



# Recommended Funding List - Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grant Solicitation

Disclaimer: The Recommended Award, Cost Share, and Total Proposal Cost are all estimated and conditional until final terms and conditions are agreed upon and an agreement has been executed. The awarded grant amount listed in the executed agreement can be less than the Recommended Award amount listed here based upon final negotiations between the Awardee and DWR. DWR staff may determine certain projects/tasks are not eligible or do not meet the requirements outlined in the 2019 IRWM Guidelines and Round 1 Implementation Grant Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) and are subject to change.

Funding Area: Mountain Counties						
IRWM Region: Madera Applicant: Madera County*						Proposal Notes: B
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Grant Administration*	Madera County	Public Agency			\$20,000	C
Planning, Design, and Installation of Smart Water Meter Units in Indian Lakes	Madera County	Public Agency	Water Conservation	\$50,555	\$480,895	A1
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$50,555	\$500,895	
<b>General Proposal Score:</b>		<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>				
		22				
<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>				\$0	\$551,450	

IRWM Region: Yosemite Mariposa Applicant: Mariposa County Resource Conservation District*							Proposal Notes: B
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:	
Bootjack Fire Station Water Storage Project*	Mariposa County Resource Conservation District	Public Agency	Water Supply - Surface Water	\$50,555	\$657,873	A2, C	
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$50,555	\$657,873		
<b>General Proposal Score:</b>		<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>					
		24					
<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>				\$0	\$708,428		

IRWM Region: Southern Sierra Applicant: Sierra Resource Conservation District*							Proposal Notes: D
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:	
Well Vulnerability Decision Support Tool	Sierra Business Council	Non Profit Organization	Water Supply Reliability	\$50,555		A1	
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$50,555	\$0		
<b>General Proposal Score:</b>		<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>					
		21					
<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>				\$0	\$50,555		



# Recommended Funding List - Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grant Solicitation

IRWM Region: Upper Feather River Applicant: Plumas County Community Development Commission*						Proposal Notes: E
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Grant Administration*	Plumas County Community Development Commission	Public Agency		\$92,340		A2, C
Alternative Water Source Development	Sierraville Public Utilities District	Public Utility	Water Supply Reliability	\$627,660		A1
Community/Emergency Water Storage Tank	Indian Valley Community Services District	Public Utility	Water Supply Reliability	\$304,000		A1
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$1,024,000	\$0	

  

<b>General Proposal Score:</b>	<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>
	22

  

<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>	\$0	\$1,002,536
---------------------------------	-----	-------------

IRWM Region: Tuolumne-Stanislaus Applicant: Tuolumne Stanislaus Integrated Regional Water Management Authority						Proposal Notes: -
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Communications Tower to Improve Data Collection and Coordinate Reservoir Operations	Utica Water and Power Authority	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability		\$25,000	-
Westside Dam Rehabilitation	Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians	Federally Recognized Indians	Groundwater Recharge		\$500,000	-
Emergency Generators at Big Creek and WWTP	Groveland Community Services District	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability	\$144,444	\$145,556	A1
Tuolumne Stanislaus Grant Administration	Tuolumne Stanislaus Integrated Regional Water Management Authority	Public Agency			\$39,950	-
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$144,444	\$710,506	

  

<b>General Proposal Score:</b>	<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>
22	17

  

<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>	\$0	\$854,950
---------------------------------	-----	-----------



# Recommended Funding List – Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grant Solicitation

IRWM Region: Mokelumne/Amador/Calaveras				Applicant: Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority*		Proposal Notes: B
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
MAC Region Grant Authority Grant Administration*	Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority	Public Agency		\$29,160		A2, C
West Point Water Supply Reliability Project	Calaveras County Water District	Mutual Water Company	Flood Management	\$527,287		A1
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$556,447	\$0	
<b>General Proposal Score:</b>		<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>				
		23				
<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>				\$404,445	\$152,002	

IRWM Region: Yuba				Applicant: Yuba Water Agency		Proposal Notes: -
Project Name	Project Implementing Agency	Implementing Agency Type	Primary Benefit	DAC Funding Requested	General Implementation Funding Request	Project Notes:
Fire and Drinking Water Storage Improvement	North Yuba Water District	Public Agency	Water Supply Reliability	\$50,555	\$692,116	-
<b>Total Request:</b>				\$50,555	\$692,116	
<b>General Proposal Score:</b>		<b>DAC Proposal Score:</b>				
		25				
<b>Total Recommended Award:</b>				\$50,555	\$692,116	

\* Draft Recommended Awards are conditional based upon confirmation of eligibility. See notes below for outstanding eligibility issues. If requested documentation is not submitted within 30 calendar days of the date the draft funding list is posted to the public, project may be deemed ineligible and funding award may be adjusted, or final awards may be made on a conditional basis pending submittal of such documentation prior to agreement execution at DWR’s discretion. See PSP for details regarding required documentation.

**Notes:**

**Funding Recommendations**

A — DWR is recommending award of General Implementation Funds to be used to fund proposed DAC Implementation Projects, in accordance with the PSP (Page 29, VII. AWARD PROCESS), which allows General Implementation Fund allocations to be used for DAC Implementation Projects. Please see the following notes for information specific to the projects:

A1— This project is in a DAC area and provided adequate justification to show the required DAC benefit per the PSP (Page 10, Funding), however, cannot be recommended to fund from the DAC Implementation Funding as it has been fully awarded to DAC projects according to the DAC Proposal score. Based on the project eligibility and fund availability, DWR is recommending award of the “DAC Funding Requested” from the allocated general implementation funds in accordance with the PSP (Page 29, VII. AWARD PROCESS).

A2— This project is in a DAC area but did not provide adequate justification to show the required DAC benefit per the PSP (Page 10, Funding). Based on the project eligibility and fund availability, DWR is recommending award of the full “DAC Funding Requested” from the allocated general implementation funds in accordance with the PSP (Page 29, VII. AWARD PROCESS).

**Eligibility**

B— Proposal did not meet cost share requirements, provide evidence of additional cost share.

C— Project requested cost share waiver, however, DAC benefits were not fully justified. Submit additional documentation clearly stating the percentage of the project benefits provided directly to a DAC and/or provide evidence of additional cost share.

D— Proposal did not include sufficient justification to support that at least one project addresses AB 1249 contaminants. Submit justification of why a project that addresses AB 1249 contaminants was not included in the application.

E — Proposal requested more than 10% grant administration funds. As per the PSP (page 12, Grant Reimbursement Limitations), Funding for grant administration cannot exceed 10% of the total requested grant amount of the proposal. This 10% limit includes total grant administration costs incurred by the Grantee and each Local Project Sponsor. Therefore, the award has been reduced accordingly.