



Recommended Funding List – Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grant Solicitation

Disclaimer: The Recommended Award, Cost Share, and Total Proposal Cost are all estimated and conditional until final terms and conditions are agreed upon and an agreement has been executed. The awarded grant amount listed in the executed agreement can be less than the Recommended Award amount listed here based upon final negotiations between the Awardee and DWR. DWR staff may determine certain projects/tasks are not eligible or do not meet the requirements outlined in the 2019 IRWM Guidelines and Round 1 Implementation Grant Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) and are subject to change.

Funding Area: San Joaquin River						
IRWM Region: East Stanislaus Applicant: Stanislaus Regional Water Authority						Proposal Notes: -
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Grant Agreement Administration	Stanislaus Regional Water Authority	Public Agency				
SRWA Water Treatment Plant Elements	Stanislaus Regional Water Authority	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability		\$5,800,916	-
Total Request:				\$0	\$5,800,916	
General Proposal Score:		DAC Proposal Score:				
21						
Total Recommended Award:				\$0	\$5,800,916	

IRWM Region: Merced Applicant: Merced Irrigation District*							Proposal Notes: B
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:	
Park Irrigation with Surface Water	City of Livingston	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability		\$172,619		
Le Grand Canal near Black Rascal	Merced Irrigation District	Public Agency	Water Supply - Groundwater	\$1,318,617		A1	
Merced LIDAR and Real Time Simulation Flood Control Meeting*	Merced Irrigation District	Public Agency	Flood Management	\$239,749		A2, C	
Surface Irrigation to Parks & Weather based Irrigation Controllers*	City of Merced	Public Agency	Reduce Groundwater Pumping	\$239,749		A2, C	
Rice Field Pilot Study Monitoring Wells*	Merced Irrigation District	Public Agency	Groundwater Recharge	\$335,648		A2, C	
Grant Administration*	Merced Irrigation District	Public Agency		\$27,153		A2, C	
Total Request:				\$2,160,916	\$172,619		
General Proposal Score:		DAC Proposal Score:					
23		26					
Total Recommended Award:				\$970,736	\$1,362,799		



Recommended Funding List – Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grant Solicitation

IRWM Region: East Contra Costa County Applicant: Contra Costa Water District						Proposal Notes: -
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Grant Administration	Contra Costa Water District	Public Agency			\$80,000	-
West Antioch Creek Flood Conveyance Mitigation and Restoration	City of Antioch	Public Agency	Flood Management	\$700,000		-
Bethel Island Multi-Benefit and Integrated Stormwater Project	Bethel Islands MID	Public Agency	Stormwater Management	\$320,000		-
Advanced Metering and Leak Detection	Diablo Water District	Public Agency	Water Conservation		\$500,000	-
Upper Reach of Three Creeks Parkway Restoration Project	Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	Public Agency	Flood Protection		\$850,000	-
Citywide Non-Potable Water Distribution System	City of Brentwood	Public Agency	Water Conservation		\$250,000	-
Total Request:				\$1,020,000	\$1,680,000	

General Proposal Score:	DAC Proposal Score:
26	27

Total Recommended Award:	\$1,020,000	\$1,680,000
---------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------

IRWM Region: Westside San Joaquin Applicant: San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority*						Proposal Notes: B
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
West Stanislaus Irrigation District Pumping Plant 3 & 4 Modernization*	West Stanislaus Irrigation District	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability	\$809,264		A2, C
Orestimba Creek Recharge and Recovery Project	Central California Irrigation District, Del Puerto Water District	Public Agency	Water Supply - Groundwater	\$809,264		
Broadview Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) Project*	Westlands Water District	Public Agency	Groundwater Recharge	\$809,263		A2, C
North Valley Regional Recycled Water Program - Turlock Component*	Del Puerto Water District	Public Utility	Water Supply - Recycled Water	\$1,000,000		A2, C
Total Request:				\$3,427,791	\$0	

General Proposal Score:	DAC Proposal Score:
	27

Total Recommended Award:	\$809,264	\$2,618,527
---------------------------------	------------------	--------------------



Recommended Funding List – Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grant Solicitation

IRWM Region: American River Basin Applicant: Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency Proposal Notes: -

Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Groundwater Recharge and Swainson's Hawk Preservation Project	Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency (SAFCA)	Public Agency	Groundwater Recharge		\$1,009,750	-

Total Request: \$0 \$1,009,750

General Proposal Score:	DAC Proposal Score:
18	

Total Recommended Award: \$0 \$1,009,750

IRWM Region: Madera Applicant: Madera County Proposal Notes: -

Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Grant Administration*	County of Madera	Public Agency		\$20,000		A2, C
Water Meter Installation	City of Madera	Public Agency	Reduce Groundwater Pumping	\$779,653		A1
Parkwood Automated Water Meters	County of Madera	Public Agency	Water Conservation	\$683,599		A1
Parksdale Well #2 Rehabilitation Project	County of Madera	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability	\$206,383		A1

Total Request: \$1,689,635 \$0

General Proposal Score:	DAC Proposal Score:
	23

Total Recommended Award: \$0 \$1,689,635

* Draft Recommended Awards are conditional based upon confirmation of eligibility. See notes below for outstanding eligibility issues. If requested documentation is not submitted within 30 calendar days of the date the draft funding list is posted to the public, project may be deemed ineligible and funding award may be adjusted, or final awards may be made on a conditional basis pending submittal of such documentation prior to agreement execution at DWR's discretion. See PSP for details regarding required documentation.

Notes:

Funding Recommendations

A — DWR is recommending award of General Implementation Funds to be used to fund DAC Implementation Projects, in accordance with the PSP (Page 29, VII. AWARD PROCESS), which allows General Implementation Fund allocations to be used for DAC Implementation Projects. Please see the following notes for information specific to the projects:

A1— This project is in a DAC area and provided adequate justification to show the required DAC benefit per the PSP (Page 10, Funding), however, there is insufficient DAC Implementation Funding available as it has been utilized to award other DAC projects according to the DAC Proposal score. Based on the project eligibility and fund availability, DWR is recommending full or partial award (as indicated above) of the "DAC Funding Requested" from the allocated general implementation funds in accordance with the PSP (Page 29, VII. AWARD PROCESS).

A2— This project is in a DAC area but did not provide adequate justification to show the required DAC benefit per the PSP (Page 10, Funding). Based on the project eligibility and fund availability, DWR is recommending award of the full "DAC Funding Requested" from the allocated general implementation funds in accordance with the PSP (Page 29, VII. AWARD PROCESS).

Eligibility

B— Proposal did not meet cost share requirements, provide evidence of additional cost share.

C—Project requested cost share waiver, however, DAC benefits were not fully justified. Submit additional documentation clearly stating the percentage of the project benefits provided directly to a DAC and/or provide evidence of additional cost share.