

References

Note: Whenever possible, references are provided with a link to an online and free source so as to make the resources that informed this report accessible to all interested readers. These links are current and active as of August 18, 2018. California Fourth Climate Change Assessment Reports are available from the California Climate Change Portal once released; see: <http://resources.ca.gov/climate/safeguarding/research/> and <http://www.ClimateAssessment.ca.gov>.

1. Douglass, B.S. and J.A. Sykes. 2013. Public-Private Partnerships in California *California Public Law Journal* (Available at: <http://documents.jdsupra.com/f7690ccc-bb17-4fda-9ba3-b98ef22cf78.pdf>).
2. Little Hoover Commission. 2010. *Building California: Infrastructure Choices and Strategy*. Little Hoover Commission: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <http://www.lhc.ca.gov/sites/lhc.ca.gov/files/Reports/199/Report199.pdf>).
3. Rogers-Gibson, J. 2017. *Built to Last Challenges and Opportunities for Climate-Smart Infrastructure in California*. Union of Concerned Scientists: Oakland, CA (Available at: <https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2017/11/gw-whitepaper-smart-infrastructure.pdf>).
4. ASCE Committee on America's Infrastructure. 2017. *2017 Infrastructure Report Card: A Comprehensive Assessment of America's Infrastructure*. ASCE: Reston, Virginia. (Available at: <https://www.infrastructurereportcard.org/>).
5. Meyer-Morse, N. and E. Levin. 2018. *Disaster Funding to Support the Development of Climate Safe Infrastructure: Presentation to the Climate-Safe Infrastructure Working Group*, Meeting #4, Davis, CA.
6. Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO). 2018. *Infrastructure Maintenance*. Sacramento, CA: LAO (Available at: <http://www.lao.ca.gov/Infrastructure/Maintenance>).
7. ASCE Committee on America's Infrastructure. 2017. *Infrastructure in California*. ASCE: Reston, Virginia (Available at: <https://www.infrastructurereportcard.org/state-item/california/>).
8. U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP). 2017. *Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment*. Fourth National Climate Assessment, ed. D.J. Wuebbles, D.W. Fahey, K.A. Hibbard, D.J. Dokken, B.C. Stewart, and T.K. Maycock. Vol. 1. Washington, DC: USGCRP (Available at: <https://science2017.globalchange.gov/>)
9. Bedsworth, L., et al. 2018. Summary Report. California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-013*, Sacramento, CA.
10. Iacobellis, S.F., et al. 2016. *Climate, in Ecosystems of California*, H. Mooney and E. Zavaleta, Editors. University of California Press: Oakland, CA. pp. 9-25
11. Muir, J. 2011 (1911). *My First Summer in the Sierra: And Selected Essays*. Paperback Classics, ed. B. McKibben. New York, NY: Library of America.
12. Dettinger, M.D., et al. 2011. Atmospheric rivers, floods and the water resources of California. *Water*, 3: 445-478 (Available at: <http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/3/2/445>).
13. Stahle, D., et al. 2013. The ancient blue oak woodlands of California: Longevity and hydroclimatic history. *America Meteorological Society*, 17(12): 1-23 (Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1175/2013EI000518.1>).
14. Seager, R. and M. Hoerling. 2014. *Atmosphere and ocean origins of North American droughts*. *Journal of Climate*, 27(12): 4581-4606 (Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00329.1>).
15. Namias, J. 1979. *Premonitory signs of the 1978 break in the West Coast drought*. *Monthly Weather Review*, 107: 1675-1681 (Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1979\)107<1675:PSOTBI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1979)107<1675:PSOTBI>2.0.CO;2)).
16. Ralph, F., P. Neiman, and G. Wick. 2004. Satellite and CALJET aircraft observations of atmospheric rivers over the eastern north pacific ocean during the winter of 1997/98. *Monthly Weather Review*, 132: 1721-1745 (Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2004\)132<1721:SACAOO>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2004)132<1721:SACAOO>2.0.CO;2)).
17. Dettinger, M.D. 2013. Atmospheric rivers as drought busters on the U.S. West coast. *Hydrometeorology*, 14: 1721-1732 (Available at: http://tenaya.ucsd.edu/~dettinge/drought_busters.pdf).
18. Dettinger, M.D. 2016. Historical and future relations between large storms and droughts in California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 14(2): 1-21 (Available at: <http://cw3e.ucsd.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Dettinger2016.pdf>).
19. Swain, D.L., et al. 2016. Trends in atmospheric patterns conducive to seasonal precipitation and temperature extremes in California. *Science Advances*, 2(4): e1501344 (Available at: <http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/2/4/e1501344>).

20. Georgakakos, A.P., et al. 2012. Value of adaptive water resources management in Northern California under climatic variability and change: Reservoir management. *Journal of Hydrology*, 412-413: 34-46 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237999094_Value_of_adaptive_water_resources_management_in_Northern_California_under_climatic_variability_and_change_Reservoir_management).
21. Draper, A.J., et al. 2003. Economic-engineering optimization for California water management. *Journal of Water and Resource Management-ASCE*, 129(2): 155-164 (Available at: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.7.9629&rep=rep1&type=pdf>).
22. Faunt, C.C., ed. 2009. Groundwater Availability of the Central Valley Aquifer. California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1766 (Available at: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1766/>).
23. Diffenbaugh, N.S., D.L. Swain, and D. Touma. 2015. Anthropogenic warming has increased drought risk in California. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(13): 3931-3936 (Available at: <http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2015/02/23/1422385112.long>).
24. Hanak, E., et al. 2010. Myths of California water: Implications and reality. *West-Northwest*, 16(1): 3-73 (Available at: https://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/1364/).
25. Lund, J. 2017. Reflections on Cadillac Desert, CaliforniaWaterBlog.com (Available at: <https://californiawaterblog.com/2017/07/09/reflections-on-cadillac-desert/>).
26. Barnett, T.P., et al. 2008. Human-induced changes in the hydrology of the Western United States. *Science*, 319(5866): 1080-1083 (Available at: <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/319/5866/1080>).
27. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2013. Summary for Policymakers, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, T.F. Stocker, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY (Available at: https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/wg1/WG1AR5_SPM_FINAL.pdf).
28. USGCRP. 2017. *Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I*, D.J. Wuebbles, et al., Editors. U.S. Global Change Research Program: Washington, DC. (Available at: <https://science2017.globalchange.gov/>).
29. Pierce, D.W., J.F. Kalansky, and D.R. Cayan. 2018. Climate, Drought, and Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the Fourth California Climate Assessment. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-006. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
30. Pierce, D.W., D.R. Cayan, and B.L. Thrasher. 2014. Statistical downscaling using localized constructed analogs (LOCA). *J. Hydrometeorology*, 15: 2558-2585 (For more information on LOCA, see loca.ucsd.edu).
31. Flint, L.E. and A.L. Flint. 2014. California Basin Characterization Model: A Dataset of Historical and Future Hydrologic Response to Climate Change, in *U.S. Geological Survey Data Release*. USGS, California Water Science Center: Sacramento, CA (Available at: https://ca.water.usgs.gov/projects/reg_hydro/basin-characterization-model.html).
32. Huang, H.-Y., et al. 2015. Downscaling near-surface wind over complex terrain using a physically-based statistical modeling approach. *Climate Dynamics*, 44(1-2): 529-542 (Available at: http://research.atmos.ucla.edu/csr/publications/Huang_etal_2014.pdf).
33. Berg, N. and A. Hall. 2015. Increased interannual precipitation extremes over California under climate change. *Journal of Climate*, 28(16): 6324-6334 (Available at: http://research.atmos.ucla.edu/csr/publications/Hall/Berg_CA_extremes_revised.pdf).
34. Romero-Lankao, P., et al. 2014. *North America, in Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, C.B. Field, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY pp. 1439-1498 (Available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/>).
35. Vose, R.S., et al. 2014. Improved historical temperature and precipitation time series for U.S. climate divisions. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 53(5): 1232-1251 (Available at: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-references/docs/vose-et-al-2014.pdf>).
36. Diffenbaugh, N.S., et al. 2017. Quantifying the influence of global warming on unprecedented extreme climate events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(19): 4881-4886 (Available at: <http://www.pnas.org/content/114/19/4881>).
37. Diffenbaugh, N.S., et al. 2008. Global warming presents new challenges for maize pest management. *Environmental Research Letters*, 3: 044007 (Available at: <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/3/4/044007/meta>).
38. Gao, Y., et al. 2015. Persistent cold air outbreaks over North America in a warming climate *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(4): 044001 (Available at: <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/10/4/044001/pdf>).
39. Pierce, D.W., et al. 2013. Probabilistic estimates of future changes in California temperature and precipitation using statistical and dynamical downscaling. *Climate Dynamics*, 40: 839-856 (Available at: http://tenaya.ucsd.edu/~cayan/New_Pubs/D30_Pierce_et_al_2012_CD.pdf).
40. Kalansky, J., et al. 2018. San Diego Summary Report. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-009. Sacramento, CA.

41. Rauscher, S.A., et al. 2008. Future changes in snowmelt-driven runoff timing over the western US. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35: L16703 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2008GL034424>).
42. Ashfaq, M., et al. 2013. Near-term acceleration of hydroclimatic change in the western U.S. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118: 10,676–10,693 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jgrd.50816>).
43. Pierce, D.W., D.R. Cayan, and B.L. Thrasher. 2014. Statistical downscaling using localized constructed analogs (LOCA). *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 15: 2558 (Available at: <http://loca.ucsd.edu/loca-bibliography/>).
44. Dettinger, M., et al. 2018. Sierra Nevada Summary Report. California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-004. Sacramento, CA.
45. Huang, X., A.D. Hall, and N. Berg. 2018. Anthropogenic warming impacts on today's Sierra Nevada snowpack and flood risk. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(12): 6215-6222 (Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077432>).
46. Griggs, G., et al. 2017. *Rising Seas in California: An Update on Sea-Level Rise Science*. A Report by the California Ocean Protection Council's Science Advisory Team Working Group. Ocean Science Trust: Oakland, CA (Available at: <http://www.opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/docs/rising-seas-in-california-an-update-on-sea-level-rise-science.pdf>).
47. DeConto, R.M. and D. Pollard. 2016. Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise. *Nature*, 531(7596): 591-597 (Available at: <http://www.documentcloud.org/documents/2823837-DeConto-Pollard-2016-Contributions-of-Antarctica.html>).
48. Kopp, R.E., et al. 2017. Evolving understanding of Antarctic ice-sheet physics and ambiguity in probabilistic sea-level projections. *Earth's Future*, 5(12): 1217-1233 (Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017EF000663>).
49. California Ocean Protection Council (OPC). 2018. State of California Sea-Level Rise Guidance: 2018 Update. California Natural Resources Agency and OPC: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <http://www.opc.ca.gov/updating-californias-sea-level-rise-guidance/>).
50. DeConto, R. and H.A. Fricker. 2017. Appendix 2: Role of Polar Ice Sheets in Future Sea-Level Rise: Implications for California, in *Rising Seas in California: An Update on Sea-Level Rise Science*, G. Griggs, et al., Editors. California Ocean Science Trust: Oakland, CA. pp. 47-71 (Available at: <http://www.documentcloud.org/documents/2823837-DeConto-Pollard-2016-Contributions-of-Antarctica.html>).
51. Erikson, L.H., A.C. O'Neill, and P.L. Barnard. 2018. Estimating fluvial discharges coincident with 21st century coastal storms modeled with CoSMoS. *Proceedings from the International Coastal Symposium (ICS) 2018*. Busan, Republic of Korea: Journal of Coastal Research (Available at: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/10.2112/SI85-159.1>).
52. O'Neill, A.C., et al. 2018. Projected 21st century coastal flooding in the Southern California Bight. Part 1: Development of the third generation CoSMoS model. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 6(2): 59 (Available at: <http://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/6/2/59>).
53. National Research Council (NRC). 2012. *Sea-Level Rise for the Coasts of California, Oregon, and Washington: Past, Present, and Future*. Committee on Sea Level Rise in California, Oregon, and Washington, Board on Earth Sciences and Resources and Ocean Studies Board, Division on Earth and Life Studies. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (Available at: <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/13389/sea-level-rise-for-the-coasts-of-california-oregon-and-washington>).
54. Graham, N., et al. 2013. Multi-model projections of twenty-first century North Pacific winter wave climate under the IPCC A2 scenario. *Climate Dynamics*, 40: 1335-1360 (Available at: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7595/b089131f0796077a5385aca4f1dbcd828aad.pdf>).
55. Bromirski, P.D., Flick R.E, and A.J. Miller. 2017. Storm surge along the Pacific coast of North America. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 122: 441-457 (Available at: http://horizon.ucsd.edu/miller/download/Storm_surge/Storm_surge.pdf).
56. Moftakhari, H.M., et al. 2017. Compounding Effects of Sea Level Rise and Fluvial Flooding. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(37): 9785-9790 (Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5603992/>).
57. Cloern, J.E., et al. 2011. Projected Evolution of California's San Francisco Bay-Delta-River System in a Century of Climate Change. *PLOS ONE*, 6(9): e24465 (Available at: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0024465>).
58. Ragno, E., et al. 2018. Quantifying changes in future intensity-duration-frequency curves using multimodel ensemble simulations. *Water Resources Research*, 54(3): 1751-1764 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323363918_Quantifying_Changes_in_Future_Intensity-Duration-Frequency_Curves_Using_Multi-Model_Ensemble_Simulations).
59. Zscheischler, J., et al. 2018. Future climate risk from compound events. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(6): 469-477 (Available at: <https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU2018/EGU2018-5439.pdf>).
60. Goebel, M., A. Pidlisecky, and R. Knight. 2017. Resistivity imaging reveals complex pattern of saltwater intrusion along Monterey coast. *Journal of Hydrology*, 551: 746-755 (Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169417301154>).
61. Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWDH2O). 2017. *Modernizing the System: California Waterfix Physical Infrastructure*. MWDH2O: Los Angeles, CA. 33 pp. (Available at: http://www.mwdh2o.com/DocSvcsPubs/WaterFix/assets/cawaterfix_infrastructure_whitepaper_factsheet.pdf).

62. Hoover, D.J., et al. 2016. Sea-level rise and coastal groundwater inundation and shoaling at select sites in California, USA. *Journal of Hydrology*, 11: 234-249 (Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214581815002050>).
63. Befus, K.M., et al. 2017. The magnitude and origin of groundwater discharge to eastern U.S. and Gulf of Mexico coastal waters. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(20): 10,396–10,406 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320483615_The_Magnitude_and-Origin_of_Groundwater_Discharge_to_Eastern_US_and_Gulf_of_Mexico_Coastal_Waters_US_East_Coast_Groundwater_Discharge).
64. Vitousek, S., et al. 2017. A model integrating longshore and cross-shore processes for predicting long-term shoreline response to climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 122(4): 782-806 (Available at: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5732929107eaa0f51dce43a1/t/591ddeead482e9423da718b2/1495129838932/Vitousek_2017a_JGR_EarthSurface.pdf).
65. Limber, P.W. and P.L. Barnard. 2018. Coastal knickpoints and the competition between fluvial and wave-driven erosion on rocky coastlines. *Geomorphology*, 306: 1-12 (Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169555X17305433?via%3Dhub>).
66. Knowles, N., D. MD, and C. DR. 2006. Trends in snowfall versus rainfall in the Western United States. *Journal of Climate*, 19: 4545-4559 (Available at: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JCLI3850.1>).
67. Mote, P.W., et al. 2018. Dramatic declines in snowpack in the western US. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 1(1): 2 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41612-018-0012-1>).
68. Ault, T.R., et al. 2016. Relative impacts of mitigation, temperature, and precipitation on 21st-century megadrought risk in the American Southwest. *Science Advances*, 2(10): e1600873 (Available at: <http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/2/10/e1600873>).
69. Seager, R., M., et al. 2015. Causes of the 2011 to 2014 California drought. *American Meteorological Society*, 28: 6997-7024 (Available at: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00860.1>).
70. Griffin, D. and K.J. Anchukaitis. 2014. How unusual is the 2012–2014 California drought? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41: 9017-9023 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2014GL062433>).
71. Park, W.A., et al. 2015. Contribution of anthropogenic warming to California drought during 2012–2014. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(16): 6819-6828 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064924>).
72. Sewall, J.O. and L.C. Sloan. 2004. Disappearing Arctic sea ice reduces available water in the American west. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(6): L06209 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2003GL019133>).
73. Sewall, J.O. 2005. Precipitation shifts over Western North America as a result of declining Arctic sea ice cover: The coupled system response. *Earth Interactions*, 9: [26] (23 pp.) (Available at: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/EI171.1>).
74. Denniston, R.F., et al. 2007. Episodes of late Holocene aridity recorded by stalagmites from Devil's Icebox Cave, central Missouri, USA. *Quaternary Research*, 68: 45-52 (Available at: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/56fec047b654f939134dc814/t/572263b4ab48de744db2ddca/1461871541828/Denniston_et_al_2007.pdf).
75. Wagner, J.D.M., et al. 2010. Moisture variability in the southwestern United States linked to abrupt glacial climate change. *Nature Geoscience*, 3: 110-113 (Available at: <http://citeserx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1111/j.1747-193&rep=rep1&type=pdf>).
76. Asmerom, Y., V.J. Polyak, and S.J. Burns. 2010. Variable winter moisture in the southwestern United States linked to rapid glacial climate shifts. *Nature Geoscience*, 3: 114-117 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo754>).
77. Oster, J.L., et al. 2014. Millennial-scale variations in western Sierra Nevada precipitation during the last glacial cycle MIS 4/3 transition. *Quaternary Research*, 82: 236-248 (Available at: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/quaternary-research/article/millennialscale-variations-in-western-sierra-nevada-precipitation-during-the-last-glacial-cycle-mis-43-transition/20C6E420311700DC26352E5E1E4B9COF>).
78. Cvijanovic, I., et al. 2017. Future loss of Arctic sea-ice cover could drive a substantial decrease in California's rainfall. *Nature Communications*, 8(1): 1947 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-01907-4>).
79. Swain, D.L., et al. 2018. Increasing precipitation volatility in twenty-first-century California. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(5): 427-433 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-018-0140-y>).
80. Pierce, D.W., D.R. Cayan, and J.F. Kalansky. 2018. Climate, Drought, and Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the Fourth California Climate Assessment. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*, Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-006. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
81. Diffenbaugh, N.S. and F. Giorgi. 2012. Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate model ensemble. *Climatic Change*, 114(3): 813-822 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256469107_Climate_change_hotspots_in_the_CMIP5_global_climate_model_ensemble).
82. Lavers, D.A., et al. 2015. Climate change intensification of horizontal water vapor transport in CMIP5. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42: 5617–5625 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064672>).

83. Polade, S.D., et al. 2017. Precipitation in a warming world: Assessing projected hydro-climate changes in California and other Mediterranean climate regions. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1): 10783 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319555340_Precipitation_in_a_warming_world_Assessing_projected_hydro-climate_changes_in_California_and_other_Mediterranean_climate_regions).
84. Bryant, B.P. and A.L. Westerling 2014. Scenarios for future wildfire risk in California: Links between changing demography, land use, climate and wildfire. *Environmetrics*, 25(6): 454-471 (Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/env.2280>).
85. Crockett, J.L. and A.L. Westerling. 2018. Greater temperature and precipitation extremes intensify Western US droughts, wildfire severity, and Sierra Nevada tree mortality. *Journal of Climate*, 31(1): 341-354 (Available at: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0254.1>).
86. Williams, A.P., et al. 2018. Effect of reduced summer cloud shading on evaporative demand and wildfire in coastal Southern California. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(11): 5653-5662 (Available at: <https://www.deepdyve.com/lp/wiley/effect-of-reduced-summer-cloud-shading-on-evaporative-demand-and-g8Z2yv90Fp>).
87. Hamlet, A.F. and D.P. Lettenmaier. 2007. Effects of 20th century warming and climate variability on flood risk in the western U.S. . *Water Resources Research*, 43(6): 1-17 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006WR005099>).
88. Das, T., et al. 2013. Increases in flood magnitudes in California under warming climates. *Journal of Hydrology*, 501: 101-110 (Available at: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/2fc6/cd8efae58dec9e15850c12710107b800211c.pdf>).
89. Gleick, P.H. 1987. Regional hydrologic consequences of increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide and other trace gases. *Climatic Change*, 10(2): 137-161 (Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00140252>).
90. Georgakakos, K.P., et al. 2012. Value of adaptive water resources management in northern California under climatic variability and change: Dynamic hydroclimatology. *Journal of Hydrology*, 412-413: 47-65 (Available at: http://www.academia.edu/23379151/Value_of_adaptive_water_resources_management_in_Northern_California_under_climatic_variability_and_change_Reservoir_management).
91. Nassopoulos, H., P. Dumas, and S. Hallegatte. 2012. Adaptation to an uncertain climate change: cost benefit analysis and robust decision making for dam dimensioning. *Climatic Change*, 114(3-4): 497-508 (Available at: <https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00719113/document>).
92. Hartmann, D.L., et al. 2013. Observations: Atmosphere and surface, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, T.F. Stocker, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY (Available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>).
93. Guzman-Morales, J., et al. 2016. Santa Ana Winds of Southern California: Their climatology, extremes, and behavior spanning six and a half decades. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(6): 2827-2834 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016GL067887>).
94. Westerling, A.L., et al. 2004. Climate, Santa Ana Winds and Autumn Wildfires in Southern California. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 85(31): 289, 296 (Available at: http://meteora.ucsd.edu/cap/pdffiles/04EOS_Westerling.pdf).
95. Jin, Y., et al. 2014. Contrasting controls on wildland fires in Southern California during periods with and without Santa Ana winds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 119(3): 432-450 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013JG002541>).
96. Hughes, M., A. Hall, and J. Kim. 2011. Human-induced changes in wind, temperature and relative humidity during Santa Ana events. *Climatic Change*, 109(1): 119-132 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227583809_Human-induced_changes_in_wind_temperature_and_relative_humidity_during_Santa_Ana_events).
97. Westerling, A.L. 2016. Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 371(1696) (Available at: <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/371/1696/20150178>).
98. Mann, M.L., et al. 2016. Incorporating Anthropogenic Influences into Fire Probability Models: Effects of Human Activity and Climate Change on Fire Activity in California. *PLOS ONE*, 11(4): e0153589 (Available at: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0153589>).
99. Abatzoglou, J.T. and A.P. Williams. 2016. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(42): 11770-11775 (Available at: <http://www.pnas.org/content/113/42/11770>).
100. Westerling, A.L., et al. 2011. Climate change and growth scenarios for California wildfire. *Climatic Change*, 109(1): 445-463 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227583836_Climate_change_and_growth_scenarios_for_California_wildfire).
101. Jin, Y., et al. 2015. Identification of two distinct fire regimes in Southern California: implications for economic impact and future change. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(9): 094005 (Available at: <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094005>).

102. Clemesha, R.E.S., et al. 2016. The northward march of summer low cloudiness along the California coast. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(3): 1287-1295 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2015GL067081>).
103. Schwartz, R.E., et al. 2014. North American west coast summer low cloudiness: Broadscale variability associated with sea surface temperature. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41: 3307-3314 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2014GL059825>).
104. Clemesha, R.E.S., et al. 2017. Daily variability of California coastal low cloudiness: A balancing act between stability and subsidence. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(7): 3330-3338 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017GL073075>).
105. Johnstone, J.A. and E. Dawson. 2010. Climatic context and ecological implications of summer fog decline in the coast redwood region. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(10): 4533-4538 (Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2822705/>).
106. Williams, A.P., et al. 2015. Urbanization causes increased cloud base height and decreased fog in coastal Southern California. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(5): 1527-1536 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2015GL063266>).
107. Iacobellis, S.F. and D.R. Cayan. 2013. The variability of California summertime marine stratus: Impacts on surface air temperatures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118(16): 9105-9122 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jgrd.50652>).
108. Knutti, R. 2008. Should we believe model predictions of future climate change? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 366: 4647-4664 (Available at: <http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/366/1885/4647>).
109. Hawkins, E. and R.T. Sutton. 2011. The potential to narrow uncertainty in projections of regional precipitation change. *Climate Dynamics*, 37: 407-418 (Available at: <http://citeserx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.383.8139&rep=rep1&type=pdf>).
110. United Nations (UN). 2015. The Paris Agreement. UN: New York, NY (Available at: http://unfccc.int/paris_agreement/items/9485.php).
111. Hsu, A., et al. 2016. *Taking stock of global climate action: As recorded on the Non-State Action Zone for Climate Action (NAZCA) Prepared by the Yale Data-Driven Environmental Solutions Group*. Yale University: New Haven, CT (Available at: http://datadriven.yale.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Data_Driven_Yale_Taking-Stock-of-Global-Climate-Action_Nov_2016_final.pdf).
112. Kuyper, J.W., B.-O. Linnér, and H. Schroeder. 2018. Non-state actors in hybrid global climate governance: justice, legitimacy, and effectiveness in a post-Paris era. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 9(1): e497 (Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/wcc.497>).
113. Denton, F., et al. 2014. *Climate-resilient pathways: Adaptation, mitigation, and sustainable development*, in *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group 2 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, C.B. Field, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, New York. Ch.20 (Available at: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/>).
114. Tørstad, V. and H. Sælen. 2018. Fairness in the climate negotiations: what explains variation in parties' expressed conceptions? *Climate Policy*, 18(5): 642-654 (Available at: <https://scholar.google.fr/citations?user=8nkn3q4AAAAJ&hl=en>).
115. Ranger, N., et al. 2012. Is it possible to limit global warming to no more than 1.5 °C? *Climatic Change*, 111(3): 973-981 (Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-012-0414-8>).
116. Schleussner, C.-F., et al. 2016. Differential climate impacts for policy-relevant limits to global warming: The case of 1.5 °C and 2 °C. *Earth System Dynamics*, 7: 327-351 (Available at: <https://www.earth-syst-dynam.net/7/327/2016/esd-7-327-2016.pdf>).
117. Haszeldine, R.S., et al. 2018. Negative emissions technologies and carbon capture and storage to achieve the Paris Agreement commitments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2119): 20160447 (Available at: <http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/376/2119/20160447>).
118. Kriegler, E., et al. 2018. Pathways limiting warming to 1.5 °C: a tale of turning around in no time? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2119): 20160457 (Available at: <http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/376/2119/20160457.e-letters>).
119. MacMartin, D.G., K.L. Ricke, and D.W. Keith. 2018. Solar geoengineering as part of an overall strategy for meeting the 1.5 °C Paris target. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2119): 20160454 (Available at: <http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/376/2119/20160454>).
120. Obersteiner, M., et al. 2018. How to spend a dwindling greenhouse gas budget. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(1): 7-10 (Available at: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-017-0045-1?WT.feed_name=subjects_climate-change-mitigation).
121. Burke, M., M. Davis, and N. Diffenbaugh. 2018. Large potential reduction in economic damages under UN mitigation targets. *Nature*, 557: 549-553 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-018-0071-9>).
122. Schleussner, C.-F., et al. 2016. Differential climate impacts for policy-relevant limits to global warming: The case of 1.5 °C and 2 °C. *Earth System Dynamics*, 7: 327-351 (Available at: <https://www.earth-syst-dynam.net/7/327/2016/esd-7-327-2016.pdf>).

123. Shindell, D., et al. 2018. Quantified, localized health benefits of accelerated carbon dioxide emissions reductions. *Nature Climate Change*, 8: 291-295 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-018-0108-y>).
124. Ciais, P., et al. 2013. Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, T.F. Stocker, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY. pp. 465-570 (Available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>).
125. Goldblatt, C. and A.J. Watson. 2012. The runaway greenhouse: implications for future climate change, geoengineering and planetary atmospheres. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 370(1974): 4197-4216 (Available at: <http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/370/1974/4197>).
126. Flato, G., J. , et al. 2013. Evaluation of climate models, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, T.F. Stocker, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (Available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>).
127. Eyring, V., et al. 2016. Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9: 1937-1958 (Available at: <https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/9/1937/2016/>).
128. Taylor, K.E., R.J. Stouffer, and G.A. Meehl. 2012. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93(April): 485-498 (Available at: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1>).
129. Deser, C., et al. 2012. Communication of the role of natural variability in future North American climate. *Nature Climate Change*, 2: 775-779 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate1562>).
130. Mankin, J.S., et al. 2015. The potential for snow to supply human water demand in the present and future. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(11): 114016 (Available at: <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/10/11/114016/pdf>).
131. Swain, D.L., B. Lebassi-Habtezion, and N.S. Diffenbaugh. 2015. Evaluation of nonhydrostatic simulations of Northeast Pacific atmospheric rivers and comparison to in situ observations. *Monthly Weather Review*, 143(9): 3556-3569 (Available at: <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-15-0079.1>).
132. Giorgi, F., et al. 2008. The regional climate change hyper-matrix framework. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 89(45): 445-446 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008EO450001>).
133. Dow, K., R.L. Murphy, and G.J. Carbone. 2009. Consideration of user needs and spatial accuracy in drought mapping. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA)*, 45(1): 187-197 (Available at: <https://www.hydroreform.org/sites/default/files/drought%20mapping%20dow%20et%20al%202009.pdf>).
134. Franco, G., et al. 2003. Climate Change Research, Development, and Demonstration Plan. *Public Interest Energy Research Program. Publication number: P500-01-025FS*. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/reports/2003-04_16_500-03-025FS.PDF).
135. Hidalgo, H.G., M.D. Dettinger, and D.R. Cayan. 2008. Downscaling with Constructed Analogues: Daily Precipitation and Temperature Fields over the United States. *CEC PIER Project Report. Publication Number CEC-500-2007-123*. Sacramento, CA: California Energy Commission (Available at: <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-500-2007-123/CEC-500-2007-123.PDF>).
136. Hansen, J., et al. 2013. Assessing "dangerous climate change": Required reduction of carbon emissions to protect young people, future generations and nature. *PLOS ONE*, 8(e81648) (Available at: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0081648>).
137. Trump, D.J. 2017. Statement by President Trump on the Paris Climate Accord. June 1, 2017. Washington, DC: The White House (Available at: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/statement-president-trump-paris-climate-accord/>).
138. Steffen, W., et al. 2018. Trajectories of the Earth system in the Anthropocene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(33): 8252-8259 (Available at: <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1810141115>).
139. California Department of Finance (CDF). 2018. New demographic report shows California population nearing 40 million mark with growth of 309,000 in 2017. CDF: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/e-1/documents/E-1_2018PressRelease.pdf).
140. Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2016. California State Water Project at a Glance. (Available at: <https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/What-We-Do/Infrastructure/Files/Publications/Californias-State-Water-Project-at-Glance.pdf>).
141. Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2018. Infrastructure. July 5, 2018 (Available at: <https://water.ca.gov/What-We-Do/Infrastructure>).
142. Mann, M.E. and P.H. Gleick. 2015. Climate change and California drought in the 21st century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(13): 3858-3859 (Available at: http://www.meteo.psu.edu/holocene/public_html/Mann/articles/articles/MannGleickPNAS15.pdf).

143. Vahedifard, F., et al. 2017. Lessons from the Oroville Dam. *Science*, 355(6330): 1139-1140 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315113567_Lessons_from_the_Oroville_dam).
144. Brooks, B., et al. 2018. High Resolution Measurement of Levee Subsidence Related to Energy Infrastructure in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *California Fourth Climate Change Assessment Core Technical Reports*. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-003, California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
145. Hummel, M.A., M.S. Berry, and M.T. Stacey. 2018. Sea level rise impacts on wastewater treatment systems along the U.S. coasts. *Earth's Future*, 6: 1-12 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2017EF000805>).
146. Schwarz, A., et al. 2018. Climate Change Risks Faced by the California Central Valley Water Resource System. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: CCCA4-EXT-2018-001. Sacramento, CA.
147. Knowles, N., et al. 2018. Modeled Responses of Unimpaired Flows, Storage, and Managed Flows to Scenarios of Climate Change in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Watershed. *California's Fourth Climate Change Core Technical Reports*. U.S. Geological Survey (USGS): Sacramento, CA.
148. Wang, J., et al. 2018. Mean and Extreme Climate Change Impacts on the State Water Project. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: CCCA4-EXT-2018-004. Sacramento, CA.
149. Green-Nylen, N., et al. 2018. Addressing Institutional Vulnerabilities in California's Drought Water Allocation, Part 2: Improving Water Rights Administration and Oversight for Future Droughts. *California Fourth Climate Change Assessment Core Technical Report*. Publication number: CCCA4-CNRA-2018-010. California Natural Resources Agency: Sacramento, CA.
150. Ekstrom, J.A., et al. 2018. Drought Management and Climate Adaptation among Small, Self-Sufficient Water Systems in California. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: CCCA4-CNRA-2018-004. California Natural Resources Agency: Sacramento, CA.
151. Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2017. 2017 Flood System Status Report. DWR: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <https://cawaterlibrary.net/document/2017-flood-system-status-report/>).
152. Caltrans. 2018. *California Transportation Asset Management Plan: Fiscal Years 2017/18-2026/27*. CalTrans: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/assetmgmt/documents/TAMP_Final_03_30_18.pdf).
153. Union Pacific. 2009. 2009 Sustainability and Citizenship Report. Union Pacific Corporation: Omaha, NE (Available at: https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/omhq10b29811006339.pdf).
154. Caltrans. 2018. *Caltrans Sustainability Roadmap 2018-2019* Caltrans: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://test.gis.ca.gov/green/Documents/CALTRANS/CALTRANS_2018-2019_Roadmap_Complete_Document.pdf).
155. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2018. *California Energy Facility Status*. (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/all_projects.html).
156. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 2017. California (Available at: <https://www.nrc.gov/info-finder/region-state/california.html>).
157. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2018. *California Renewable Energy Programs*. (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/renewables/renewable_links.html).
158. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2018. *California California Renewable Energy Statistics and Data*. (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/renewables_data/).
159. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2018. *Age of Generating Units of California's Power Plants*. Sacramento, CA: CEC (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity_data/generating_units.html).
160. California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). 2018. *Infrastructure*. (Available at: <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/infrastructure/>).
161. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2016. *California Major Electric Transmission Lines Maps*. Sacramento, CA: CEC (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/maps/infrastructure/transmission_lines.html).
162. Dale, L., et al. 2018. Assessing the Impact of Wildfires on the California Electricity Grid. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment Core Technical Reports*. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-002. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
163. Bruzgul, J., et al. 2018. Rising Seas and Electricity Infrastructure: Potential Impacts and Adaptation Actions for San Diego Gas & Electric. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-004. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
164. Burillo, D., et al. 2018. Climate Change in Los Angeles County: Grid Vulnerability to Extreme Heat. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-013. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
165. Moser, S. and J. Finzi-Hart. 2018. The Adaptation Blindspot: Teleconnected and Cascading Impacts of Climate Change on the Electrical Grid and Lifelines in Los Angeles. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment Core Technical Reports*. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-008. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
166. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2018. *California Natural Gas Industry* (Available at: http://energy.ca.gov/almanac/naturalgas_data/).
167. Radke, J.D., et al. 2018. Assessing Extreme Weather-Related Vulnerability and Identifying Resilience Options for California's Interdependent Transportation Fuel Sector. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. Publication Number CCCA4-CEC-2018-012. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.

168. California Energy Commission (CEC). 2016. *California's Oil Refineries* (Available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/petroleum_data/refineries.html).
169. Bruzgul, J., et al. 2018. Potential Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation Actions for Gas Assets in the San Diego Gas and Electric Company Service Area. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment Core Technical Reports*. Publication number CCCA4-CEC-2018-009. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA.
170. Radke, J.D., et al. 2017. Assessment of Bay Area Natural Gas Pipeline Vulnerability to Climate Change. *White Paper for the California Energy Commission*. Publication number: CEC-500-2017-008. CEC: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2017publications/CEC-500-2017-008/CEC-500-2017-008.pdf>).
171. San Diego Gas & Electric Company. 2016. *Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase: Report of San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company*. SDGE and SCG: San Diego and Los Angeles, CA Available at: <https://www.sdge.com/regulatory-filing/20016/risk-assessment-and-mitigation-phase-report-sdge-socalgas>).
172. Palmgren, C., et al. 2010. 2009 California Residential Appliance Saturation Study: Executive Summary. Consultant Reports. CEC: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/2010publications/CEC-200-2010-004/CEC-200-2010-004-V1.PDF>).
173. U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC). 2005. *The New Orleans Principles: Celebrating the Rich History of New Orleans Through Commitment to a Sustainable Future* USGBC: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://www.usgbc.org/resources/new-orleans-principles-celebrating-rich-history-new-orleans-through-commitment-sustainable>).
174. Wilson, A. 2009. Green building: Passive survivability and building codes. *ICC eNews*, 6(9): 3 pp. (Available at: <http://media.iccsafe.org/news/eNews/2009v2006n2009/greenbuilding.pdf>).
175. Rutgers University. 2011. *Green Building Code (New Commercial Buildings)* Rutgers University: New Brunswick, NJ (Available at: <http://greenmanual.rutgers.edu/newcommercial/strategies/survivability.pdf>).
176. ASCE Committee on America's Infrastructure. 2012. *California Infrastructure Report Card 2012: A Citizen's Guide*. ASCE: Reston, VA (Available at: <http://2013.infrastructurereportcard.org/california/california-infrastructure/>).
177. Durairajan, R., C. Barford, and P. Barford. 2018. *Lights Out: Climate Change Risk to Internet Infrastructure*. in *ANRW '18 - Applied Networking Research Workshop*. Montreal, QC, Canada: ACM (Available at: <http://ix.cs.uoregon.edu/~ram/papers/ANRW-2018.pdf>).
178. ASCE Committee on Adaptation to a Changing Climate. 2015. *Adapting Infrastructure and Civil Engineering Practice to a Changing Climate*, J.R. Olsen, Editor. American Society of Civil Engineers Reston, VA (Available at: <http://theicnet.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/2015-07-ASCE-Practice-to-Climate-Change-2015.pdf>).
179. Minsker, B., et al. 2015. Progress and Recommendations for Advancing Performance-Based Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure Design. *Journal of Water Resource Planning & Management*, 141(12): A4015006-4015001 - A4015006-4015016 (Available at: <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/%28ASCE%29WR.1943-5452.0000521>).
180. Read, L.K. and R.M. Vogel. 2015. Reliability, return periods, and risk under nonstationarity. *Water Resources Research*, 51(8): 6381-6398 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015WR017089>).
181. Wallace, B., D. Ellison, and R. Daugherty. 2017. *Delivering Safe, Cost-Effective, Sustainable Civil Infrastructure Projects Under Conditions of Non-Stationarity*, in *International Conference on Sustainable Infrastructure 2017*. ASCE: New York, NY (Available at: <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/book/10.1061/9780784481219>).
182. Dahl, K., et al. 2018. *Underwater: Rising Seas, Chronic Floods, and the Implications for US Coastal Real Estate*. Union of Concerned Scientists: Cambridge, MA (Available at: <https://www.ucsusa.org/global-warming/global-warming-impacts/sea-level-rise-chronic-floods-and-us-coastal-real-estate-implications#W3grC0hKh3g>).
183. Dahl, K.A., et al. 2017 Effective inundation of continental United States communities with 21st century sea level rise. *Elem Sci Anth*, 5: [art.37] (Available at: <http://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2017/2007/when-rising-seas-hit-home-elementa-research-article.pdf>).
184. Hauer, M.E. 2017. Migration induced by sea-level rise could reshape the US population landscape. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(5): 321-325 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate3271>).
185. Luber, G., et al. 2014. Ch. 9: Human Health, in *Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*, J.M. Melillo, T.T.C. Richmond, and G.W. Yohe, Editors. U.S. Global Change Research Program: Washington, DC. pp. 220-256 (Available at: <https://www.globalchange.gov/nca3-downloads-materials>).
186. Smith, K.R., et al. 2014. Human health: impacts, adaptation, and co-benefits, in *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* C.B. Field, et al., Editors. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY. pp. 709-754 (Available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/>).
187. California Workforce Development Board. 2016. *Unified State Plan 2016-2019: Skills Attainment for Upward Mobility; Aligned Services for Shared Prosperity. California's Unified Strategic Workforce Development Plan under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA)*. California Workforce Development Board: Sacramento, CA. 167 pp. (Available at: https://cwdb.ca.gov/plans_policies/wioa_unified_strategic_workforce_development_plan/)
188. Transportation Research Board (TRB). 2003. *The Transportation Workforce Challenge: Recruiting, Training, and Retaining Qualified Workers for Transportation and Transit Agencies*, Committee on Future Surface Transportation Agency Human Resource Needs, Editor. National Academies Press: Washington, DC. 186 pp. (Available at: <https://www>.

- [nap.edu/catalog/10764/the-transportation-workforce-challenge-recruiting-training-and-retaining-qualified-workers-for-transportation-and-transit-agencies](https://www.nap.edu/catalog/10764/the-transportation-workforce-challenge-recruiting-training-and-retaining-qualified-workers-for-transportation-and-transit-agencies)
189. The U.S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration. 2007. *Identifying and Addressing Workforce Challenges in America's Energy Industry, in High Growth Job Training Initiative*. DLETA: Washington, DC. 25 pp. (Available at: https://www.doleta.gov/BRG/pdf/Energy%20Report_final.pdf)
 190. Cronin, B., et al. 2012. *Attracting, Recruiting and Retaining Skilled Staff for Transportation System Operations and Management*. Transportation Research Board: Washington, DC (Available at: <http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166342.aspx>).
 191. Cronin, C.B., et al. 2013. *Building a Sustainable Workforce in the Public Transportation Industry – A Systems Approach*. Transportation Research Board: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/22489/building-a-sustainable-workforce-in-the-public-transportation-industry-a-systems-approach>).
 192. National Infrastructure Advisory Council (NIAC). 2014. *Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience National Research and Development Plan: Final Report and Recommendations*. NIAC Washington, DC. 245 pp. (Available at: <https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/NIAC-CISR-RD-Plan-Report-Final-508.pdf>)
 193. Quadrennial Energy Review (QER) Task Force. 2017. *Electricity Workforce of the 21st Century: Changing Needs and New Opportunities, in Transforming the Nation's Electricity System: The Second Installment of the Quadrennial Energy Review*, Quadrennial Energy Review (QER) Task Force, Editor. U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Policy: Washington, DC. pp. 5-1 - 5-37 (Available at: <https://www.energy.gov/policy/initiatives/quadrennial-energy-review-qer>).
 194. Moser, S. 2016. *Framing and Measuring Adaptation Success*. Presentation to the DOI Sandy Technical Team. Webinar, January 8, 2016 (available upon request from author).
 195. Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LA Metro). 2015. *Resiliency Indicator Framework*. LA Metro (Available at: media.metro.net/projects_studies/sustainability/images/resiliency_indicator_framework.pdf) Los Angeles. 54 pp.
 196. Rogers-Gibson, J. 2018. *Why Climate Change and Equity Matter for Infrastructure: An Interview with Chione Flegal of PolicyLink*, Union of Concerned Scientists. Oakland, CA (Available at: <https://blog.ucsusa.org/jamesine-rogers-gibson/why-climate-change-and-equity-matter-for-infrastructure-an-interview-with-chione-flegal-of-policylink>).
 197. Fairchild, D. and K. Rose. 2018. *Inclusive Procurement and Contracting: Building a Field of Policy and Practice*. PolicyLink and Emerald Cities Collaborative: Oakland, CA (Available at: http://files.emeraldcities.org/media/news/_Inclusive_procurement_final_03.05.18_3.pdf).
 198. Pathways to Resilience (P2R) Partners. 2015. *Pathways to Resilience: Transforming Cities in a Changing Climate*. P2R Partners (Movement Strategy Center, Movement Generation, The Praxis Project, Reimagine! RP&E and the Kresge Foundation): Oakland CA (Available at: <https://kresge.org/sites/default/files/Pathways-to-resilience-2015.pdf>).
 199. California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA). 2018. *Safeguarding California Plan: 2018 Update*. California's Climate Adaptation Strategy. CNRA: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/safeguarding/_update2018/safeguarding-california-plan-2018-update.pdf).
 200. PolicyLink and USC Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE). 2018. *Advancing Health Equity and Inclusive Growth in the Sacramento Region*. PolicyLink and PERE: Oakland, CA and Los Angeles, CA (Available at: <https://dornsife.usc.edu/pere/partnership/>).
 201. United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (UNOHCHR). 2018. *Human Rights and Climate Change*. 8/9/2018 (Available at: <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/hrandclimatechange/Pages/hrclimatechangeindex.aspx>).
 202. PolicyLink and USC Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE). 2017. *Advancing Health Equity and Inclusive Growth in Fresno County*. PolicyLink and PERE: Oakland, CA and Los Angeles, CA (Available at: <https://dornsife.usc.edu/pere/partnership/>).
 203. PolicyLink and USC Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE). 2017. *An Equity Profile of the Nine-County San Francisco Bay Area Region*. PolicyLink and PERE: Oakland, CA and Los Angeles, CA (Available at: <https://dornsife.usc.edu/pere/partnership/>).
 204. PolicyLink and USC Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE). 2017. *An Equity Profile of the Los Angeles Region*. PolicyLink and PERE: Oakland, CA and Los Angeles, CA (Available at: <https://dornsife.usc.edu/pere/partnership/>).
 205. PolicyLink and USC Program for Environmental and Regional Equity (PERE). 2017. *An Equity Profile of the Five-County San Francisco Bay Area Region: 2017 Updated Analyses and Projections*. PolicyLink and PERE: Oakland, CA and Los Angeles, CA (Available at: <https://dornsife.usc.edu/pere/partnership/>).
 206. Brown, C., et al. 2012. Decision scaling: Linking bottom-up vulnerability analysis with climate projections in the water sector. *Water Resources Research*, 48(9): 12 pp. (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2011WR011212>).
 207. Poff, N.L., et al. 2015. Sustainable water management under future uncertainty with eco-engineering decision scaling. *Nature Climate Change*, 6: 25-34 (Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate2765>).
 208. Steinschneider, S., et al. 2015. Expanded decision-scaling framework to select robust long-term water-system plans under hydroclimatic uncertainties. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 141(11): 04015023 (Available at: <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/%28ASCE%29WR.1943-5452.0000536>).

209. Luke, A., et al. 2017. Predicting non-stationary flood frequencies: Evidence supports an updated stationarity thesis in the United States. *Water Resources Research*, 53(7): 5469-5494 (Available at: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2016WR019676>).
210. Vahedifard, F., et al. 2017. Resilience of MSE walls with marginal backfill under a changing climate: Quantitative assessment for extreme precipitation events. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 143(9): 04017056 (Available at: http://amir.eng.uci.edu/publications/17_JGGE_MSE_IDF.pdf).
211. Vahedifard, F., A. AghaKouchak, and N.H. Jafari. 2016. Compound hazards yield Louisiana flood. *Science*, 353(6306): 1374 (Available at: <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/353/6306/1374.1>).
212. Robinson, J.D., F. Vahedifard, and A. AghaKouchak. 2017. Rainfall-triggered slope instabilities under a changing climate: Comparative study using historical and projected precipitation extremes. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 54: 117-127 (Available at: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/cgj-2015-0602#.W3lgngehKh3g>).
213. Gallien, T.W., B.F. Sanders, and R.E. Flick. 2014. Urban coastal flood prediction: Integrating wave overtopping, flood defenses and drainage. *Coastal Engineering*, 91: 18-28 (Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378383914000775>).
214. Lopez-Cantu, T. and C. Samaras. 2018. Temporal and spatial evaluation of stormwater engineering standards reveals risks and priorities across the United States. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13: 074006 (Available at: <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/aac696>).
215. Thorne, J., J. Bjorkman, and N. Roth. 2012. *Urban Growth in California: Projecting Growth in California (2000–2050) Under Six Alternative Policy Scenarios and Assessing Impacts to Future Dispersal Corridors, Fire Threats and Climate-Sensitive Agriculture*. Publication number: CEC-500-2012-009. California Energy Commission: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <https://uc-ciee.org/downloads/Projecting%20Growth%20in%20California%20Under%20Six%20Policy%20Scenarios.pdf>).
216. Wilbanks, T.J. and S.J. Fernandez. 2013. *Climate Change and Infrastructure, Urban Systems, and Vulnerabilities: Technical Report for the US Department of Energy in Support of the National Climate Assessment*. Island Press: Washington, DC (Available at: http://www.ourenergypolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/document_cw_01.pdf).
217. Thorne, J.H., et al. 2017. Does infill outperform climate-adaptive growth policies in meeting sustainable urbanization goals? A scenario-based study in California, USA. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 157: 483-492 (Available at: <https://www.infona.pl/resource/bwmeta1.element.elsevier-024c251a-94ff-3a9d-a381-e0ae8f0564ba>).
218. Thorne, J., et al. 2014. The use of regional advance mitigation planning (RAMP) to integrate transportation infrastructure impacts with sustainability; A perspective from the USA. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(6): 065001 (Available at: <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/065001>).
219. Department of Water Resources (DWR) and Climate Change Technical Advisory Group (CCTAG). 2015. *Perspectives and Guidance for Climate Change Analysis*. DWR: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <http://wdl.water.ca.gov/climatechange/cctag.cfm>).
220. Department of Water Resources (DWR) and Climate Change Technical Advisory Group (CCTAG). 2016. *One Year Later: Influencing Climate Change Analysis throughout California*. DWR: Sacramento, CA (Available at: <http://wdl.water.ca.gov/climatechange/cctag.cfm>).
221. Buizer, J.L., et al. 2013. *Preparing the Nation for Change: Building a Sustained National Climate Assessment Process*. National Climate Assessment and Development Advisory Committee: Washington, DC (Available at: http://downloads.globalchange.gov/nca/NCAC/NCAC_Sustained_Assessment_Special_Report_Sept2013.pdf).
222. Buizer, J.L., et al. 2016. Building a sustained climate assessment process. *Climatic Change*, 135(1): 23-37 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283943201_Building_a_sustained_climate_assessment_process).
223. Sims, D., et al. 2016. *Taking the High Road to More and Better Infrastructure in the United States*. Natural Resources Defense Council: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://www.nrdc.org/resources/taking-high-road-more-and-better-infrastructure-united-states>).
224. Re:focus. 2015. *A Roadmap for Resilience: Investing in Resilience, Reinvesting in Communities*. RE:invest collaborative (Bechtel Corporation, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, Wall Street Without Walls) (Available at: <http://www.refocuspartners.com/reinvest/>).
225. Northcross, M., et al. 2017. *Finance Guide For Resilient By Design Bay Area Challenge Design Teams: Final Version 1.0*. NHA Advisors: San Rafael, CA (Available at: <http://www.resilientbayarea.org/finance-tools/>).
226. Government Accountability Office (GAO). 2016. *Climate Change: Improved Federal Coordination Could Facilitate Use of Forward-Looking Climate Information in Design Standards, Building Codes, and Certifications*. GAO: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-17-3>).
227. Hallegatte, S., et al. 2012. *Investment Decision Making Under Deep Uncertainty: Application to Climate Change, in Policy Research Working Paper*. World Bank: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/12028>).
228. Ray, P.A. and C.M. Brown. 2015. *Confronting Climate Uncertainty in Water Resources Planning and Project Design: The Decision Tree Framework*. The World Bank: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/22544>).

229. Boston Planning and Development Agency. 2017. *Climate Change Resiliency and Preparedness Checklist*. Boston Planning and Development Agency: Boston, MA (Available at: <http://www.bostonplans.org/planning/planning-initiatives/article-37-green-building-guidelines>).
230. Office of Planning and Research (OPR). 2018. *Planning and Investing for a Resilient California: A Guidebook for State Agencies*. OPR: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://opr.ca.gov/docs/20171115-Building_a_Resilient_CA.pdf).
231. ASCE and Eno. 2017. *Maximizing the Value of Investments Using Life Cycle Cost Analysis*. ASCE and Eno: Reston, VA and Washington, DC (Available at: http://www.asce.org/life_cycle_cost_analysis_report/).
232. Solecki, W., et al. 2010. Climate protection levels. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1196(1): 293-352 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/44670904_Climate_protection_levels_incorporating_climate_change_into_design_and_performance_standards_New_York_City_Panel_on_Climate_Change).
233. Schwartz, P. 1996. *The Art of the Long View*. New York, NY: Doubleday (Summary available at: <https://www.slideshare.net/ramadd1951/summary-the-art-of-the-long-view>).
234. Lempert, R., et al. 2013. *Making Good Decisions Without Predictions: Robust Decision Making for Planning Under Deep Uncertainty*. RAND Corporation: Santa Monica, CA (Available at: https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB9701.html).
235. Lempert, R.J., S.W. Popper, and S.C. Bankes. 2003. *Shaping the Next One Hundred Years: New Methods for Quantitative, Longer-Term Policy Analysis*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (Available at: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/monograph_reports/2007/MR1626.pdf).
236. Lempert, R.J. and M.T. Collins. 2007. Managing the risk of uncertain threshold responses: Comparison of robust, optimum, and precautionary approaches. *Risk Analysis*, 27: 1009-1026 (Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2007.00940.x>).
237. Brown, C. and R.L. Wilby. 2012. An alternate approach to assessing climate risks. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 92(41): 401-402 (Available at: http://www.value-cost.eu/sites/default/files/BrownWilby2012E0410001_rga.pdf).
238. Haasnoot, M., et al. 2013. Dynamic adaptive policy pathways: A method for crafting robust decisions for a deeply uncertain world. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(2): 485-498 (Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095937801200146X>).
239. Neufville, R.d. and S. Scholtes. 2011. *Flexibility in Engineering Design*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
240. Walters, C. 1986. Adaptive Management of Renewable Resources. New York: MacMillan Publishing Co.
241. Gunderson, L.H. 1999. Resilience, flexibility and adaptive management - Antidotes for spurious certitude? *Conservation Ecology*, 3(1): 7 (Available at: <http://www.consecol.org/vol3/iss1/art7/>).
242. UK Environment Agency. 2012. *Thames Estuary 2100 Plan: Managing flood risk through London and the Thames estuary*. UK Environment Agency: London (Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/322061/LIT7540_43858f.pdf).
243. da Silva, J., S. Kernaghan, and A. Luque. 2012. A systems approach to meeting the challenges of urban climate change. *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development*, 4(2): 125-145 (Available at: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tjue120>).
244. Satterthwaite, D. and D. Dodman. 2016. Towards resilience and transformation for cities within a finite planet. *Environment and Urbanization*, 25(2): 291-298 (Available at: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0956247813501421>).
245. Pallardy, R. 2018. Deepwater Horizon oil spill of 2010 (Available at: <https://www.britannica.com/event/Deepwater-Horizon-oil-spill-of-2010>).
246. World Nuclear Association. 2018. *Fukushima Accident* (Available at: <http://www.world-nuclear.org/information-library/safety-and-security/safety-of-plants/fukushima-accident.aspx>).
247. Chester, M.V. and B. Allenby. 2018. Toward adaptive infrastructure: Flexibility and agility in a non-stationarity age. *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure*: 1-19 (Available at: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/23789689.2017.1416846>).
248. Kim, Y., et al. 2017. Fail-safe and safe-to-fail adaptation: Decision-making for urban flooding under climate change. *Climatic Change*, 145: 387-412 (Available at: https://ideas.repec.org/a/spr/climat/v145y2017i3d10.1007_s10584-017-2090-1.html).
249. Möller, N. and S.O. Hansson. 2008. Principles of engineering safety: Risk and uncertainty reduction. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 93(6): 798-805 (Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0951832007001251>).
250. Park, J., et al. 2013. Integrating risk and resilience approaches to catastrophe management in engineering systems. *Risk Analysis*, 33(3): 356-367 (Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01885.x>).
251. Ahern, J. 2011. From fail-safe to safe-to-fail: Sustainability and resilience in the new urban world. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 100: 341-343 (Available at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1008&context=larp_grad_research).

252. Tye, M.R., G.J. Holland, and J.M. Done. 2015. Rethinking failure: Time for closer engineer-scientist collaborations on design. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Forensic Engineering*, 168: 49-57 (Available at: <https://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/doi/abs/10.1680/feng.14.00004>).
253. Ayyub, B.M., et al. 2018. *Climate Resilient Infrastructure: A Manual of Practice on Adaptive Design and Risk Management*. Reston, VA: ASCE (forthcoming).
254. Wright, K., K. Whitehouse, and J. Curti. 2017. *Voluntary Resilience Standards: An Assessment of the Emergent Market for Resilience in the Built Environment*. Report Prepared for the Energy, Kresge and Barr Foundations. Meister Consultants Group: Boston, MA (Available at: <http://www.mc-group.com/voluntary-resilience-standards-an-assessment-of-the-emerging-market-for-resilience-in-the-built-environment/>).
255. Moran, D. and E. Mihaly. 2018. *Climate Adaptation and Liability: A Legal Primer and Workshop Summary Report*. Conservation Law Foundation Boston Green Ribbon Commission: Boston, MA. 64 pp. (Available at: https://www.clf.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/GRC_CLF_Report_R8.pdf)
256. Supreme Court of California. 2015. *Randall Keith HAMPTON et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants, v. COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, Defendant and Respondent*. San Francisco (Available at: <https://caselaw.findlaw.com/ca-supreme-court/1720566.html>).
257. Institute for Sustainable Communities (ISC) and American Society for Adaptation Professionals (ASAP). 2017. *New England Climate Network Workshop Proceedings in New England Climate Network Workshop: Funding, Finance, and Investment Solutions for Climate Adaptation*. 2017. ISC, ASAP.
258. Arndt, C. 2016. *Infrastructure Spending Trends*, Washington, DC: American Action Forum (AAF). (Available at: <http://americanactionforum.org>).
259. Gray, G. 2015. *Trends in Federal Infrastructure Spending*, AAF, Editor. Washington, DC: American Action Forum (Available at: <https://americanactionforum.org>).
260. Governing. 2015. *Public Infrastructure spending for state and local governments*. Governing (Available at: <http://www.governing.com/gov-data/state-local-government-construction-spending.html>).
261. Elmer, V. and A. Leigland. 2014. *Infrastructure Planning and Financing: A Smart and Sustainable Guide for Local Practitioners*. New York, NY: Routledge.
262. Taylor, M. 2011. *A Ten-Year Perspective: California Infrastructure Spending*. Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO): Sacramento, CA (Available at: <https://lao.ca.gov/Publications/Detail/2509>).
263. Rueben, K. and S. de Alth. 2005. *Infrastructure financing in California, in California 2025: Taking on the Future*, E. Hanak and M. Baldassare, Editors. Public Policy Institute of California: San Francisco, CA. pp. 83-112 (Available at: <http://www.ppic.org/publication/california-2025-taking-on-the-future/>).
264. Government Accounting Office (GAO). 2000. *U.S. Infrastructure: Funding Trends and Opportunities to Improve Investment Decisions*, Report to Congress. GAO: Washington, DC (Available at: <https://www.gao.gov/products/RCED-AIMD-00-35>).
265. Hanak, E. and D. Reed. 2009. *Paying for Infrastructure: California's Choices, in At Issue: Critical Facts on Critical Issues*. San Francisco, CA: Public Policy Institute of California (Available at: http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/atissue/AI_109EAI.pdf).
266. Department of Finance (DOF). 2018. *2018 California Five-Year Infrastructure Plan*. Sacramento, CA: DOF (Available at: <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Reports/Other/>).
267. Caltrans. 2015. *2015 Five-Year Maintenance Plan Final*. Caltrans: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/docs/2015_Five-Year_Maintenance_Plan.pdf).
268. Department of Finance (DOF). 2007. *2007 California Five-Year Infrastructure Plan*. Sacramento, CA: DOF (Available at: <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Reports/Other/>).
269. California Forward. 2015. *Financing the Future: How Will California Pay for Tomorrow?* (Available at: <https://cafwd.app.box.com/s/wg15ym5keehsmugvjjz5hw4zr3g8246p>): Sacramento, CA: California Forward.
270. Department of Finance (DOF). 2016. *2016 California Five-Year Infrastructure Plan*. Sacramento, CA: DOF (Available at: <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Reports/Other/>).
271. Targ, N.W. and D.R. Golub. 2014. *Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts (SB 628, Beall): A New Power Tool for Growth in California, in hklaw.com*. Holland & Knight Law Offices. (Available at: <https://www.hklaw.com/Publications/Enhanced-Infrastructure-Financing-Districts-SB-628-Beall-611-612-2014/>).
272. Silva, F. and M. Pisano. 2015. *A New Tool for Urban Economic Development: EIFDs demystified*. The Planning Report: Insider's Guide to Planning and Infrastructure (June): 7 pp. (Available at: <https://www.planningreport.com/2015/2006/2003/new-tool-urban-economic-development-eifds-demystified>).
273. Day, L. 2016. *A New Financing Tool for California: Enhanced Infrastructure Finance Districts, in Planetzen*. Planetzen Press: Los Angeles, CA (Available at: <http://cceda.com/wp-content/uploads/EIFD-Resource-Guide-Feb-20161.pdf>).
274. California Local Government Finance Almanac. 2018. *Local Revenue Measure Results*, June 2018. Davis, CA: California City Finance, 11 pp. (Available at: <http://californiacityfinance.com/Votes1806final.pdf>).
275. National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS). 2017. *Natural Hazard Mitigation Saves: 2017 Interim Report*. Washington, DC: NIBS (Available at: <https://www.nibs.org/page/mitigationsaves>).

276. Moser, S.C., et al. 2014. Ch. 25: Coastal Zone Development and Ecosystems, in *Climate Change in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*, J.M. Melillo, T.T.C. Richmond, and G.W. Yohe, Editors. U.S. Global Change Research Program: Washington, DC. pp. 579-618 (Available at: <https://www.globalchange.gov/nca3-downloads-materials>).
277. Ayyub, B.M. 2014. *Risk Analysis in Engineering and Economics*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.
278. Gilbert, S. and M. Ayyub Bilal. 2016. Models for the economics of resilience. *ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part A: Civil Engineering*, 2(4): 04016003 (Available at: <http://ctsm.umd.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/GilbertAyyub-ResilienceEcon2016.pdf>).
279. Moser, S.C., et al. 2018. Adaptation Finance Challenges: Characteristic Patterns Facing California Local Governments and Ways to Overcome Them. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Publication number CCCA4-CNRA-2018-007*. California Natural Resources Agency: Sacramento, CA.
280. Moody's Investor Service. 2017. *Climate change is forecast to heighten US exposure to economic loss placing short-and long-term credit pressure on US states and local governments*. New York, NY: Moody's Investor Service (Available at: https://www.moodys.com/research/Moodys-Climate-change-is-forecast-to-heighten-US-exposure-to-PR_376056).
281. Standards & Poor's Ratings Services. 2014. *Climate Change Is A Global Mega-Trend For Sovereign Risk, in S&P's Ratings Direct*. New York, NY: S&P Ratings Services (Available at: <http://www.maalot.co.il/publications/GMR20140518110900.pdf>).
282. Office of the President. 2018. *Legislative Outline for Rebuilding Infrastructure in America*. Washington, DC: The White House (Available at: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/INFRASTRUCTURE-211.pdf>).
283. Galston, W.A. 2018. *Trump's infrastructure plan: The good, the bad, and the biblical*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institute (Available at: <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/fixgov/2018/02/12/trumps-infrastructure-plan-the-good-the-bad-and-the-biblical/>).
284. Levy, D.L., et al. 2018. *Financing Climate Resilience: Mobilizing Resources and Incentives to Protect Boston from Climate Risks*. Sustainable Solutions Lab, UMass Boston Boston, MA. 64 pp. (Available at: http://www.umb.edu/editor/uploads/images/centers_institutes/sustainable_solutions_lab/Financing_Climate_Resilience_April_2018.pdf).
285. Arnott, J.C., S.C. Moser, and K.A. Goodrich. 2016. Evaluation that counts: A review of climate change adaptation indicators & metrics using lessons from effective evaluation and science-practice interaction. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 66: 383-392 (Available at: <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/enscpo/v66y2016icp383-392.html>).
286. Taylor, M. 2012. *Maximizing State Benefits From Public-Private Partnerships*. Sacramento, : Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) (Available at: https://lao.ca.gov/reports/2012/trns/partnerships/P3_110712.pdf).
287. Holeywell, R. 2013. *Public-Private Partnerships Are Popular, But Are They Practical?* Governing the States and Localities, Available online at: <http://www.governing.com/topics/transportation-infrastructure/gov-public-private-popular.html>.
288. Ayyub, B.M. and L. Parker. 2011. Financing nuclear liability. *Science*, 334: 1494 (Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51884190_Financing_Nuclear_Liability).
289. Ayyub Bilal, M., A. Pantelous Athanasios, and J. Shao. 2016. Toward resilience to nuclear accidents: Financing nuclear liabilities via catastrophe risk bonds. *ASCE-ASME Journal of risk and uncertainty in engineering systems, Part B: Mechanical engineering*, 2(4): 041005 (Available at: <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1115/1.4033518?src=recsys>).
290. Milken Institute. 2018. *Growing the U.S. Green Bond Market. Volume 2: Actionable Strategies and Solutions. A Report from a Milken Institute Financial Innovations Lab*. Los Angeles: Milken Institute (Available at: <https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/growing-the-u-s-green-bond-mkt-vol2-final.pdf>).
291. Milken Institute. 2017. *Growing the U.S. Green Bond Market. Volume 1: The Barriers and Challenges. A Report from a Milken Institute Financial Innovations Lab*. Los Angeles: Milken Institute (Available at: <https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/greenbonds/publications/reports/1.pdf>).
292. Morrison-Saunders, A., et al. 2015. Demonstrating the benefits of impact assessment for proponents. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 33(2): 108-115 (Available at: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/14615517.2014.981049>).
293. Bennett, M., P. James, and L. Klinkers, eds. 2017 (1999). *Sustainable Measures: Evaluation and Reporting of Environmental and Social Performance*. Routledge: London and New York.
294. Green, G.P. and A. Haines. 2015. *Asset Building & Community Development*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
295. Arena, M., et al. 2016. Social Impact Bonds: Blockbuster or Flash in a Pan? *International Journal of Public Administration*, 39(12): 927-939 (Available at: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01900692.2015.1057852>).
296. Bohn, S. 2014. *California's Need for Skilled Workers*. PPIC: San Francisco, CA. 12 pp. (Available at: http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_914SBR.pdf)
297. Terplan, E., et al. 2014. *Economic Prosperity Strategy: Improving Economic Opportunity for the Bay Area's Low- and Moderate-Wage Workers*. SPUR: San Francisco, CA (Available at: <https://www.spur.org/publications/spur-report/2014-10-01/economic-prosperity-strategy>).

298. Leiserowitz, A., et al. 2018. *Climate Change in the American Mind: March 2018*. New Haven, CT: Yale University, Yale Program on Climate Change Communication and George Mason University, Center for Climate Change Communication (Available at: <http://climatecommunication.yale.edu/publications/climate-change-american-mind-march-2018/>).
299. Hollander, R.D., F.F. Benya, and C.H. Fletcher. 2014. *The Climate Change Educational Partnership: Climate Change, Engineered Systems, and Society: A Report of Three Workshops*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (Available at: <http://nap.edu/18957>).
300. Moser, S.C., J. Coffee, and A. Seville. 2017. *Rising to the Challenge, Together*. The Kresge Foundation: Troy, MI (Available at: <https://kresge.org/content/rising-challenge-together>).
301. Borrego, M. and J. Bernhard. 2011. The Emergence of engineering education research as an internationally connected field of inquiry. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 100(1): 14-47 (Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2011.tb00003.x>).
302. Halbe, J., J. Adamowski, and C. Pahl-Wostl. 2015. The role of paradigms in engineering practice and education for sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 106: 272-282 (Available at: http://professor.pucgoias.edu.br/SiteDocente/admin/arquivosUpload/10139/material/Seminario_23_11_2015_Santiago_Daniela.pdf).
303. Blaney, L., et al. 2018. Another grand challenge: Diversity in environmental engineering. *Environmental Engineering Science*, 35(6): 568-572 (Available at: <https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/ees.2017.0337>).
304. Shuman, L.J., M. Besterfield-Sacre, and J. McGourty. 2005. The ABET "professional skills" — Can they be taught? Can they be assessed? *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1): 41-55 (Available at: http://bioinfo.uib.es/~joe/semdoc/PlansEstudis/ABET_Criteria_PTE/AbetProfessionalSkills_JEE2005.pdf).
305. American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE). 2010 (1994). *The Green Report - Engineering Education for a Changing World*. Engineering Deans Council and Corporate Roundtable of the American Society for Engineering Education Washington, DC (Available at: <https://www.asee.org/member-resources/reports>).
306. Jesiek, B.K., et al. Boundary spanning and engineering: A qualitative systematic review. *Journal of Engineering Education*, online first. (Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/jee.20219>).
307. California Natural Resources Agency. 2009. *The California Climate Adaptation Strategy 2009. A Report to the Governor of the State of California (Draft)*. Natural Resources Agency: Sacramento, CA (Available at: http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/Statewide_Adaptation_Strategy.pdf).
308. California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA) and California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA). 2012. *California Adaptation Planning Guide*. CalEMA and CNRA: Mather, CA and Sacramento, CA. 56 pp. (Available at: http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/01APG_Planning_for_Adaptive_Communities.pdf).
309. California Coastal Commission (CCC). 2015. *California Coastal Commission Sea Level Rise Policy Guidance: Interpretive Guidelines for Addressing Sea Level Rise in Local Coastal Programs and Coastal Development Permits*. San Francisco, CA: CCC (Available at: https://documents.coastal.ca.gov/assets/slri/guidance/August2015/0_Full_Adopted_Sea_Level_Rise_Policy_Guidance.pdf).
310. Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO). 2018. *Infrastructure Procurement Approaches: How does the state build infrastructure?* (Available at: <https://lao.ca.gov/Infrastructure/Procurement>).
311. Murdoch, J. and W. Hughes. 2007. *Construction Contracts: Law and Management*. 4th ed. London: Taylor & Francis E-library.
312. Moser, S.C. and J.A. Ekstrom. 2010. A framework to diagnose barriers to climate change adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(51): 22026-22031 (Available at: <http://www.pnas.org/content/107/51/22026> (See also: <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2011publications/CEC-500-2011-004/CEC-500-2011-004.pdf>).
313. Moser, S.C., et al. 2018. Growing Effort, Growing Challenge: Findings from the 2016 CA Coastal Adaptation Needs Assessment Survey. *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Publication Number CCCA4-EXT-2018-009*. California Natural Resources Agency