has winter snow pack. So I think you're	6	acre-feet that was required as part of the Folsom water
7	able to pick up on some similarities between the	7	control manual wasn't enough to provide the level of
8	American River and Feather River.	8	protection that was intended. It couldn't pass the
9	Quick things to be aware of, Folsom Dam is not	9	probable maxing flood or the PMF without
10	quite a million acre-feet when it's completely full.	10	overtopping. And even though the maximum downstream
11	It's required to have up to 600,000 acre-feet of flood	11	objective flow is 115,000 CFS on the American River, the
12	control space there. So a majority of its entire volume	12	flood control space would have to be 30 percent occupied
13	maybe required for flood control purposes. And it has	13	before you could actually physically release that from
14	different ways to release water, the newest one being	14	the dam. So you had to be fairly full before you had
15	the auxillary spillway, which we call the JFP, which	15	enough head to push that much water out. So if more was
16	stands for Joint Federal Project. It introduced	16	required when Folsom was emptier, you physically
17	additional release capacity at a lower elevation so you	17	couldn't do it.
18	can release more water sooner from the reservoir, which	18	And so how do you address these things? So a
19	is helpful for being able to respond to changing	19	few different solutions were proposed, and it was
20	forecasts. So that's an important feature for making	20	determined that building an auxillary spillway, adding
21	forecast-based operations at this location work.	21	more flood control space, and looking to see if
22	So I'm going to show that when Folsom Dam was	22	forecasting operation framework would be appropriate.
23	authorized in 1944, it was designed to provide what was	23	was determined to be the path to pursue. And actually.
24	thought to be a 500-year level of protection. And then	24	in the language in (unintelligible) 1999, it actually
25	a few years later, along the American River, there was a	25	said. "Look at the forecasting." the new and improved
1 - 0	a zen jeazo zaoez, azeng ane mezzoan navez, aneze mao a	1	Sala, loon as all lolcoastilly, and how and imployed
1	Page 51	1	Page 53
1	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was	1	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and
1 2 2	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a	1 2 2	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually
1 2 3	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964,	1 2 3	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required.
1 2 3 4	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the	1 2 3 4	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know
1 2 3 4 5	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom	1 2 3 4 5	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point, and then the larger events that occurred afterwards. So	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes the forecast-based approach; the other ones did not.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point, and then the larger events that occurred afterwards. So six large events in terms of peak annual inflow, a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes the forecast-based approach; the other ones did not. And the team that worked on it wasn't going into it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point, and then the larger events that occurred afterwards. So six large events in terms of peak annual inflow, a natural runoff.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes the forecast-based approach; the other ones did not. And the team that worked on it wasn't going into it expecting forecast that the forecast-based approach
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point, and then the larger events that occurred afterwards. So six large events in terms of peak annual inflow, a natural runoff. The six largest events in its history occurred	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes the forecast-based approach; the other ones did not. And the team that worked on it wasn't going into it expecting forecast that the forecast-based approach would necessarily out perform the others as well it did.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point, and then the larger events that occurred afterwards. So six large events in terms of peak annual inflow, a natural runoff. The six largest events in its history occurred after it was built. So what was thought to be known	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes the forecast-based approach; the other ones did not. And the team that worked on it wasn't going into it expecting forecast that the forecast-based approach would necessarily out perform the others as well it did. But not only for flood control purposes, but also for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 51 record flood. 1956, which was the year that it was built, there's another record flood. Yes, yes. In a matter of hours it filled up. And then, in 1964, another record flood, so just eight years later. So the updated understanding of the level of protection Folsom provides was reduced down to 120-year flood that it could capture. Then, when 1986 came around, new analysis came was performed, and it was determined actually, it's just 60-year protection that it can provide. And so that's nothing changing to, like, the degradation of its capabilities, it's just upping the understanding of the hydrology of the watershed. We're realizing, oh, it's not doing what we thought it was supposed to do. And then, of course, in '97, another record flood. So here's a graphic of when or here's a chart I put together of the year when it was constructed and what the larger events were though to be up till that point, and then the larger events that occurred afterwards. So six large events in terms of peak annual inflow, a natural runoff. The six largest events in its history occurred after it was built. So what was thought to be known when it was designed as the largest things we would see	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 53 forecasting capability from the Weather Service, "and see if you can use that in the operations." It actually dictated how much flood control space would be required. So I think we all recognize that if you know what's going to come, you can make smarter decisions; so the better forecasting you have, the better off you'll be. But this all forecasting uncertainty. You never really know exactly what's going to happen. So if you are basing your decisions off of a forecast and more comes in that what was originally thought, you likely didn't release enough before the event got there, and you're increasing the flood risk. Or, if more was forecasted then what actually occurred, you may have released more than what you intended to, and then that's impacting water supply. So we know those are the ends of spectrum. So what's the responsible way to optimize that? So we looked at several alternatives, one of which includes the forecast-based approach; the other ones did not. And the team that worked on it wasn't going into it expecting forecast that the forecast-based approach would necessarily out perform the others as well it did. But not only for flood control purposes, but also for water supply that the forecast-based operation

rages 545/	Pages	5457
------------	-------	------

	Page 54		Page 56
1	little bit of why that is. And I think you guys are	1	thing to say: That this type of forecast produced four
2	already picking up on that, of why that would be.	2	times a day wasn't something the Weather Service could
3	So this is what the water control diagram of	3	do when we started, and it was something they were able
4	Folsom looks like. It has a trapezoid diagram kind of	4	to do, and are currently doing when we're done. And I
5	like what Folsom has, except with one main difference;	5	think with Oroville, we would want to look at something
6	it's got a release schedule that's based off of	6	like this as a potential alternative to see if that
7	forecasting inflow, and it's got a ramp and	7	could produce and maximize the benefits of the projects
8	(unintelligible) included. So a lot of the same	8	in a similar way that it has at Folsom. Just as one of
9	components that the Oroville water diagram has. But if	9	the opportunities there. That is an example of one of
10	you look at that trapezoidal diagram in more detail I	10	the products that it has on the forecast.
11	have it covered up with this other chart here but	11	This is for Lake Mendocino, that was the first
12	that square there, where it says, "Variable flood	12	location. It's got a whole bunch of potential
13	control reserve," the amount the flood control space	13	hydrographs, and that could occur 68 of them, in fact.
14	required at Folsom is solely based on the forecasted	14	And you're using that statistical analyses to your
15	inflow that's coming into the reservoir across a few	15	benefit of making smart decisions at the dam. That's
16	durations, between, like, one and five days.	16	more visuals of what I was talking about. I think where
17	You're looking at the inflow that's expected	17	I want to skip to there's a robustness testing to
18	to come in over the next day, over the next two days, up	18	make sure that like, what if the weather forecast
19	to the next five days. And depending on which of those	19	were early or late? What if were wrong? Like, how bad
20	inflows results in the more conservative operation,	20	would that be for the performance at Folsom? I wanted
21	that's what dictates how much space you need. It	21	to highlight one thing that I think is helpful for you
22	required the Weather Service to improve their modeling	22	guys in the room.
23	capabilities and their functionality in order for them	23	There's a sensitivity analysis done on what if
24	to produce forecasts of this nature, up to four times a	24	was forecasted was so great that you weren't able to
25	day of this type of forecast, which they weren't able	25	get you released all this water, and you weren't able
	Page 55		Page 57
1	Page 55 to do before we started it.	1	$$\mathbf{Page 57}$$ to get back to where you started before the event
1 2	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis	1 2	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was
1 2 3	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you	1 2 3	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of
1 2 3 4	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that	1 2 3 4	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the
1 2 3 4 5	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over. MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, just a little bit of a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force. Like, we get to benefit from having reliable
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over. MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, just a little bit of a time check. I want to make sure we get to the end of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force. Like, we get to benefit from having reliable forecasts that they're never that wrong. Other parts of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over. MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, just a little bit of a time check. I want to make sure we get to the end of your presentation as it relates to this watershed. So	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force. Like, we get to benefit from having reliable forecasts that they're never that wrong. Other parts of the country, they might be. They could be that wrong in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over. MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, just a little bit of a time check. I want to make sure we get to the end of your presentation as it relates to this watershed. So just a note.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force. Like, we get to benefit from having reliable forecasts that they're never that wrong. Other parts of the country, they might be. They could be that wrong in certain areas. But at least here, in California,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over. MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, just a little bit of a time check. I want to make sure we get to the end of your presentation as it relates to this watershed. So just a note. MR. FORBIS: Yes. I think I've got a couple	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force. Like, we get to benefit from having reliable forecasts that they're never that wrong. Other parts of the country, they might be. They could be that wrong in certain areas. But at least here, in California, forecasts aren't not that wrong.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 55 to do before we started it. So it required not just technical analysis savviness to figure out that this is good, but you also but different partners had to do something that they hadn't had to do before in order to make this work. So it was a heavy lift for all involved. So I won't spend a lot of time on this, because it' getting a little bit in the weeds, but essentially, the type of forecast that is being used at Folsom and has been shown to be really productive and beneficial is this ensemble forecast project where you're using historical climatological data, current forecast skill to produce probabilities of certain volumes occurring. So what's the likelihood of what's the 25 percent chance of inflows above this occurring, coming into the reservoir? And so you can adjust your conservatism or aggressiveness based off of what probabilities you think are appropriate for the operation there. I'm trying to synthesize it without making your eyes gloss over. MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, just a little bit of a time check. I want to make sure we get to the end of your presentation as it relates to this watershed. So just a note. MR. FORBIS: Yes. I think I've got a couple of minutes. I'll at least end on this part with one	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 57 to get back to where you started before the event happened. That analysis was done for Folsom, and it was figured out that for these different types of hydrographs that, essentially, for you have the forecast would have to be for forecasting a hundred-year event, and you would only get a two-year event in order for you to not get back where you started. And the forecasts are always wrong to some degree; they're never that wrong. Like, to forecast a 100-year (unintelligible) like, one of the biggest ones you've ever seen, and to actually have something that you see all the time come, like, there's never that big of a discrepancy. So that really put those real concerns with the water supply performance at ease that basing stuff off the forecast isn't going to lose you water. And we just get the benefit from that from being on the west coast, with the intelligence and skill of the River Forecast Center out here in California, and the fact that atmospheric rivers are a driving force. Like, we get to benefit from having reliable forecasts that they're never that wrong. Other parts of the country, they might be. They could be that wrong in certain areas. But at least here, in California, forecasts aren't not that wrong. MR. NIELSEN: I have a question.

Pages 58..61

	Page 58		Page 60
1	MR. FORBIS: Oh, sure.	1	got Shasta, you got Bear River out west, and then you
2	MR. NIELSEN: I don't want to take too much of	2	have when you all the way down, going into
3	your time on the thing here. But I think looking at the	3	Sacramento, you got all the problems with the American
4	dynamics of snowpack melts are just in my, you	4	River and Folsom and all that. So has anybody looked at
5	know I've seen it in the past (unintelligible) it	5	actually big, key flood event issues, trying to figure
6	looks like a couple of years ago I forget which water	6	how to or maybe earlier view flood data and, you
7	vears it is now but there was a great, great concern	7	know, (unintelligible) water water analysis of the
8	on snowpack melt being a factor in raising the lake	8	inflows, estimated inflow, because of the snowpack melt
9	really quickly. And, you know, some years when there's	9	and/or rain effects.
10	a lot going on. I'm watching the C-Deck owners more	10	MR. FORBIS: Yes. The group that does that
11	often than I'm looking at Twitter	11	within the Sacramento District isn't the we're on
12	MR FORRIS: Sure	12	operation like the realtime operations implementation
12	MP NIFIGEN: When the growing going over I	12	side So I think what you're describing is more of a
14	MR. NIELSEN. WHEN the show is going over, i	14	is like a foogibility study or some port of a study
15	was in New fork city getting it every, you know, rew	15	like a quater why intershed menagement study. And I
16	and argin I forget which upter year it upg and it	10	ince, a system why watershed management study. And i
17	and, again, i lorget which water year it was and it	17	know that there's been some in the past for different
10	inflore I would gay that the worse days on the	10	telling for looking at other parts of the state there
10	history 20,000 GEC inflore and that a pratty	10	tarks for fooking at other parts of the state where
20	program biggest days, so,000 Crs minows, and that's pretty	20	you re looking at multiple reservoirs at once. So i
20	MD FORDIC: Vog	20	when control manual, you tupically don't go to that
21	MR. NUELS: 105.	21	water control manual, you typically don't go to that
22	happening at a time when you're getting into that Margh	22	The scheduling cost get blown out of the water
23	nappenning at a time when you're getting into that march	23	if you do like an outromaly detailed look at like
24	period era where you re not going to have a lot more	24	ii you do, like, all excremely detailed look at, like,
20	opportunity to fiff the lake, then that s where I would	25	IIIIE IESEIVOIIS at the same time. But there is a
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on	1	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually,
1 2	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this	1 2	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving
1 2 3	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about.	1 2 3	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some
1 2 3 4	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right.	1 2 3 4	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and
1 2 3 4 5	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year,	1 2 3 4 5	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project managers throughout the course of that update. And it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project managers throughout the course of that update. And it definitely created some challenges to shift from one to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project managers throughout the course of that update. And it definitely created some challenges to shift from one to the other to maintain consistency throughout the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project managers throughout the course of that update. And it definitely created some challenges to shift from one to the other to maintain consistency throughout the multi-year projects. So if at all possible, maintaining
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project managers throughout the course of that update. And it definitely created some challenges to shift from one to the other to maintain consistency throughout the multi-year projects. So if at all possible, maintaining consistency in key leadership roles, it would be really
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best manage that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future.</pre>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best manage that. MR. NIELSEN: Thanks.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future.</pre>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best manage that. MR. NIELSEN: Thanks. MR. CONANT: Quick question. So we've seen a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future.</pre>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best manage that. MR. NIELSEN: Thanks. MR. CONANT: Quick question. So we've seen a lot of data about the individual dam operation, but has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future.</pre>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best manage that. MR. CONANT: Quick question. So we've seen a lot of data about the individual dam operation, but has the Army Corps done any work on how one dam affects the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future. MR. CONANT: Thank you. MR. FORBIS: I think I can probably forego some of the FIRO slides. I'm at the end, so I think it's important I at least cover this last one for water manual update. Some lessons learned that we found through this several year process of updating the water control manual and probably a lot of it's (unintelligible) we had but we had several project managers throughout the course of that update. And it definitely created some challenges to shift from one to the other to maintain consistency throughout the multi-year projects. So if at all possible, maintaining consistency in key leadership roles, it would be really valuable in updating the water control manual for Oroville. Another one that we saw that what we did that worked out the most: Keeping the lines of communication open with stakeholders. There were task force meetings, stakeholder
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 59 want to see what, you know, we can talk about later on as to how we can better predict snowpack. I mean, this year we don't have anything to worry about. MR. FORBIS: Right. MR. NIELSEN: But in a big snowpack year, looking back on old data on that, you know, I mean, the scariest CFS inflows was 150,000. MR. FORBIS: Exactly. And I think for projects like Folsom and Oroville where they have the outlet capacity, and the downstream channel capacity to where that the timeline that snowmelt occurs is so much more, like it did for the rain flood events, that even the high inflow from a snowpack is something that, in general, for these types of projects, are more easily managed than what you're saying, like the 175, 200,000 CFS inflows that occur within the day-and-a-half kind of a thing. That's something that, for projects as large as Oroville, would be more of a concern of how you best manage that. MR. NIELSEN: Thanks. MR. CONANT: Quick question. So we've seen a lot of data about the individual dam operation, but has the Army Corps done any work on how one dam affects the other dams which affects another dam until you got the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>Page 61 mechanism where that is looked at. It's just, usually, we're a part of the team, we're not the ones driving those sorts of projects. So I'd have to defer to some of my colleagues to better answer what's been done, and what's looking at being done in the future.</pre>

Pages 62..65

	Page 62		Page 64
1	With Folsom it was entities like the Bureau of	1	needed to focus on to get on the same page, DWR, Yuba
2	Reclamation, SACA, DWR, there are several partners that	2	Water, and the Corps were kind of already all on the
3	had different concerns at different times, and if you	3	same page and have been that way for a while in terms of
4	weren't meeting at a regular basis, your ability to	4	flood operation. So it's having that already in place
5	address those concerns was significantly impacted. So	5	should really benefit us as we move forward in
6	the fact that that was done was really helpful. We also	6	implementing these lessons learned. Some of them might
7	worked with the Weather Service to develop comprehensive	7	not even apply to the same degree as they did for
8	hydrologic data sets, including forecast information	8	Folsom.
9	that was used to verify the forecast-based operation	9	MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're thinking that
10	would be appropriate.	10	maybe five years is a realistic timeframe for having a
11	Another thing that we noticed is ensuring that	11	new manual?
12	the language in the water controlling on the graph, and	12	MR. FORBIS: That was a number that I
13	the modeling stayed consistent throughout. There are	13	estimated assuming no FIRO stuff started from scratch
14	at different stages one got ahead of the other, and	14	for just a reservoir X
15	didn't realize that, "Oh, this model isn't	15	MR. GALLAGHER: So you're thinking it could be
16	(unintelligible) this new sentence that we added into	16	even faster?
17	the operation," or, "Oh, model's doing this, but we	17	MR. FORBIS: Yes. We don't have any schedules
18	didn't add that to the diagram, we should add that."	18	set yes that identify, like, a water control manual
19	Those little hiccups just slowed us down at different	19	update would be completed by this date. But with FIRO
20	times. So making sure that you're consistently keeping	20	in place, it should expedite
21	those consistent throughout the whole process is	21	MR. GALLAGHER: I mean, Folsom took, like, ten
22	important.	22	years or more; right?
23	And then lastly, making sure that you identify	23	MR. FORBIS: More. Yeah.
24	and appropriately narrow scope for the NEPA process.	24	MR. GALLAGHER: I mean, five or less, I mean,
25	What we did for Folsom, we weren't sure what had to be	25	that's, certainly something I think we want to hear.
1	Page 63	1	Page 65
1	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got, further down in the process, we realized.	1	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual
1 2 3	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it	1 2 3	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final
1 2 3 4	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent	1 2 3 4	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know
1 2 3 4 5	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that	1 2 3 4 5	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up greating more	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR GALLACHER: We may be doing that at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that. I think I just have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALEA: (Unintelligible).	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh. I'm sorry. Go ahead.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. GALLAGHER: Before they're completed.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. GALLAGHER: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. GALLAGHER: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about. I think. 18 months behind. So where the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual? MR. FORBIS: I do. I think what also helps is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. GALLAGHER: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about, I think, 18 months behind. So where the manual wasn't officially approved until the spillway was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual? MR. FORBIS: I do. I think what also helps is that the establishment of the forecast coordinator	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about, I think, 18 months behind. So where the manual wasn't officially approved until the spillway was completed. It was, like. October 2017 the spillway
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual? MR. FORBIS: I do. I think what also helps is that the establishment of the forecast coordinator operations program has really facilitated the working	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about, I think, 18 months behind. So where the manual wasn't officially approved until the spillway was completed. It was, like, October 2017 the spillway done, and June 2019 the manual was done.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual? MR. FORBIS: I do. I think what also helps is that the establishment of the forecast coordinator operations program has really facilitated the working relationships that our agencies have. That we worked so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about, I think, 18 months behind. So where the manual wasn't officially approved until the spillway was completed. It was, like, October 2017 the spillway done, and June 2019 the manual was done. MR. GALLAGHER: Richt.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual? MR. FORBIS: I do. I think what also helps is that the establishment of the forecast coordinator operations program has really facilitated the working relationships that our agencies have. That we worked so well already that any of the hiccurs that we ran into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about, I think, 18 months behind. So where the manual wasn't officially approved until the spillway was completed. It was, like, October 2017 the spillway done, and June 2019 the manual was done. MR. FORBIS: And we would like to close that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 63 looked at so we kind of looked at everything. And then, when we got further down in the process, we realized, "Oh, we didn't need to look at this part over here; it doesn't play a role." But by that time we had spent time and funding looking at that. So making sure that you don't jump the gun and start doing the environmental impacts too early on to where you end up creating more work for yourself. That was one of the things that we learned that. And for projects like Oroville water manual update, we would be able to more smartly discern which areas to focus on, and when we should focus on them. So I think with that, I think I just have MR. LAMALFA: (Unintelligible). MR. FORBIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. MR. GALLACHER: Just some quick questions here. One, you identified those things you learned. Do you feel like we are addressing those as we embark on the Oroville water control manual? MR. FORBIS: I do. I think what also helps is that the establishment of the forecast coordinator operations program has really facilitated the working relationships that our agencies have. That we worked so well already that any of the hiccups that we ran into for Folsom where there maybe were some time that we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 65 MR. FORBIS: And just to clarify, our goal would be to have an updated water control manual approved for Oroville and Yuba before any final construction is completed at those projects. I know that Yuba Water is pursuing a secondary spillway at their facility, and I MR. GALLAGHER: We may be doing that at Oroville. MR. FORBIS: And it may occur at Oroville, too. And we would want to make sure the new rules are in place before the functionality of this potentially new structures can be used. MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. So you're wanting to do that before there's any of those infrastructure projects started? MR. FORBIS: Before they're completed. MR. FORBIS: We had that hope for Folsom, and we were about, I think, 18 months behind. So where the manual wasn't officially approved until the spillway was completed. It was, like, October 2017 the spillway done, and June 2019 the manual was done. MR. FORBIS: And we would like to close that gap.

Pages 66..69

	Dage ((1	D ogo <i>(</i> 9
1	MR. GALLAGHER: It's the public's set of	1	rage os expeditiously as possible? What are the resources from
2	(unintelligible.) Folsom actually did a full, complete	2	the federal government versus the State? But this a the
3	additional auxillary spillway.	3	priority of ours, which is, you know, doing this work.
4	MR. FORBIS: Yes.	4	You know, safety, flood control, and water supply; let's
5	MR. GALLAGHER: In that project.	5	figure out how to optimize all three.
6	MR. FORBIS: Right.	6	MR. GALLAGHER: Yeah, I mean, I think that
7	MR. GALLAGHER: And so the manual took that	7	everybody's on the same page and want to see this done
8	into account.	8	right, but try and do it, you know, as expeditiously as
9	MR. FORBIS: Yes. Exactly.	9	possible; right? And then so certainly we all want to
10	MR. GALLAGHER: So in the five-vear timeframe,	10	work together to make and vou've got lessons learned,
11	you said, you know, the 4 million gives it what you need	11	you know, from doing is this at Folsom, so I think we
12	right now. Also assuming that DWR and the other	12	can bring that all together, that's all very promising.
13	partners can provide technical, you know contributes	13	MR. CROWFOOT: And if I might suggest, maybe
14	some technical information, maybe just to the	14	we have a check-in, you know, on a quarterly basis where
15	department. Like, do we feel like we have with the 4	15	we have the leadership. Army Corps. DWR. our agency. So
16	million that's set aside for this fiscal year, and	16	for you all, you can hold us accountable for continuing
17	assuming that we keep getting, you know, continual	17	to move forward, make sure that there's enough
18	support there do you think we can keep the timeline	18	stakeholder operations et cetera. I like that because
19	that you give have the handwidth to keen that going?	19	it's enforcing penchant for us to keen our eve on the
20	Does that make sense?	20	hall
21	MS NEMETH: So I think we've identified	21	MR CALLACHER: Yeah Absolutely And then
22	probably an additional 4 million would be required to do	22	one quick thing on FIRO. I didn't see on there that as
23	this at the pace we would like to do it And so those	23	we're moving forward, we also should include the flood
24	are conversations we're having internally with the	24	control agencies. Trillia (nhonetic) and Sutter Butte
25	secretary within the administration about how best to	25	Flood Control agencies I don't know if they've been
25	secretary within the administration about now best to	25	FIGUR CONCIDE AGENCIES. I don't know II they ve been
	Page 67		Page 69
1	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive	1	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would
1 2	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to	1 2	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom
1 2 3	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very	1 2 3	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project.
1 2 3 4	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you	1 2 3 4	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of
1 2 3 4 5	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that	1 2 3 4 5	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLAGHER: Is that something we should	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLAGHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLAGHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLAGHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this takeover outreach that we need to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different between the two efforts.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this takeover outreach that we need to MR. GALLACHER: Right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different between the two efforts. MR. GALLAGHER: I just meant more so just for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this takeover outreach that we need to MR. GALLACHER: Right. MR. CONANT: And I know you do, too. So we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different between the two efforts. MR. GALLAGHER: I just meant more so just for the water control update.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLAGHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this takeover outreach that we need to MR. GALLACHER: Right. MR. CONANT: And I know you do, too. So we should have that conversation. Maybe start it as an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different between the two efforts. MR. GALLAGHER: I just meant more so just for the water control update. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Absolutely. They would be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this takeover outreach that we need to MR. GALLACHER: Right. MR. CONANT: And I know you do, too. So we should have that conversation. Maybe start it as an offline conversation around what are the resources we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different between the two efforts. MR. GALLAGHER: I just meant more so just for the water control update. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Absolutely. They would be reimbursed for that. Absolutely.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 67 support that. I think certainly we were very supportive the Corps language. And, you know, thank you to Congressman LaMalfa and Senator Feinstein was very helpful in securing that appropriation. And I think you can look to us to be doing that again to make sure that we've got the dollars needed to get this done in a timely manner. MR. GALLACHER: Do we need more money, like, from the State to help do this? Or are we looking maybe for additional money from the federal government? Obviously, they are putting 4 million in this fiscal year. MS. NEMETH: Right. MR. GALLACHER: Is that something we should maybe be talking about in our budget committee hearings, Senator Nielson and I? MR. CROWFOOT: Well, I'll say we want to move this process forward as fast as appropriate. In other words, as fast as possible. But also, doing this takeover outreach that we need to MR. CONANT: And I know you do, too. So we should have that conversation. Maybe start it as an offline conversation around what are the resources we need to keep this project contract and move it as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 69 officially incorporated into that group, but they would be similar to, you know, (unintelligible) on the Folsom project. MR. FORBIS: Good point. So one point of clarification there. Though Folsom uses forecast-based operations, it wasn't part of this FIRO program. Folsom's approach was to use what we have to the best that we can. And FIRO is how can we improve what we have, and then later on down the line use the better stuff, for lack of a better word. So the FIRO group is more focused on research and development of the forecasting capabilities and the forecasting product. What can be done to make that better? And then once that becomes better, how can that be use operationally? And so with the Folsom update (unintelligible) was absolutely and rightfully included in those task force meetings. But if we had done a similar thing for, like, a FIRO approach where you're doing a lot of R&D sort of analysis, the parties might have been slightly different between the two efforts. MR. GALLAGHER: I just meant more so just for the water control update. MR. FORBIS: Yes. Absolutely. They would be reimbursed for that. Absolutely. MR. CROWFOOT: So when we would be and I

	with the second se		
1	Page 70	1	until it was approved " So no we're not predluded from
2	look at that sort of (unintelligible) chart that		using the knowledge that we gain and the potential
2	schedules out the different pieces of the water control	2	benefits that would come from that before
4	manual undate and FIRO and then understand when it's	4	MR CROWFOOT: Well that's very helpful
5	going to take place? Is that your last hullet about	5	Maybe move to the last slide and turn on the lights
6	developing the final work plan?	6	Mr. Forbis gave a really good presentation We want to
7	MR FORBIS: Actually no That work plan is		open it up to any commission members and then I think I
, 8	specific just to the ETRO effort not the water control	8	want to take public comment a hit out of order so we do
9	manual update And I think you're highlighting one of	9	nublic comment now
10	challenges that we're going to face is that we have two	10	MR FORRIS: Sure
11	separate efforts looking at the same things but like	11	MP CONTANT: And we can gort of tally up any
12	still different But a lot of the same neonle are	12	multiple can solve of the public can offer you to be
12	working on both So this groating ally talking about	12	questions that members of the public can offer you to be
11	when the work plan outline, the technical work that's	11	ADIE LU ALLSWEI LUU.
15	going to be done as part of the EIDO BCD project	15	MR. CONNTE: But before up do that commission
10	Just to be dolle, as part of the Fiko kap project.	16	members and questions of Mr. Forbia?
17	in terms of creating an orovine-specific	17	MC NUTRINED: DWD. a voorly flood operation
10	T guage intergences meeting with DWD and the Game	10	MS. WIDENER. DWR S yearly 11000 operation
10	a guess, interagency meeting with DWR and the tops	10	the manual from Army Corres of Engineeral
20	scheduled for next month to talk about the tasks that	20	MD FORDER: Yes I'm not even sure of the
20	to really use the foderal the call will should do what	20	MR. FORBIS: IES. I million even sure of the
21	co really use the rederal the \$4 million rederally	21	exact tile, but the one that includes the emilanced flood
22	provided as smartly as possible. And that would likely	22	pool in it, yes that was developed by DWR. And once
23	include ruba water taking on some of the tasks of what	23	developed, they coordinated with us and allowed us time
24	would go into an update, and DWR taking on some of the	24	to review and provide any comments or reedback. But as
25	tasks going through the update.	25	We talked about before, as we got to since that was
_	Page 71		Page 73
T	So we have a meeting scheduled, coming up for		in the conservation space, the changes were in that
2	next month for that. I don't have a good guess of when	2	region and not in the flood control space, they had all
3	the update is scheduled, but it would come following	3	the authority they needed to implement the things that
4	that at some point.	4	they so chose.
5	MR. NIELSEN: Real quick. If it's looking	5	MR. PITIMAN: Mr. Forbis, I appreciate your
6	like it's a three or four, five years process, but you	6	presentation; it's really informative. I have a
7	find elements that you would say, "Hey, this could be	7	question about your visions in terms of your Corps area.
8	really helpful in the operation," are you precluded from	8	MR. FORBIS: Sure.
9	using new bits to add to the manual, or do you have to	9	MR. PITTMAN: In most of your drainages, do
10	use the old manual and then get all the new and improved	10	you have one point of flood control, or do you have
11	in order to make any running changes?	11	multiple points throughout drainage?
12	MR. FORBIS: That's a great question. No, we	12	MR. FORBIS: I guess it kind of depends on how
13	would use the our deviation process to implement	13	you're dividing up the drainages. The two we have
14	temporary changes that would benefit the various	14	four primary California watersheds that we kind of
15	purposes. And that's, in fact, what we did for Folsom	15	organize; the Sacramento, the San Joaquin, the Tulare
16	is, while we're still waiting for manual to be	16	Lake bed, and then Tuolumne River, and each of those
17	officially approved, we did deviations to the water	17	contain multiple reservoirs. Like, the San Joaquin, for
18	control manual for Folsom that were essentially the	18	example, there's all these stem sloughs and
19	draft water control manual that we were currently	19	(unintelligible) San Joaquin main stem. Like, all those
20	updating.	20	feed into the San Joaquin and eventually go down through
	So we were using the energians in the	21	for analysis and so there's typically there's usually
21	So we were using the operations in the		
21 22	yet-to-be-approved manual before it was approved because	22	one reservoir per one of those major river systems that
21 22 23	yet-to-be-approved manual before it was approved because we were looking at it just at this several month or	22 23	one reservoir per one of those major river systems that has flood control purposes for which there's a water
21 22 23 24	yet-to-be-approved manual before it was approved because we were looking at it just at this several month or one-year window. "Yes, it's appropriate for this year,"	22 23 24	one reservoir per one of those major river systems that has flood control purposes for which there's a water control manual for.
21 22 23 24 25	yet-to-be-approved manual before it was approved because we were looking at it just at this several month or one-year window. "Yes, it's appropriate for this year," or "Yes, it's appropriate for these next four months,	22 23 24 25	one reservoir per one of those major river systems that has flood control purposes for which there's a water control manual for. MR. PITIMAN: Well, the point of my question

Pages 70..73

	-		
1	Page 74 is, the Feather River system, upstream from Lake	1	Page 76 agency's typically comfortable with. And so we're
2	Oroville, has a lot of dams and a lot of facilities that	2	pushing the bounds a little bit out here in California.
3	are exceeding 100 years old.	3	It's exciting work for us. And especially knowing that
4	MR. FORBIS: Okay.	4	it's resulting in better performance from these projects
5	MR. PITIMAN: So my thought pattern is, as the	5	so they can do a better job than what they've typically
6	Corps has been in partnership with this project, my	6	done. So I'm happy to come back and share any progress
7	wonder is, as those projects have to be redone, rebuilt,	7	we've made.
8	whatever, is there a possibility the Corps might be	8	MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much.
9	interested in partnerships for flood control upstream?	9	MR. FORBIS: Thank you.
10	MR. FORBIS: I think there's a possibility. I	10	MR. CONANT: Those who want to make comment,
11	know I've attended one meeting where the not	11	you can fill out a speaker card, or you can also just
12	specifically the Feather River, but that one meeting	12	come up. But I will take the one card I have received
13	where the discussion of future federal interests in	13	already, which is Hellen Dennis. And would ask you to
14	infrastructure changes at dams in various watersheds	14	come forward, if you would, Helen. And what we do, as
15	came up. So I know that's a question that can be asked,	15	you know, Helen, is try to ask each of the public
16	and it's usually I'm not as familiar with the process	16	commenters to keep their comments focused so we can hear
17	of what comes from there, but I know those conversation	17	from everybody. And then if you have specific questions
18	occur and have specific entities or people are	18	that we can answer or Army Corps can answer, please feel
19	interested in pursuing that. I could find appropriate	19	free identify those. Welcome.
20	point of contact at our office to flush out those	20	MS. DENNIS: Thank you very much. As part of
21	details, because, unfortunately. I'm not the right guy.	21	the community. I'm more interested in what's happening
22	MR. PITTMAN: Well. I appreciate your answer	22	for the citizens, for us as a public. I don't want to
23	because I see Folsom as an example of getting the lower	23	know everything about water I just want to be kept safe
24	exit of the pool. It may be an example to use as many	24	from it. I don't want lake Oroville to only be for
25	other reservoirs, maybe (unintelligible) we have that	25	boaters and fisherman. I want it to be for regular
			boaters and risherman. I wale it to be for regular
-			
1	Page 75	1	Page 77
1	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other recomming. I mean Easther draining is hurse as	1	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to
1 2 2	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as	1 2 2	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't
1 2 3	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage.	1 2 3	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and beating going on at the lake
1 2 3 4	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might	1 2 3 4	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your	1 2 3 4 5	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the day the million camp to the other side them
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the beating is I don't see a lat of activity using an fam
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the beat on works including the boat on
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake form the ghave.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the common the lake and comparison and averthing that are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this beduis you know formalized maxing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving formard and up most on a guarterly basis. So you'ld be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oregrilla
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really appreciate your engagement. There was a lot of interest in having usu area and herefully and interest	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at the dam, and at the surrounding waterways. And that's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really appreciate your engagement. There was a lot of interest in having you come, and hopefully we can just stay leaned as a commission to your and starts.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 27	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at the dam, and at the surrounding waterways. And that's my comment. Also, another thing I read was that on one of these aboat (unintelligible) about Oroville is in the the one of these data (unintelligible) about Oroville is the one
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really appreciate your engagement. There was a lot of interest in having you come, and hopefully we can just stay looped as a commission to your process.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at the dam, and at the surrounding waterways. And that's my comment. Also, another thing I read was that on one of these sheets (unintelligible) about Oroville is that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really appreciate your engagement. There was a lot of interest in having you come, and hopefully we can just stay looped as a commission to your process. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. I'm happy to share.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at the dam, and at the surrounding waterways. And that's my comment. Also, another thing I read was that on one of these sheets (unintelligible) about Oroville is that the Department of Water Resources, DWR, owns and coverains the Oroville Department of water activity.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really appreciate your engagement. There was a lot of interest in having you come, and hopefully we can just stay looped as a commission to your process. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. I'm happy to share. This sort of work with FIRO and (unintelligible) covartion. that's beend now for the Course of Department	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at the dam, and at the surrounding waterways. And that's my comment. Also, another thing I read was that on one of these sheets (unintelligible) about Oroville is that the Department of Water Resources, DWR, owns and operates the Oroville Dam facility. I believe they get ligeneed are which lact time I beend there entill
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 75 discussion. But that makes a lot of sense for all the other reservoirs. I mean, Feather draining is huge, as we all know, and so is the Sacramento River drainage. But if you can get it in all the other pools, it might help the reservation. So I appreciate your conversation. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. Yeah, sure. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you, Mr. Forbis. MR. FORBIS: Thank you. Thanks for the invitation. MR. CROWFOOT: Have a seat. MR. FORBIS: Okay. MR. CROWFOOT: And just one request as you do, which is this body is, you know, formalized moving forward and we meet on a quarterly basis. So would be great if you or a colleague from time to time could come and update us on this process. Obviously, we have director of Department of Water Resources, but really appreciate your engagement. There was a lot of interest in having you come, and hopefully we can just stay looped as a commission to your process. MR. FORBIS: Absolutely. I'm happy to share. This sort of work with FIRO and (unintelligible) operation, that's brand new for the Corps of Engineers as an agency. So it's on the forefront of what our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 77 family members who want to go, say, swim, or who want to go camping, who want to see the wildlife. And I don't see that happening. I see only boating, boating, and boating going on at the lake. Specifically, I've been up to Loafer Creek, the dam, the spillway, over to the other side where the boating is; I don't see a lot of activity going on for the common citizen who doesn't have the money to own the boat, or maybe isn't interested in having a boat or going out on the lake, but just wanting to enjoy the lake from the shore. I'm seeing taking down more and more trees, more wildlife is being chased away of all the equipment and explosions and everything that are going on. When I come to these meetings, I want to here about Oroville. I do understand that Folsom is important to what is happening in Oroville, but I really want to hear about what's going on right now in Oroville in and at the dam, and at the surrounding waterways. And that's my comment. Also, another thing I read was that on one of these sheets (unintelligible) about Oroville is that the Department of Water Resources, DWR, owns and operates the Oroville Dam facility. I believe they get licensed which, last time I heard, they were still trying to get the ligence. Drd I was created to it.

Page 78 Page 80 1 because of the way they had been if the past. But that MR. JERRY: First of all, I would like to 1 2 thing I'm commenting on: Why are they making statements thank the director for follow-up on my concerns about 2 3 if they own it? the Palermo tunnel. Dave Sarkisian and I had a 3 MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you so much, Hellen. Just half-hour meeting prior this meeting here discussing 4 4 5 on the topic of recreation, this commission and its 5 some concerns of mine, and he presented some conclusions 6 members can identify any topics we want to make sure to 6 of his. And I'm going to discuss that here when this is 7 over with, with Senator Gallagher about the Palerrmo address in future commission meetings. So if there's an 7 8 interest in diving into recreation, both challenges and 8 tunnel. Okay? And I'll comment on that in a minute. 9 opportunities, we can certainly do that. Just a 9 But getting back to the Corps of Engineers' quick -- let's turn Helen's last point into a question, 10 presentation. Very, very complicated, very convoluted. 10 Like an air traffic control tower taking care of Delta 11 which is: Does DWR own the dam? And maybe a couple 11 12 and American Airlines and all these different airlines sentences on relicensing. 12 13 MS. NEMETH: Sure. DWR and state water 13 coming into a central area, controlling the flow. I 14 project is the owner of the dam. And that means that we 14 kind of think the same analogy would be for PJE, (Unintelligible), water coming into Oroville, south-end 15 acquired the land and financed the construction, so we 15 16 are, in fact, the owner-operator. And we have a water water coming in from the dams up there, Shasta; all 16 17 right to the water that we store in Oroville Dam. And 17 going into a common Sacramento River, going into the Bay 18 that is essentially, as you know, it provides water to 18 Area. 19 the Californians in the bay Area, all the way down 19 And handling all those concerns with 20 through Southern California throughout the central 20 saturation of the watershed, releases from concerns, 21 valley. So we are, in fact, the dam owner and operator. 21 maybe a radio gate (Unintelligible) like at the Folsom. 22 The state water project has 25 other dams throughout 22 All these different concerns, and now we're talking 23 California in which it is the owner and operator. So 23 about -- what I'm hearing here is an update of some 24 it's a very familiar role for the state water project. 24 flood control manual. Now, realizing that it takes 25 On the relicensing, we do, as many of you in this room 25 people to read and comprehend and understand a manual as Page 81 Page 79 1 know, that the relicensing was completed in, I think, it a guidance, I would just hope -- and maybe you can 1 2 was 2006. 2 clarify this -- is there somebody that has algorithms 3 We received the final environmental permit, it 3 once these manuals are compiled? The analysis is made was a biological opinion from the National Marine for each one of these dams, reservoirs, releases; what 4 4 5 Fisheries service in 2016. And we await final approval 5 they can hold, what they can't hold, what the weather is 6 from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to at the time, what the saturation is at the time. 6 7 7 actually activate that license. Until that time, we Is theres an algorithm of some sort going into 8 deal on an annual basis with a temporary license. 8 a centralized computer to where you have people there There's a lot of recreational benefits that are part of that are manning the control tower with all this stuff 9 9 10 our new license, particularly ones that are in what's 10 coming in? Is that existing now, or is it proposed, and 11 called the FERC boundary of the facility. To the extent 11 who's doing it? 12 that there are other recreational projects that the 12 MR. CROWFOOT: Really good question. Let me 13 department has committed to that's outside of that 13 just ask -- I'm going to ask Mr. Forbis. I have a 14 boundary, we have accelerated those -- particularly partial answer. But if you would, if you could just 14 since the Oroville spillway failure -- as the way to do finish up and identify if you have other questions too, 15 15 16 everything that we can to more immediately enhance 16 and then we'll answer them in --17 17 recreational opportunities, understanding that some were MR. JERRY: Well, I have concerns of different (Unintelligible) concerns of (unintelligible) canal. So 18 lost during that incident. 18 19 That continues to be a work-in-progress. We 19 if you want to focus on what the Corps of Engineers 20 are very focused on getting the license so that we can 20 presentation was to get that question, that I'm sure the start to do all the projects that we've committed to 21 21 gentlemen over here from Sutter County asked a similar 22 doing, now 14 years ago. So it's a huge priority for 22 one, along with this gentleman here, about all this coordination of these different dams and reservoirs 23 the department to do that. 23 24 MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Karla. Other 24 agencies --25 members of the public that care to share perspective? 25 MR. CROWFOOT: Yeah.

	Daga 82		Dogo \$4
1	MR. JERRY: Everybody is at the throttle and	1	authority for the flood control operations within our
2	the control, but is somebody controlling them?	2	district.
3	MR. CROWFOOT: Joe, maybe you could talk a	3	MR. JERRY: Not your district. In the
4	little bit about the flood operation center and the	4	MR. CROWFOOT: Let me ask Karla just and I
5	partnership between DWR and the Army Corps.	5	don't mean to cut you off just to directly answer the
6	MR. FORBIS: Yeah, absolutely. That's the	6	question. I'll tell you that, from my perspective, I am
7	first thing that came to mind. Thank you, sir, for your	7	confident that we have a flood operation center that
8	question and comment. So there currently exists with	8	integrates gaits all of this realtime data with each of
9	DWR, the joint operations center, which is a facility in	9	these agencies, and then ultimately, on our system, the
10	Sacramento that has the Weather Service, the Bureau of	10	buck stops with our director of DWR and her team. One
11	Reclamation, and DWR located in one facility. And	11	of the suggestions at out first meeting was to actually
12	within that is the flood operation center where the	12	offer a tour of the flood operation center to this
13	release the proposed releases from all these	13	commission, and I'd like to ask our organizers to put
14	reservoirs are shared and submitted and incorporated	14	that to the top of list.
15	into the Weather Service's stream flow forecasts.	15	And maybe before we get out of the winter
16	So you can see the impacts of future releases	16	season, offer that to this group, because I think it's
17	at various downstream gauges and control points. During	17	really informative to see. It does feel a little bit
18	this time of year, we have a video conference call or	18	like mission control at NASA, so I want to reassure that
19	meeting at least one a week during the flood season	19	they are But Karla and the question of sort of who
20	where we get together, look at the upcoming weather.	20	is the decision maker as it relates to the State owned
21	share our plans for releases and coordinate and ensure	21	and operated facilities and flood control?
22	that all the information is known by all parties so that	22	MS NEMETH: So every entity that owns its
22	way the forecast provided by the Weather Service are	22	facilities makes decisions about how to operate them
24	un-to-date and show realistic results of what would	24	But all the controls for flood control are approved by
25	happen when these release changes if any are scheduled	25	the Corps So we're making a decision on the lever but
25	happen when enebe rerease changes, it any are schedured,	25	the corpo. So we re making a accidion on the rever, but
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated	1	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps.
1 2	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with	1 2	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over
1 2 3	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very	1 2 3	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake
1 2 3 4	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time.	1 2 3 4	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount
1 2 3 4 5	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the	1 2 3 4 5	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here."
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all these different outfits that are making progress. Some	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the underground power plant. And should that break up
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all these different outfits that are making progress. Some are. You know, keep it simplistic. I don't care about	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the underground power plant. And should that break up there, it's going to flood right into the underground
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all these different outfits that are making progress. Some are. You know, keep it simplistic. I don't care about all this other stuff. I want it simplistic. Is this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the underground power plant. And should that break up there, it's going to flood right into the underground power plant. Once you lose that, you don't have that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all these different outfits that are making progress. Some are. You know, keep it simplistic. I don't care about all this other stuff. I want it simplistic. Is this going to somebody that is a decision maker that has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the underground power plant. And should that break up there, it's going to flood right into the underground power plant. Once you lose that, you don't have that almost 17,000 CFS stability to release water, because
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all these different outfits that are making progress. Some are. You know, keep it simplistic. I don't care about all this other stuff. I want it simplistic. Is this going to somebody that is a decision maker that has algorithms and a computer coming up with all these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the underground power plant. And should that break up there, it's going to flood right into the underground power plant. Once you lose that, you don't have that almost 17,000 CFS stability to release water, because the power plant will be flooded. And then the only
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 83 are making. Since that's a DWR, like, facilitated in-house function, I don't know the entire history with it, but I know we've been a party to that for a very long time. And there's been the subgroup with the another term for you the Forecasted Coordinated Operations Group that has been in place for over ten years, specifically for the Yuba and Feather watersheds with the Corps of Engineers. And that has quarterly meetings where we meet and discuss the goings on of the different projects, and also have a shared, like, modeling tool that can show if releases are coming from these different locations, what does that mean at these downstream points? MR. JERRY: But is there a general in charge of all this operation? You got the Navy, you got the Air Force and all this; and your corps being a federal plan to keep them from flooding out. And you've got all these different outfits that are making progress. Some are. You know, keep it simplistic. I don't care about all this other stuff. I want it simplistic. Is this going to somebody that is a decision maker that has algorithms and a computer coming up with all these variabilities to make a decision?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 85 it's all approved by the Corps. MR. JERRY: Yeah, but do you have control over PTE (phonetic) that's coming into your lake from Lake Almanor? Suddenly they say, "We got a horrendous amount of water coming up here," and you're sitting here, based upon, you know, Ponderosa and the works with a certain amount coming in, and suddenly they say, "We have a problem here." MS. NEMETH: We are absolutely incorporating all these inputs into our decision making. MR. JERRY: Then you have Shasta up there with their releases. Okay. Now, I want to get to the other thing that I'm up here for; that's the Pulermo tunnel. I mentioned that Dave Sarkisian and I had a meeting a while ago. I have grave concerns about the Pulermo tunnel. Take into consideration that this is a 2,430-foot tunnel going through Oroville Dam, releasing its contents just above the access road going into the underground power plant. And should that break up there, it's going to flood right into the underground power plant. Once you lose that, you don't have that almost 17,000 CFS stability to release water, because the power plant will be flooded. And then the only other way you can release water is the spillway because

Pages 86..89

	Page 86		Page 88
1	So now you've got a situation where you're	1	opportunity to connect directly with Department of Water
2	filling a whole reservoir up with nobody to control it	2	Resources. Can we just ask somebody at Department of
3	until it gets to 813, which is where the radio gate	3	Water Resources, just for the purposes of our
4	controls are. And all this jeopardy is only to provide	4	commission, just come up in about two minutes, at least
5	Feather River or South Feather Water Agency, I call	5	just give us so we're all understand what the Pulermo
6	it Old WID with 40 CFS of water. And I could jump	6	tunnel is from DWR's prospective, and an update on
7	over the ditch that's 40 CFS full of water. So the	7	addressing this gentlemen's concerns. Yeah, great.
8	whole concern is to take care of those people when they	8	MR. JERRY: Do you want know me to stand here,
9	have a situation where they could open a valve on an	9	or do you want me to sit down?
10	existing pin stock up there now and recover their 40	10	MR. CROWFOOT: Please have a seat. Thank you.
11	CFS.	11	MR. JERRY: Thank you.
12	Or, for that matter, DWR can go down on the	12	MR. CRADDOCK: Good morning, commission. Ted
13	river and put a pump and pick it up 200 feet and put 40	13	Craddock, acting deputy director of the state water
14	CFS in that canal to continue their operations. I	14	project. And, Jerry, good to see you today, and really
15	mentioned to Mr. Sarkisian there that a legal	15	glad that we were able to have our chief dam and safety
16	requirement and I brought this up in that meeting	16	engineer David Sarkisian connect with Jerry. So to your
17	with you. I have a copy of that, of which he has a copy	17	question, Secretary, I'll just give a very brief
18	of it. Going back to the 1960s to read about the	18	description of the facility. And then if we want to
19	conditions that water resources had to put those	19	talk in more detail, maybe this is something the
20	facilities in and guaranteed them the water. So they	20	commission would be interested in a future presentation
21	give you several options to be able to maintain that 40	21	on. It's a the facility is a small tunnel that's
22	CFS.	22	located below the dam, and it was bored through the
23	Having that tunnel there through the dam, in	23	bedrock underneath the dam. It's a facility that
24	my feeble estimation, is jeopardizing that whole side of	24	includes a concrete-lined tunnel for about halfway, and
25	the dam up there should it go out. You're looking at	25	then a tunnel plus, so a concrete plug in the tunnel,
	Dage 97		Daga 80
1	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the	1	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe.
1 2	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you	1 2	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of
1 2 3	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the	1 2 3	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in
1 2 3 4	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log,	1 2 3 4	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the
1 2 3 4 5	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to	1 2 3 4 5	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance. And I don't know how you would be able to send	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and I think the overall view is the facility's in good
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance. And I don't know how you would be able to send a diver down there 300 feet to pull that gate up. If	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and I think the overall view is the facility's in good condition. But we to continue to have additional
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance. And I don't know how you would be able to send a diver down there 300 feet to pull that gate up. If you had a broach, if you had a whirlpool, like I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and I think the overall view is the facility's in good condition. But we to continue to have additional dialogue with Jerry to make sure we're addressing his
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance. And I don't know how you would be able to send a diver down there 300 feet to pull that gate up. If you had a broach, if you had a whirlpool, like I mentioned before, that would suck the (Unintelligible)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and I think the overall view is the facility's in good condition. But we to continue to have additional dialogue with Jerry to make sure we're addressing his concerns.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance. And I don't know how you would be able to send a diver down there 300 feet to pull that gate up. If you had a broach, if you had a whirlpool, like I mentioned before, that would suck the (Unintelligible) down through it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and I think the overall view is the facility's in good condition. But we to continue to have additional dialogue with Jerry to make sure we're addressing his concerns. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you. That is really
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 87 150 PSI. You're looking at 300 foot of head over the top of the inlet. You're looking at a situation if you had to shut that facility down, you have to set the (Unintelligible) down 300 feet, pick up the stock log, pull the pins out of the side gate, and lower it down to shut it off. You're looking at a facility that's 60 years old. Okay. Right now, according to Mr. Sarkisian, they have looked into it, and it looks good for the next 20, 30 years maybe. But how long is that facility going to be up there? 100, 200 years? Somewhere in the meantime, you're going to have to go in there and do something to that; the valves that rust or the whole (Unintelligible), you know, the whole settling of the dam itself. Creating pressure on that 6-foot diameter tunnel, sometime, sooner or later, you're going to have to go in there and do a considerable amount of maintenance. And I don't know how you would be able to send a diver down there 300 feet to pull that gate up. If you had a broach, if you had a whirlpool, like I mentioned before, that would suck the (Unintelligible) down through it. MR. CROWFOOT: Can I this is helpful, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 89 which transitions to a steel pipe. The steel pipe then exits the other half of the way out of the tunnel. And so we're able to walk in to part of the tunnel and view the condition of the steel pipe and the valves. So we do those inspection regularly. And then additionally, we have also brought up in submersible equipment to inspect the upstream portion of the tunnel and look at the condition of the concrete. We really take Jerry's seriously. We had our team take a close look at it, they briefed me on the condition of the facility. Additionally, right now we have the benefit of the independent comprehensive needs assessment team taking a look at it, the (Unintelligible) part 12 team has also taken a look at it. And then Congress required us to assemble a Level 2 risk assessment team, so we have also had them look at the facility. So we're taking all that information, and I think the overall view is the facility's in good condition. But we to continue to have additional dialogue with Jerry to make sure we're addressing his concerns. MR. CROWFOOT: Thank you. That is really helpful. And if commission members at a future meeting

Pages 90..93

	Page 90		Page 92
1	have it. So thank you very much. Any other members of	1	So looks like lake levels are still low to the
2	the public that wish to comment? Okay. For our last	2	point that we wouldn't even be able to use the main
3	item, I'd ask our colleague from Department of Water	3	spillway. There's a lot of conversation about
4	Resources, Erin Mellon, come and give us an update on	4	operations plans. So every time we update our operation
5	communications. I think one clear message from Oroville	5	plans, and through the communication with the Army
6	and surrounding communities is that, over the last three	6	Corps, we want to make sure we're putting that out
7	years, is that DWR and our state needs to do better job	7	proactively as well. Any time we see large storms on
8	actually sharing information. And we've taken that	8	the horizon, or significant snowpack that's going to go
9	seriously and have made progress on that.	9	into the watershed, we want to communicate that early
10	work-in-progress. And Erin will update us on that.	10	and often.
11	MS MELION: Thanks Thank you all Thank	11	Again with the caveat that sometimes we'll
12	you commissioners I talked about this a little bit at	12	communicate it and the storm will move or change and
13	our last meeting. So like I just mentioned we just	13	we'll have to kind of adjust that So every time that
11	posted a digital article that kind of memorializes some	11	you use that news coming from us know that it's you
15	of the outroach that we want to do. It talks about when	15	brow there there are trained to get more acquirete
15	of the outreach that we want to do. It tarks about when	15	know, these things we're trying to get more accurate,
10	we want to do that outreach based on some annual	10	as the representative from the Army corps mentioned,
	milestones, and the (Unintelligible) that we do that		with things like FIRO, but there will be adjudgments.
18	outreach. And there are paper copies in the back for	18	We do annual multiple snow surveys every year, and
19	everyone. Like Secretary pointed out, we really want to	19	we'll be up there, actually a week from today. And we
20	proactively share information about the operations of	20	want to really connect those snow surveys and what we're
21	DWR as a whole, and, obviously, Oroville specifically.	21	seeing up in the mountains to what you guys can expect
22	We want to do is in a variety of ways to make sure that	22	seeing enter the reservoir here.
23	everybody has access to that. So we use e-mails, we use	23	And the, of course, our emergency action plan,
24	our website, we use print advertisements in local	24	which I think many of you are involved in the regular
25	papers, certainly social media.	25	workshops and tabletop exercises where we kind of go
1			
	Page 91		Page 93
1	${ m Page}91$ And if you guys have any other ideas of venues	1	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if
1 2	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far	1 2	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR
1 2 3	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles.	1 2 3	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations,
1 2 3 4	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do	1 2 3 4	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them
1 2 3 4 5	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think	1 2 3 4 5	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will be had. And we'll start communicating well ahead when	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also talk about in terms of elevation level. So we made that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will be had. And we'll start communicating well ahead when we anticipate potentially use with the understanding	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also talk about in terms of elevation level. So we made that update. There might be a couple more that's still
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will be had. And we'll start communicating well ahead when we anticipate potentially use with the understanding that, depending on weather patterns, things may change.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also talk about in terms of elevation level. So we made that update. There might be a couple more that's still getting tweaked.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will be had. And we'll start communicating well ahead when we anticipate potentially use with the understanding that, depending on weather patterns, things may change. We may adjust our operations and may not need to end up	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also talk about in terms of elevation level. So we made that update. There might be a couple more that's still getting tweaked. So if you see something and you feel like
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will be had. And we'll start communicating well ahead when we anticipate potentially use with the understanding that, depending on weather patterns, things may change. We may adjust our operations and may not need to end up using the main spillway. Unfortunately, this year, it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also talk about in terms of elevation level. So we made that update. There might be a couple more that's still getting tweaked. So if you see something and you feel like there's a clearer way of sharing that of us sharing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 91 And if you guys have any other ideas of venues that we should be communicating, we're all ears. As far as our website, we do these kinds of digital articles. And when we have new information about operations, we do these blog posts, put out press releases. I think Congressman LaMaltha talked about checking C-Desks and we also are pulling our charts off that website which shows current lake levels and releases from the facilities. As far as when we want to do that communication, some milestones that we come to every year are things like a new water year, or when the state water project makes its water supply allocations, which in large part determined by how much water in storage we have in Lake Oroville. We want to do communications when we need to make required releases from the facility, and that's for environmental reasons or water quality or water supply needs. Certainly any time that we ever intend to utilize the main spillway, a lot of communication will be had. And we'll start communicating well ahead when we anticipate potentially use with the understanding that, depending on weather patterns, things may change. We may adjust our operations and may not need to end up using the main spillway. Unfortunately, this year, it looks like it's going to stay pretty dry.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 93 through the communications and outreach that happens if there's a situation up in the facility. And really, DWR as the owner of the facility in those situations, partners with the local law enforcement to provide them the information they need to ensure that information gets to the residents. And so we really that's where that communication with local law enforcement happens. I also want to make sure everyone knows if you aren't already receiving the e-mails, please let us know and we'll get you on that lister. We also put the same content in those e-mails in weekly advertisements in the local papers, so you should be seeing those on Sunday. And then, during the, I think it was the last commission meeting, Supervisor Connelly, who I know couldn't be here today, made a really helpful suggestion to update some of the maps that we have on that on our California data exchange website to make sure that all those charts don't just talk where the lake is in terms of storage, but also talk about in terms of elevation level. So we made that update. There might be a couple more that's still getting tweaked. So if you see something and you feel like there's a clearer way of sharing that of us sharing that information, if you have ideas for how we share

Pages 94..97

	Page 94		Page 96
1	this information, or adjudgments to the language we're	1	miracle March.
2	using, we're all open, ears are wide open. I really	2	MR. JOHN: Yes, so we're experiencing what's a
3	appreciate that kind of feedback to make sure that we're	3	very usual dry period right now. February there's a,
4	communicating to you all in a way that's actually	4	based on the forecast that we're looking at right now,
5	helpful.	5	we could be completely look at a zero for total precip
6	MR. CROWFOOT: Thanks so much, Erin. The	6	for the month of February, which would be unprecedented.
7	community feedback and input has been really helpful to	7	So, you know, this as we are for the year, we saw a
8	improve our communications. And so let me ask, first of	8	pretty decent December, but we had a late start in terms
9	all, are there commission members that have any	9	of precip. We're probably running about I think it's
10	suggestions, observations, questions in term of these	10	about 50 percent of where we should be at this point.
11	these recent ways that we are communicating? I might	11	So it's a little bit concerning based on our experience
12	just ask Ted oh, sorry.	12	back in '14, '15 where we essentially, in January
13	MR. PITIMAN: I just want to add that 80	13	of 2014, it was the start of a 13-month essentially
14	percent of our learning today or more is generated	14	no significant precip for 13 months. We're still in the
15	by visual. So the more pictures, the better. I just	15	water in the wet period of year, so there's still
16	have the say that. That's a big deal and it really	16	hope.
17	helps.	17	Although, still looking out ten, 14 days,
18	MS. MELLON: Me too.	18	there's no significant precip. The good news is our
19	MR. CROWFOOT: Yeah, and I say, too, video	19	storage is relatively good coming off of a wet year. So
20	that can shared as well.	20	we're, you know, 2.2 million-acre feet. We're kind of
21	MS. WIDENER: I have just an observation for	21	leveling out, though, on storage. We've had to increase
22	the public. There's, like, a contact us at the end	22	the releases here just recently for the fact that the
23	of through one of those community update e-mails.	23	system is drying out downstream. And in order to meet
24	And you can click on it, and you can get a hold of Liza	24	the flow and salinity requirements in the delta, we are
25	really, really quickly. I had a little bit of an issue	25	having to up our releases along the Shasta and Folsom,
	Page 05		Page 07
1	with some dates that were not showing on the website;	1	which is a little bit unusual for this time of year to
2	she fixed it really quickly and got back to me, and it	2	start that this early. So, you know, we're not
3	was very much appreciated.	3	positioned very well right now.
4	MS. NEMETH: Thanks. Yeah, if you don't know	4	Although, like I said, it is as relatively
5	her already, Liza Whitmore is our public information	5	healthy storage coming off a wet year, so we could
6	officer here in Oroville. She lives up in Chico. That	6	withstand one dry year. If it's prolonged into another
7	was a new addition what have we been? A year now and	7	year, then we wold start to be a little concerned.
8	a couple months now? In or around?	8	But
9	MS. MELLON: So that was direct feedback from	9	MR. CROWFOOT: And, John, the flip side of
10	you all that we needed someone here, who lived here, who	10	that, of course, you're talking about water supply. At
11	was more accessible, and who also kind of understands	11	least there's a silver lining as it relates to flood
12	what you guys are dealing with on a daily basis, as	12	control. So plenty of space in the reservoir.
13	opposed to, you know, me in Sacramento. So thank you	13	MR. JOHN: Yes, plenty of space in the
14	for pointing that out. Liza's all yours.	14	reservoir. I think as was in Joe's presentation, we're
15	MS. WIDENER: Yeah, it's really good, I think,	15	not even close to having being open to that required
16	for the community. If you have questions or anything	16	vacant flood control space for this year. So that is
17	that you want put out there right away, and, you know,	17	the flip side. There is no concerns at this point
18	some kind of response, it's a really good tool for us.	18	whatsoever for any type of flooding.
19	MR. CROWFOOT: It's really great. You know,	19	MR. CROWFOOT: Got it. Questions of John?
20	while we have this slide up, maybe to conclude the	20	John is, like, the chief operator of the entire state
21	meeting and maybe it's Tad or John I see back	21	water system. He's got some fancy title I forget.
22	there if you want to just give us the sort of status	22	MR. JOHN: Yeah, I forget, too. Congressman?
23	report on the reservoir this season and what we can	23	MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. What could we figure
24	expect for the remainder. Not that we're asking you to	24	on having an updates, or even a final number, on ag
25	predict the weather. Tell us if we're going to have a	25	district allocations here locally, or farther down the
1		1	

Pages 98..101

	Page 98		Page 100
1	chain for DWR? I know you got a I think Erin said	1	those, say in March, which is still a month that we're
2	take another poke here on the snow next week. And is	2	open to that type of phenomenon.
3	that going to be kind of the final? Are we going to	3	MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, I wrote down a few C-Deck
4	hope for miracle March? What are we kind of looking at?	4	numbers from Oroville Lake reached it peak four days
5	MR. JOHN: Yeah, you know, so for the	5	ago; 805.53 is already trending down unless something
6	allocation for the kind of the local senior solvent	6	big happens on our runoff. A year ago today,
7	contractors, per contract, that's going to be the	7	interestingly, it was 774. So it's 30 feet higher than
8	final on that is going to be based on an April 1st	8	a year ago. But we had a lot happening before we
9	runoff forecast. Right now we're at a hundred percent.	9	reached the peak on June 26th of 896. And then the lake
10	So we're looking at a hundred percent for them, for the	10	dropped all the way down to 775, it's low point, on
11	senior folks locally. For the south delta for the	11	November 29, which is about the same as the one-year-ago
12	state water projects survey, we're only looking at	12	date. So it's only come up 30 feet since November 29 to
13	15 percent allocation at this point. And that is	13	where we are right now.
14	that's very low for this time of year. We will see how	14	So as, you know, the concern the gentlemen
15	things develop as we go through the spring. That	15	had, I don't see any way we're going to be getting into
16	forecast is always based on a conservative estimate of	16	a flood control situation. We can have an easy March.
17	the amount of precipitation we'll see through the	17	So I just thought those numbers were interesting on
18	remainder of the year.	18	Oroville a year ago. Compared to now, we have almost
19	MR. NIELSEN: You're very conservative early	19	zero snowpacks, so we're going to have to play it pretty
20	in the year. So if you believe that we're going to have	20	tight. Releases he talked about for delta saline and
21	the minimal amount of additional inflow, you know,	21	fish issues, how many CFS do you think that would peak
22	something taking into account the dryness we've had	22	at, looking at how we haven't had supplements from
23	and maybe average from here on out, do you see that that	23	MR. JOHN: Right. So we made about 500 CFS
24	15 percent can be improved upon for those a little	24	increase. We're hopeful that's all we're going to have
25	father south?	25	to make for at least the foreseeable future. I will say
	_ ^^		
1	Page 99	1	Page 101
1	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month and what happens is during that	1	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage I think there's more likely than not we're
1 2 3	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that	1 2 3	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I
1 2 3 4	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra	1 2 3 4	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in
1 2 3 4 5	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how	1 2 3 4 5	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small we do have some snownack. We will still get some
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR_NIELSEN: No. no. But I mean, last year I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows too, and we had a lot of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10.000, the high was about
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35.000 CES during that March period. I hope we see some
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR_JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would typically see a few inches of precip each month; we're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC relicensing and that situation when that's appropriate.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would typically see a few inches of precip each month; we're not seeing that in February. I mean it's not completely	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC relicensing and that situation when that's appropriate. MR. CROWFOOT: Me too.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would typically see a few inches of precip each month; we're not seeing that in February. I mean it's not completely unusual that we see a week's stretch of no precip.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC relicensing and that situation when that's appropriate. MR. CROWFOOT: Me too. MR. NIELSEN: Right now? Okay. What are we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would typically see a few inches of precip each month; we're not seeing that in February. I mean it's not completely unusual that we see a week's stretch of no precip, because much of our precip cones in through these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC relicensing and that situation when that's appropriate. MR. CROWFOOT: Me too. MR. NIELSEN: Right now? Okay. What are we looking at as far as, you know, as the FIRO or the needs
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would typically see a few inches of precip each month; we're not seeing that in February. I mean it's not completely unusual that we see a week's stretch of no precip, because much of our precip cones in through these atmospheric rivers. So that. vou know that has the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC relicensing and that situation when that's appropriate. MR. NIELSEN: Right now? Okay. What are we looking at as far as, you know, as the FIRO or the needs assessments, are those things that are in the way of a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 99 MR. JOHN: We hope so. So we update these forecasts every month. And what happens is, during that snow survey process that takes place where all the snow's measured comprehensively up and down the Sierra Nevada, that gets turned into a runoff forecast of how much runoff we expect from that from the snow that's up there, plus a forecast of anticipated precipitation. That then flows into a operations forecast in terms of what we can actually deliver to our contractors. The unfortunate thing is, the 15 percent was actually based on conditions as of February 1st. And as I mentioned, we're being shut out of here in February. So we don't see any movement upward on that allocation anytime soon unfortunately. MR. NIELSEN: So even just a movement of time doesn't have any optimism of MR. JOHN: Yeah, so there's certain expectation of a certain amount of precipitation occurring each month. Even in a dry year, we would typically see a few inches of precip each month; we're not seeing that in February. I mean it's not completely unusual that we see a week's stretch of no precip, because much of our precip cones in through these atmospheric rivers. So that, you know that has the potential of turning around if we get hit by one of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 101 I'm giving up hope yet that we have reached our peak in storage. I think there's more likely than not, we're going to start increasing storage once again once I mean, odds are we will get some sort of precipitation in March that and we do have some even though it's small, we do have some snowpack. We will still get some of that inflow later in the spring. So not giving up hope yet that we've peeked out on storage. MR. NIELSEN: No, no. But I mean, last year I liked to watch the inflows, too, and we had a lot of days between the low was 10,000, the high was about 35,000 CFS during that March period. I hope we see some 35s and kick this up a bit. I'm a little concerned. MR. JOHN: Absolutely. This is the time period where we actually would be cheering on an atmospheric river to provide some benefits to the water supply. MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. I'd like to, at the appropriate time I'll wheel back but on FERC relicensing and that situation when that's appropriate. MR. OROWFOOT: Me too. MR. NIELSEN: Right now? Okay. What are we looking at as far as, you know, as the FIRO or the needs assessments, are those things that are in the way of a FERC relicense? What are the other things in order to

Page 102

21 this path of improvements.

15 more confidence that we were looking at everything, we

16 were accounting for everything. I think the fact that

17 we have now three separate, independent entities that

18 are reviewing the work, I think, helps us, you know,

make the case to FERC that we're crossing T's and

20 dotting I's, and that we're committed to delivering on

23 case. But these very specific things that we can and

Here at Oroville ought to help us make the

19

22

25

1	get that resolved? And also, there's obviously a local	1	get to those as soon as we can.
2	concerns of the County and the City on some things being	2	MR. NIELSEN: Do I hear in there that you
3	met. I think everybody in favor of getting this done	3	have FERC has some boundaries on that, but are you
4	and having the a long term hydropower. Everybody	4	able make firm commitments independent of what FERC
5	wants that. But just, you know, the concerns	5	might that we can take to the bank locally as far as
6	immediately after the spillway failure and some of the	6	those recreation and facilities upgrades? Kind of like
7	more local issues.	7	what the lady was asking about, one of our public
8	What are you looking at with that whole matrix	8	members. On facilities that are accessible to her too,
9	as far as what you need to get out of the way as far	9	too.
10	as needs assessment. Is that a job that needs to be	10	MS. NEMETH: Absolutely.
11	done first? And the FIRO and that update there, are	11	MR. NIELSEN: But do we have and I might be
12	those things that need to be done, or is that	12	ignorant because I'm not here all the time, but do we
13	independent of what you need to do for a relicense?	13	have that plan? Is that something that we can put our
14	MS. NEMETH: I think technically it's	14	finger on, and then I can help reassure our locals at
15	independent. But I think the dynamic is, you know,	15	the City and the County, "Hey, we're looking good, and
16	post-spillway failure, a real interest in the County and	16	I'm going to go ahead and do my part to help encourage
17	the City and, you know, especially some of out friends	17	FERC to move forward once we have those assurances"?
18	recreational community really wanting to understand what	18	MS. NEMETH: Yeah, so we've done a handful of
19	out long-term plan was to enhance the facility. We are	19	projects and we can give you an update on those
20	close. And a lot of folks around some of the	20	projects that we're helping on the both on the
21	commissioners others have been participating in the	21	fish front, in the Feather, but also some of the work
22	comprehensive needs assessment. And, Ted, you can tell	22	that has been done around improvements to Loafer Creek
23	us the timing on that. But I believe we're close to	23	and other paces. So I'd be happy to provide you with a
24	reaching completion on the forecast and foreign	24	lost of work that's ongoing. But I think we have
25	reservoir operations, which is really exciting stuff, we	25	identified that as the universe of things that we can
1	Page 103	1	Page 105
1 2	which of course is all of this new information		MD (DOWEOOT: But Karla it also sounds like
2	that the Correct is committed to considering as it meres	2	it would be helpful to get the list of projects that
з л	towards a separate process which is undating the the		we we committed to within the EEPC license, too
т Б	control monuple		MC NEWETLI' Cure
5	So all those things are converging. I think	6	MD (DONEOOT: I think that a important for
7	ultimately it's at the disgration of the FEDC		you to know what we're stepping up and do you regall
, 8	Commission in Washington D.C. to make the		off the top of your head the amount of investment as it
a	determination and you know I think I mean my own	9	relates to the amount of funding?
10	observation if FFPC was you know as we were moving	10	MC NEMETH. John can you remind may or Tod
11	through this realtime emergency and corting things out		MR (ROWEGOT: Half a billion dollare?
12	through the aftermath and we were rebuilding our	12	MS NEMETH: One million
13	relationship with FERC and the engagement of many	13	MR CONTANT: Sav again Maybe on the
14	independent technical bodies that could help provide	14	microphone.
	L		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

24 cannot do given the FERC boundary, particularly as it MR. YARBOROUGH: With a "B" over the 24 relates to the recreational amenities. We just want to 25 50-year license. Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

22 total.

MS. NEMETH: Yes.

MR. CROWFOOT: Got it.

18 billion with the license.

MR. CONANT: Sorry to put you on the spot.

MR. YARBOROUGH: Sorry. An entire

MR. NIELSEN: Say that again, please.

MR. NIELSEN: One billion with a "B"?

MR. YARBOROUGH: Would be one billion in

Page 104

Pages 102..105

Page 106 Page 108 1 MR. NIELSEN: Invested over what? 1 think, just for some background information, you know, MR. YARBOROUGH: The anticipated 50-year for those that might not know. There is a lot of 2 2 pushback from the community about the new license where 3 FERC license. 3 it relates to recreation because of things like the 4 MR. NIELSEN: In what zone? What geographical 4 5 area? original recreation plan that was done in the '60s. 5 6 MR. YARBOROUGH: All around the --6 And, you know, a lot of those things were not 7 within the FERC boundary where the Oroville facility is. implemented in our community. And then, you know, when 7 8 MR. NIELSEN: (Unintelligible) over 50. Okay. that was brought to FERC's attention in the '90s, they 8 9 MR. CROWFOOT: And it seems like a good 9 were deemed to be not necessary. But there's a lot of follow-up would be -- at the Congressman's office, would 10 people still here that remember that, that remember the 10 11 be just some overview that detail in terms of what are 12 11 promises that were made a long time ago that never came the projects. I mean, we're excited about this, for 13 what 12 to fruition. So it's difficult for a lot of people in it's worth. And I think that we recognized that we 14 need to 13 the community to visualize a new license creating all of work with the community on finalizing the FERC 15 license, 14 these things that were being promised, because we have but, you know, we're sort of excited to get 16 this stuff in 15 been burned before, to say it simply. the ground. 16 MR. CROWFOOT: Well, that's helpful. And 17 MR. NIELSEN: I hope, again, that 17 really appreciate your candor. And that's what this 18 (unintelligible) remaining positive relationship there. 19 18 commission's all about, to actually bring that stuff to I know -- there's been a really good (Unintelligible) 20 with 19 the fore. So Karla had a good point. We're hearing is, the local chamber being the promoter for DWR. And 21 20 as we continue this conversation with local leaders who (Unintelligible) up there, so those are all good inputs. 22 I 21 offer the support for finalizing FERC, we feed to think everybody really wants to be going in this right 23 same 22 continue to identify how we will be held accountable for actually materializing these improvements. We're past direction. It's like, once you finally get to that 24 point 23 where boom, you get a 40 or 50 year operating 24 the hour. I want to give the final word of this meeting 25 license, it seems there's nothing really to talk about 25 to certainly Senator Nielson; this commission is sort of Page 107 Page 109 1 much after that. And we all want that license to a child of yours and Senator Gallagher's. And then also 1 2 happen. 2 Congressman LaMalfa, who we are honored to have here 3 3 today. Gentlemen? MR. CROWFOOT: Right. 4 MR. NIELSEN: Great, green hydro generation. 4 MR. NIELSEN: Well, to me, as I said, 5 MS. NEMETH: That's what's so good about this 5 it's humbling to be a part of this for so long. My whole life's actually been river and water issues all 6 commission. 6 7 MR. NIELSEN: Thank you. 7 over California. But to see the success of this, and 8 MR. CROWFOOT: Helen, quick point. 8 the commitment of the administration, it's really MS. DENNIS: All right. My quick point is, 9 9 encouraging. And I would hope so to the citizens. 10 when I made my comment, it was not solely for disabled 10 There were not too many private citizens here today. I 11 people. It's for everybody. 11 would hope that they would realize at least that this is 12 MR. CROWFOOT: Totally. 12 their opportunity to come. 13 13 MS. DENNIS: When I was younger and my And this is a rare thing that -- this is a 14 children were home, I used to take them out to the Loaf, rare thing in government, to have your government come 14 for instance, or the (unintelligible) and take them out 15 15 out to you. And you're getting the highest level 16 to go swimming and have a picnic and a barbecue or 16 officials. They are busy people, and they are devoting 17 whatever. I've taken Girl Scouts out. I've taken, you a lot of time and attention to the citizens here. So 17 18 that's a rare opportunity. So it's incumbent on the 18 know, lots of kids out there to enjoy the lake, and from 19 the shore, not necessarily in a boat. 19 citizens to involve themselves and pay attention to 20 MR. CROWFOOT: Yeah, I think the point we take 20 what's going on here. Because in that you have a very 21 from your comment is that we need all types recreational 21 direct voice. You don't have to send a letter and wait 22 access. 22 a month to get a response, "Thank you for your letter." 23 MS. DENNIS: That's right. And for everybody. 23 But you're getting to talk to the real shot callers. So 24 MR. CROWFOOT: Absolutely. 24 that's really helpful. I do want to just revisit and 25 MS. WIDENER: And if I can add to that. I 25 mention, again, the issue of siltation. I don't think

Pages 106..109

	Page 110		Page 112
1	we've got any problems.	1	with trashed.
2	I'm not hearing complaints. But it's	2	Then I realized that we had a couple of very
3	something that we must always be aware of. And it can	3	heavy days of rain and there's a little creek just to
4	becomes problematic when we create islands and much	4	the north of us. And the toilet was flushed along that
5	goes on. So let's just not forget that, as far as our	5	creek, the refuse of the campers. Now, I certainly
6	conveyance, silt is an issue. I used to have fun	6	talked to Director Bonam about this I think that's a
7	thinking about the people who would say we needed to	7	fish and wildlife issue, too, because of the geese and
8	control the flow of the river. Well, I said, "No,	8	ducks were swimming around in that mess. But it is a
9	you're never going to do. We're peons, that river's	9	real problem. And dealing with the agencies, there's a
10	going to go where it wants to go." So we tried to work	10	wariness in the legislature of dealing with this very
11	along with (Unintelligible) we can, but it's more the	11	important issue. And I'm going to say that I'm
12	boss than we are. But they are things that humans most	12	encouraged Governor Nielson not Nielsen He's never
13	assuredly can do.	13	going (Unintelligible).
14	I want to make just an observation that I	14	MR. CROWFOOT: You never know.
15	consider an encouraging one. Many of us deal with the	15	MR. NIELSEN: No, no. That's long
16	federal government; Congressman LaMalfa literally every	16	history. Governor Newsom has been really focused on it.
17	day. But my perception and I've gone to Washington	17	And focused very much so in his State of State Address.
18	many times on many issues. And under irrespective of	18	But (Unintelligible) there would be some follow-up on
19	the administration, usually, when you to go to D.C., you	19	this, and some action taken. The legislature most
20	meet with high-level officials, and they welcome you to	20	assuredly is dealing with it. I have to deal with it,
21	the office and smile and listen to you and patronize	21	and Gallagher, and LaMalfa, all of us in elected
22	you. And the conclusion is, we'll take it up with the	22	office. In many capacities, you local officials as
23	regions. Fine. Now, that's maybe a little harsh, but	23	well. And you're doing certain things with certain
24	not much. My point being, it's important to go, but	24	local ordinances about camping. We have got to attend
25	sometimes don't harbor high expectations. I never have.	25	to that because it is of crisis, of course. And we're
	D 111		D 112
1	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've	1	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our
1 2	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help	1 2	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed
1 2 3	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher	1 2 3	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one
1 2 3 4	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or	1 2 3 4	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's
1 2 3 4 5	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a	1 2 3 4 5	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was guite a few, but how much really surprised me. About	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was quite a few, but how much really surprised me. About five months ago, I got up one morning and usually	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things ahead if we persist. I don't want to belabor it too
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was quite a few, but how much really surprised me. About five months ago, I got up one morning and usually when I'm on the river, I always open the curtains and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things ahead if we persist. I don't want to belabor it too much, folks, but it's even polling is such a big issue
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was quite a few, but how much really surprised me. About five months ago, I got up one morning and usually when I'm on the river, I always open the curtains and look out at the river looked like a garbage truck had	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things ahead if we persist. I don't want to belabor it too much, folks, but it's even polling is such a big issue in the nation. But I assure you it's an issue
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was quite a few, but how much really surprised me. About five months ago, I got up one morning and usually when I'm on the river, I always open the curtains and look out at the river looked like a garbage truck had rolled into the river, all this enormous pile of trash.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things ahead if we persist. I don't want to belabor it too much, folks, but it's even polling is such a big issue in the nation. But I assure you it's an issue everywhere, even in out small community. Mr. Secretary,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was quite a few, but how much really surprised me. About five months ago, I got up one morning and usually when I'm on the river, I always open the curtains and look out at the river looked like a garbage truck had rolled into the river, all this enormous pile of trash. Within 30 minutes one-half of the Sacramento River	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things ahead if we persist. I don't want to belabor it too much, folks, but it's even polling is such a big issue in the nation. But I assure you it's an issue everywhere, even in out small community. Mr. Secretary, I tank you very much for your attentiveness. And
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 111 However, in the last couple of years, I've seen a big difference when I've gone back with the help of Congressman LaMaltha arranging things for Gallagher and I to visit. You sit down with these directors or secretaries, whoever you're meeting with, and it's a very direct conversation. They're all hands on desk listening to you. And there are even commitments made in the meeting. "Yeah, we're going to do that and here's how. We're going set it in place and work on it." Now, that meant that were well prepared for the meeting, because they don't just make decisions on the fly like that without examining the issues. But my point is, it's an encouraging thing to see the federal government being a bit more responsive to us. And lastly, the issue of homelessness, I want to revisit that. Last year we took a little cruise up to Feather and the Yuba and down the Sacramento. And I was really shocked the degree of campers. I know there was guite a few, but how much really surprised me. About five months ago, I got up one morning and usually when I'm on the river, I always open the curtains and look out at the river looked like a garbage truck had rolled into the river, all this enormous pile of trash. Within 30 minutes one-half of the Sacramento River it's pretty wide at that point was brown and filled	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 113 having severe public safety, human persons safety on our streets and out cities. My own staff have been harassed walking to their homes in downtown Sacramento. And one of them just made the decision this week to move, she's been so harassed and fearful. And as I mentioned as far as our waterways, there are issues here. We really need to focus on it. And I think that we are on the threshold of being able to do that. And the governor has done something addition, although there's no meat on the bone yet, and that's the key to how successful this will be. Addressing not just providing shelter for the homeless, but also other needs to allow those homeless individuals to become self-sufficient and self-supportive and not homeless. And we've got a long way to go with that yet, but at least encouraging it's talked about. And that's encouraging to me because that's a core problem, and that's getting to the core of the issue if we do it. And so there are some good things ahead if we persist. I don't want to belabor it too much, folks, but it's even polling is such a big issue in the nation. But I assure you it's an issue everywhere, even in out small community. Mr. Secretary, I tank you very much for your attentiveness. And Director Nemeth for being here with us. And we enjoy.

Pages	114117
-------	--------

	Page 114		Page 116
1	We enjoy your attention, and we appreciate it.	1	again, it's been a very positive relationship since
2	MR. CROWFOOT: Yeah, thanks so much. I would	2	we've had this happen the last three years. And the
3	just respond that we heard, I think at the last two	3	communication had been pretty incredible, and I think
4	meetings, members of the community that are concerned	4	Jim and James would commend that, as well as our state
5	about camping on the waterways below the dam. And, you	5	reps. So with that, thank you all, everyone. And on
6	know, we should think about how we may want to talk	6	the things we need to follow up with the Corps,
7	about that here at the commission. I mean, obviously,	7	please you know, the dollars, et cetera will want to
8	it's not related specifically to the dam, but its of	8	be apprized of how we're doing on that, and make sure
9	importance. And we state agencies need to do something	9	you have the flexibility to keep going. Thank you.
10	about it, along with our local partners. So let's	10	Appreciate it.
11	explore that. Congressman?	11	MR. CROWFOOT: Yeah, I would just say we
12	MR. NIELSEN: I had plenty of mic time, but I	12	cannot underestimate the huge news that you and the
13	just wanted to say thank you to the group. Thank you	13	president's administration was responsible for as it
14	Director and Secretary. And I want to pass up the	14	relates to the reimbursement of for the spillway and
15	chain, too, the thanks to the Trump administration for	15	the dam. That's a big deal. And I think, you know,
16	their responsiveness to Northern California's needs the	16	what we see above the fold of the newspapers is often,
17	last three years when we had the spillway, the car fire	17	you know, policy disagreements we have, but underneath
18	in Redding, and we had the campfire in Paradise. And as	18	that, there is just a ton of good work happening between
19	Jim was, you know, talking about, the responsiveness has	19	state and federal agencies, and certainly with the local
20	been really good on a (Unintelligible). And that goes	20	agencies. And so really appreciate your leadership on
21	hand-in-hand with our state-level folks.	21	the water issues and the forest issues. And we will
22	We don't always agree when everything down	22	definitely pledge to work more with you on that.
23	there's is as you noticed sometimes. But we've all	23	I have as homework from this meeting one sort
24	agreed on how the immediacy of things that need to	24	of, like, quarterly update where DWR and the Army Corps
25	happen in response to these disasters has been. And	25	could give an update to the elected members and
	Page 115		Page 117
1	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two	1	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual
1 2	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor	1 2	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and
1 2 3	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's	1 2 3	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be
1 2 3 4	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure	1 2 3 4	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join
1 2 3 4 5	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by	1 2 3 4 5	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA relicensing's going to come into play so that all these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for one am very open to agendizing this on a future
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA relicensing's going to come into play so that all these needs are met.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for one am very open to agendizing this on a future commission meeting. Again, not totally central to the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA relicensing's going to come into play so that all these needs are met. And I don't think anybody's that far apart.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for one am very open to agendizing this on a future commission meeting. Again, not totally central to the dam, but important to the community and the relationship
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA relicensing's going to come into play so that all these needs are met. And I don't think anybody's that far apart. It's more about how the information's going to be, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for one am very open to agendizing this on a future commission meeting. Again, not totally central to the dam, but important to the community and the relationship with state agencies.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA relicensing's going to come into play so that all these needs are met. And I don't think anybody's that far apart. It's more about how the information's going to be, and how the commitment is, you know, I guess, lack of a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for one am very open to agendizing this on a future commission meeting. Again, not totally central to the dam, but important to the community and the relationship with state agencies. Thank you all. Have a great day.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 115 it's been really good. So, you know, I look at two of those are fires and one of is this. And Governor Brown and I were getting on a plane to Washington, it's been almost three years ago, and he threw out a figure of what the State was going to need on the dam, and by golly, we reached it. You know? So and that's good. It doesn't hurt to have our big-guy colleague in and Bakersfield, Mr. McCarthy, with the presidency or two. I always, you know, remember that. And then thank you, Secretary, too, for your attention on this, but also on some of the steps that are being taken for forest management and fire prevention on the heels of Paradise. And the car fire because of the inventory of trees and forestry that so desperately needs to be done in this state. And so look forward to working with you on that even more so. And for our local officials here, too. I want to continue to be a resource as we talk together about how the FEMA relicensing's going to come into play so that all these needs are met. And I don't think anybody's that far apart. It's more about how the information's going to be, and how the commitment is, you know, I guess, lack of a better word, trustable versus what you know, you were	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 117 certainly the commission in terms of how the manual update is proceeding along with the forecast and important reservoir operations. I'd also like us to be able to advance an invite to the commissioners to join us at the flood operation center. If you could spend, you know, a few hours getting down to Sacramento, it's worth your time to actually see how the flood operation coordination happens. And we should hopefully do that by the end of the winter, if we can. Any final questions or thoughts? Yes, sir? MR. BARNES: Just in regards to Senators Nielson's comments on the homelessness issues on river. I'm involved in about 95 percent of our department's interaction with homeless, and any activities that we do. And I'd really embrace the opportunity to be a part of those conversations if it presents itself. MR. CROWFOOT: That's great. I mean, I for one am very open to agendizing this on a future commission meeting. Again, not totally central to the dam, but important to the community and the relationship with state agencies. Thank you all. Have a great day. (Whereupon, the matter concluded at 12:18 p.m.)

	Meeting on	02/21/2020 Page 118
	Page 118	
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	000	
	Penerter in and for the State of California, hereby	
5	certify that the witness in the foregoing denosition was	
6	by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth the	
7	whole truth and nothing but the truth in the	
8	within-entitled cause: that said denosition was taken at	
9	the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of	
10	the said witness was reported by me. a disinterested	
11	person, and was thereafter transcribed under my	
12	direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a	
13	full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and	
14	that the witness was given an opportunity to read it	
15	and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to	
16	subscribe the same.	
17	I further certify that I am not of counsel or	
18	attorney for either or any of the parties in the	
19	foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way	
20	interested in the outcome of the cause named in said	
21	caption.	
22	Executed this 7th day of March, 2020.	
23	Dlika Jundow	
24		
	Olivia M. Rendon, CSR 14306	
25		
1		

118