

Final
Environmental Impact Report
for
Long-Term Operation of the California State Water Project



State Clearinghouse No. 2019049121



State of California
Department of Water Resources

March 27, 2020

Final
Environmental Impact Report
for
Long-Term Operation of the California State Water Project



State Clearinghouse No. 2019049121

Lead Agency:
California Department of Water Resources

Contact:
Dean Messer
Division of Environmental Services,
Regulatory Compliance Branch
916-376-9700

March 27, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-------------|
| PART I, INTRODUCTION..... | I-1 |
| I.1 Background | I-2 |
| I.2 Intended Uses of the FEIR..... | I-3 |
| I.3 Public Scoping and Comments..... | I-3 |
| I.3.1 Outreach | I-3 |
| I.3.2 Circulation | I-3 |
| I.4 Supplemental Technical Analyses and Information Since Circulation of the DEIR | I-5 |
| I.5 No Changes to the Impact Conclusions in the DEIR as a Result of Supplemental Technical Studies and Analysis | I-5 |
| I.6 Findings and Conclusions..... | I-5 |
| I.6.1 Comparison of Alternatives | I-6 |
| I.6.2 Environmentally Superior Alternative | I-9 |
| I.7 Final EIR Organization | I-10 |
| PART II, COMMENTS AND RESPONSES | II-1 |
| II.1 Master Responses..... | II.1-1 |
| II.1.1 Master Response 1: Scope of Analysis | II.1.1-1 |
| II.1.2 Master Response 2: Baseline..... | II.1.2-1 |
| II.1.3 Master Response 3: The CEQA Process | II.1.3-1 |
| II.1.4 Master Response 4: Legal Standards..... | II.1.4-1 |
| II.1.5 Master Response 5: Treatment of Habitat Restoration | II.1.5-1 |
| II.1.6 Master Response 6: Demand Management/Conservation Measures | II.1.6-1 |
| II.1.7 Master Response 7: Delta Reform Act..... | II.1.7-1 |
| II.1.8 Master Response 8: Other State Efforts..... | II.1.8-1 |
| II.1.9 Master Response 9: Relationship to WQCP Update and Voluntary Agreements..... | II.1.9-1 |
| II.1.10 Master Response 10: Climate Change | II.1.10-1 |
| II.1.11 Master Response 11: Longfin Smelt Impact Significance | II.1.11-1 |
| II.1.12 Master Response 12: Delta Outflow..... | II.1.12-1 |
| II.1.13 Master Response 13: 2019 Federal Biological Opinions | II.1.13-1 |
| II.1.14 Master Response 14: Public Trust | II.1.14-1 |
| II.1.15 Master Response 15: Environmental Justice..... | II.1.15-1 |
| II.1.16 Master Response 16: Relationship to 2019 Biological Opinions | II.1.16-1 |
| II.1.17 Master Response 17: Application of CESA Standards | II.1.17-1 |
| II.1.18 Master Response 18: Adequacy of the Initial Study..... | II.1.18-1 |
| II.1.19 Master Response 19: Public Review Period..... | II.1.19-1 |

| | | |
|---------|---|-----------|
| II.1.20 | Master Response 20: Best Available Science..... | II.1.20-1 |
| II.1.21 | Master Response 21: CESA Permit Versus Consistency Determination ... | II.1.21-1 |
| II.1.22 | Master Response 22: Coordination with CVP..... | II.1.22-1 |
| II.1.23 | Master Response 23: Impact Significance (Salmonids) | II.1.23-1 |
| II.1.24 | Master Response 24: Drought Conditions..... | II.1.24-1 |
| II.1.25 | Master Response 25: Real-Time Operations | II.1.25-1 |
| II.1.26 | Master Response 26: One-Tunnel Delta Conveyance Project..... | II.1.26-1 |
| II.1.27 | Form Letter Response: NRDC | II.1.27-1 |
| II.1.28 | Form Letter Response: Sierra Club | II.1.28-1 |
| II.2 | Federal Agency Comments and Responses | II.2-1 |
| II.2.1 | F-Reclamation-1 – United States Department of the Interior, David M. Mooney, Bay-Delta Office Area Manager, Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.2.1-1 |
| II.3 | State Agency Comments and Responses..... | II.3-1 |
| II.3.1 | Letter S-Caltrans-1 – Caltrans District 10, Nicholas Fung, Metropolitan Planning, Dated December 24, 2019 | II.3.1-1 |
| II.3.2 | Letter S-CDFW-1 – California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Joshua Grover, Water Branch Chief, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.3.2-1 |
| II.3.3 | Letter S-DSC-1 – Delta Stewardship Council, Jeff Henderson, Deputy Executive Officer, Dated January 16, 2020 | II.3.3-1 |
| II.3.4 | Letter S-SWRCB-1 – State Water Resources Control Board, Diane Riddle, Assistant Deputy Director, Dated January 16, 2020..... | II.3.4-1 |
| II.4 | Regional and Local Agency Comments and Responses..... | II.4-1 |
| II.4.1 | Letter L-American River Water Agencies-1 – American River Water Agencies – City of Folsom, Marcus Yasutake’ El Dorado Irrigation District Jim Abercrombie; Sacramento County Water Agency, Michael Peterson; City of Roseville, Sean Bigley; Placer County Water Agency, Andrew Fecko; Sacramento Suburban Water District, Dan York; City of Sacramento Department of Utilities, Bill Busath; Regional Water Authority, James Peifer; And San Juan Water District, Paul Helliker, Dated January 6, 2020 | 11.4.1-1 |
| II.4.2 | Letter L-CCWD-1 – Contra Costa Water District, Deanna Sereno, Senior Policy Advisor, Dated January 6, 2020..... | 11.4.2-1 |
| II.4.3 | Letter L-CDWA-1 – Central Delta Water Agency, Dante Nomellini, Sr. Manager And Co-Counsel, Dated January 6, 2020 | 11.4.3-1 |
| II.4.4 | Letter L-CDWA-2 – Central Delta Water Agency, Dante Nomellini, Jr., Dated January 6, 2020 | 11.4.4-1 |
| II.4.5 | Letter L-Friant-1 – Friant Water Authority, Jason Phillips, Chief Executive officer, Dated January 6, 2020 | 11.4.5-1 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----------|
| II.4.6 | Letter L-Land-1 – Soluri Meserve, Osha Meserve on Behalf of Local Agencies of North Delta, Dated January 6, 2020..... | 11.4.6-1 |
| II.4.7 | Letter L-NDWA-1 – North Delta Water Agency, Melinda Terry, Manager, Dated January 6, 2020..... | 11.4.7-1 |
| II.4.8 | Letter L-SDWA-1 – South Delta Water Agency, John Herrick, Esq, Dated January 3, 2020 | 11.4.8-1 |
| II.4.9 | Letter L-SDWA-2 – Mohan, Harris, Ruiz & Rubino, S. Dean Ruiz, Esq on Behalf of South Delta Water Agency, Dated January 6, 2020 | 11.4.9-1 |
| II.4.10 | Letter L-SMUD-1 – Sacramento Municipal Utilities District, Nicole Goi, Regional 7 Local Government Affairs, Dated January 7, 2020 | 11.4.10-1 |
| II.4.11 | Letter L-SRS Contractors -1 – Somach Simmons & Dunn, Andrew Hitchings And Downey Brand Llp, Meredith Nikkel on Behalf of Sacramento River Settlement Contractors, Dated January 6, 2020..... | 11.4.11-1 |
| II.4.12 | Letter L-SWC-1 – State Water Contractors, Jennifer Pierre, General Manager, Dated January 6, 2020..... | 11.4.12-1 |
| II.4.13 | Letter L-TCCA-1 – Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority, Jeffrey Sutton, General Manager, Dated January 6, 2020..... | 11.4.13-1 |
| II.4.14 | Letter L-Water Authority-1 – San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority, Frederico Barajas, Executive Director, Dated January 6, 2020 | 11.4.14-1 |
| II.4.15 | Letter L-Westlands-1 –Westlands Water District, Thomas Birmingham, General Manager, No Date | 11.4.15-1 |
| II.5 | Tribal Comments and Responses..... | II.5-1 |
| II.6 | Organizational Comments and Responses | II.6-1 |
| II.6.1 | AquAlliance-1 AquAlliance, Barbara Vlanis, Executive; California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, Bill Jennings, Chairman; California Water Impact Network, Carolee Kreiger, President (AquAlliance), Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-California Indian Water Commission-1 California Indian Water Commission, Don Hankins, Ph.D, President, Dated January 5, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-Coalition-1 Nossaman, Paul Weiland on behalf of Coalition for a Sustainable Delta, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-CSPA-1 California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, Chris Shutes, Water Rights Advocate and Bill Jennings, Executive Director; California Water Impact Network, AquAlliance, Michael Jackson, Counsel; and California Water Research, Deirdre des Jardins, Director (CSPA), Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.6.1-1 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----------|
| II.6.1 | O-NCRA et al-1 Law Office of Stephan Volker on behalf of North Coast Rivers Alliance, Institute for Fisheries Resources, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen’s Association, San Francisco Crab Boat Owners Association and Winnemem Wintu Tribe, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-NCRA-2 Law Office of Stephan Volker on behalf of North Coast Rivers Alliance, Institute for Fisheries Resources, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen’s Association, San Francisco Crab Boat Owners Association and Winnemem Wintu Tribe, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-NRDC-1 Natural Resources Defense Council, Doug Obegi; The Bay Institute, Gary Bobker; Defenders of Wildlife, Rachel Zwillinger; San Francisco Baykeeper, Jonathan Rosenfield, Ph.D; California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, Chris Shutes; and Golden State Salmon Association, John McManus, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-NRDC-2 Natural Resources Defense Council, Doug Obegi; The Bay Institute, Gary Bobker; Defenders of Wildlife, Rachel Zwillinger; San Francisco Baykeeper, Jonathan Rosenfield, Ph.D; California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, Chris Shutes; and Golden State Salmon Association, John McManus, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-NRDC-3 Natural Resources Defense Council, Megan Friend, Dated January 6, 2020 O-RTD-1 Restore the Delta, Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla, Executive Director and Tim Stroshane, Policy Analyst, Dated December 30, 2019 | |
| II.6.1 | O-RTD-2 Restore the Delta, Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla, Executive Director, Dated January 2, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.6.1 | O-Sierra Club-1 Sierra Club California, Bob Wright, Counsel, Dated January 6, 2020 | II.6.1-1 |
| II.7 | Individual Comments and Responses | II.7-1 |
| II.7.1 | Letter I-Vanessa Abel-1 – Vanessa Abel – Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.1-1 |
| II.7.2 | Letter I-Jeffrey Ainis-1 – Jeffrey Ainis – Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.2-1 |
| II.7.3 | Letter I-Thomas Anderson-1 – Thomas Anderson – Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.3-1 |
| II.7.4 | Letter I-JoEllen Arnold-1 – JoEllen Arnold – Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.4-1 |
| II.7.5 | Letter I-Teresa Awtrey-1 — Teresa Awtrey — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.5-1 |
| II.7.6 | Letter I-George Ball-1 — George Ball — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.6-1 |
| II.7.7 | Letter I-Melody Barrett-1 — Melody Barrett — Dated January 6, 2020.... | II.7.7-1 |

| | | |
|---------|---|-----------|
| II.7.8 | Letter I-Barrigan-Parrilla-1 — Ms. Barrigan-Parrilla — Dated December 12, 2019..... | II.7.8-1 |
| II.7.9 | Letter I-Charles Battaglia-1 — Charles Battaglia — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.9-1 |
| II.7.10 | Letter I-David Bezanson-1 — David Bezanson — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.10-1 |
| II.7.11 | Letter I-Dr. Richard Bradus-1 — Dr. Richard Bradus — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.11-1 |
| II.7.12 | Letter I-Daniel Brower-1 — Daniel Brower — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.12-1 |
| II.7.13 | Letter I-Paula Bungen-1 — Paula Bungen — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.13-1 |
| II.7.14 | Letter I-Stephanie Butler-1 — Stephanie Butler — Dated November 29, 2019 | II.7.14-1 |
| II.7.15 | Letter I-Thomas Carlino-1 — Thomas Carlino — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.15-1 |
| II.7.16 | Letter I-Carol Casselman-1 — Carol Casselman — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.16-1 |
| II.7.17 | Letter I-Gary Chambers-1 — Gary Chambers — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.17-1 |
| II.7.18 | Letter I-Rosemary Churnside-1 — Rosemary Churnside — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.18-1 |
| II.7.19 | Letter I-Ruth Corwin-1 — Ruth Corwin — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.19-1 |
| II.7.20 | Letter I-John Cosgrave-1 — John Cosgrave — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.20-1 |
| II.7.21 | Letter I-Curt Cotner-1 — Curt Cotner — Dated November 24, 2019..... | II.7.21-1 |
| II.7.22 | Letter I-Anabel Croice-1 — Anabel Croice — Dated December 12, 2019 | II.7.22-1 |
| II.7.23 | Letter I-Jacalyn Dean-1 — Jacalyn Dean — Dated January 23, 2020 | II.7.23-1 |
| II.7.24 | Letter I-Pamela Dernham-1 — Pamela Dernham — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.24-1 |
| II.7.25 | Letter I-Ingrid Desilvestre-1 — Ingrid Desilvestre — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.25-1 |
| II.7.26 | Letter I-Edward Dijeau-1 — Edward Dijeau — Dated December 19, 2019 | II.7.26-1 |
| II.7.27 | Letter I-Chris Eaton-1 — Chris Eaton — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.27-1 |
| II.7.28 | Letter I-Marcia Edelen-1 — Marcia Edelen — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.28-1 |
| II.7.29 | Letter I-Pam Edmonston-1 — Pam Edmonston — Dated November 25, 2019 | II.7.29-1 |
| II.7.30 | Letter I-Rich Elam-1 — Rich Elam — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.30-1 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----------|
| II.7.31 | Letter I-Anita Farnholtz-1 — Anita Farnholtz — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.31-1 |
| II.7.32 | Letter I-Cay FitzGerald-1 — Cay FitzGerald — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.32-1 |
| II.7.33 | Letter I-Jadene Fourman-1 — Jadene Fourman — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.33-1 |
| II.7.34 | Letter I-Kyri Freeman-1 — Kyri Freeman — Dated December 19, 2019.. | II.7.34-1 |
| II.7.35 | Letter I-Ellen Gachesa-1 — Ellen Gachesa — Dated December 19, 2019 | II.7.35-1 |
| II.7.36 | Letter I-Linda Garfield-1 — Linda Garfield — Dated December 22, 2019 | II.7.36-1 |
| II.7.37 | Letter I-Robert Geyer-1 — Robert Geyer — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.37-1 |
| II.7.38 | Letter I-Kirk Glaser-1 — Kirk Glaser — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.38-1 |
| II.7.39 | Letter I-Joe Gonzales-1 — Joe Gonzales — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.39-1 |
| II.7.40 | Letter I-Joe Gonzales-1 — Joe Gonzales — Dated December 19, 2019 ... | II.7.40-1 |
| II.7.41 | Letter I-Marc Gordon-1 — Marc Gordon — Dated December 18, 2019.. | II.7.41-1 |
| II.7.42 | Letter I-Sheila Gorsuch-1 — Sheila Gorsuch — Dated November 25, 2019 | II.7.42-1 |
| II.7.43 | Letter I-Carol Hankermeyer-1 — Carol Hankermeyer — Dated January 5, 2020 | II.7.43-1 |
| II.7.44 | Letter I-Stuart and Carol Hansen-1 — Stuart and Carol Hansen — Dated January 4, 2020 | II.7.44-1 |
| II.7.45 | Letter I-Renee Harper-1 — Renee Harper — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.45-1 |
| II.7.46 | Letter I-Tom Hicks-1 — Tom Hicks — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.46-1 |
| II.7.47 | Letter I-Marilyn Hills-1 — Marilyn Hills — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.47-1 |
| II.7.48 | Letter I-Judith Hoaglund-1 — Judith Hoaglund — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.48-1 |
| II.7.49 | Letter I-Janet Holder-1 — Janet Holder — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.49-1 |
| II.7.50 | Letter I-Alice Howard-1 — Alice Howard — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.50-1 |
| II.7.51 | Letter I-Lesley Hunt-1 — Lesley Hunt — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.51-1 |
| II.7.52 | Letter I-Lola Hunter-1 — Lola Hunter — Dated November 28, 2019..... | II.7.52-1 |
| II.7.53 | Letter I-Shirlee Jack-1 — Shirlee Jack — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.53-1 |
| II.7.54 | Letter I-Karen Jacques-1 — Karen Jacques — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.54-1 |
| II.7.55 | Letter I-Kevin Jarvis-1 — Kevin Jarvis — Dated November 30, 2019 | II.7.55-1 |
| II.7.56 | Letter I-Alden Jenks-1 — Alden Jenks — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.56-1 |
| II.7.57 | Letter I-Claire Joaquin-1 — Claire Joaquin — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.57-1 |
| II.7.58 | Letter I-P Johansen-1 — P Johansen — Dated December 18, 2019..... | II.7.58-1 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----------|
| II.7.59 | Letter I-Jonathan Johnsen-1 — Jonathan Johnsen — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.59-1 |
| II.7.60 | Letter I-John Paul Jones-1 — John Paul Jones — Dated December 17, 2019 | II.7.60-1 |
| II.7.61 | Letter I-Karrer-1 — Karrer — Dated December 11, 2019..... | II.7.61-1 |
| II.7.62 | Letter I-Kathy-1 — Kathy — Dated December 4, 2019..... | II.7.62-1 |
| II.7.63 | Letter I-Theresa Kellerman-1 — Theresa Kellerman — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.63-1 |
| II.7.64 | Letter I-William Kelly-1 — William Kelly — Dated November 24, 2019... | II.7.64-1 |
| II.7.65 | Letter I-Judith Kirk-1 — Judith Kirk — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.65-1 |
| II.7.66 | Letter I-Barbara Kluger-1 — Barbara Kluger — Dated January 6, 2020 ... | II.7.66-1 |
| II.7.67 | Letter I-Ellen Koivisto-1 — Ellen Koivisto — Dated December 18, 2019.. | II.7.67-1 |
| II.7.68 | Letter I-Lori Koon-1 — Lori Koon — Dated November 24, 2019..... | II.7.68-1 |
| II.7.69 | Letter I-Lorrin Koran-1 — Lorrin Koran — Dated November 25, 2019 | II.7.69-1 |
| II.7.70 | Letter I-Don Kreuter-1 — Don Kreuter — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.70-1 |
| II.7.71 | Letter I-Kay L.-1 — Kay L. — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.71-1 |
| II.7.72 | Letter I-Philip Lefcourt-1 — Philip Lefcourt — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.72-1 |
| II.7.73 | Letter I-Shelly Levinthal-1 — Shelly Levinthal — Dated December 2, 2019 | II.7.73-1 |
| II.7.74 | Letter I-Eleanor Lewis-1 — Eleanor Lewis — Dated January 3, 2020..... | II.7.74-1 |
| II.7.75 | Letter I-Sherman Lewis-1 — Sherman Lewis — Dated December 19, 2019 | II.7.75-1 |
| II.7.76 | Letter I-Sherman Lewis-1 — Sherman Lewis — January 6, 2020 | II.7.76-1 |
| II.7.77 | Letter I-Steve Lewis-1 — Steve Lewis — Dated December 14, 2019 | II.7.77-1 |
| II.7.78 | Letter I-Steve Lewis-1 — Steve Lewis — Dated December 14, 2019 | II.7.78-1 |
| II.7.79 | Letter I-Allen Lilleberg-1 — Allen Lilleberg — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.79-1 |
| II.7.80 | Letter I-Alan Linzer-1 — Alan Linzer — Dated November 27, 2019 | II.7.80-1 |
| II.7.81 | Letter I-Charles Little-1 — Charles Little — Dated December 18, 2019... | II.7.81-1 |
| II.7.82 | Letter I-Denise Louie-1 — Denise Louie — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.82-1 |
| II.7.83 | Letter I-Judy Lukasiewicz-1 — Judy Lukasiewicz — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.83-1 |
| II.7.84 | Letter I-Robert MacLean-1 — Robert MacLean — Dated December 1, 2019 | II.7.84-3 |
| II.7.85 | Letter I-Robert MacLean-1 — Robert MacLean — Dated December 1, 2019 | II.7.85-1 |
| II.7.86 | Letter I-Bonnie Macraith-1 — Bonnie Macraith — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.86-1 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| II.7.87 | Letter I-Josh Michels-1 — Josh Michels — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.87-1 |
| II.7.88 | Letter I-Fritz Milas-1 — Fritz Milas — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.88-1 |
| II.7.89 | Letter I-Renee Milburn-1 — Renee Milburn — Dated January 6, 2020 ... | II.7.89-1 |
| II.7.90 | Letter I-Bruce Mitchell-1 — Bruce Mitchell — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.90-1 |
| II.7.91 | Letter I-Constance Mitchell-1 — Constance Mitchell — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.91-1 |
| II.7.92 | Letter I-Marilyn Morrish-1 — Marilyn Morrish — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.92-1 |
| II.7.93 | Letter I-Linda Newton-1 — Linda Newton — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.93-1 |
| II.7.94 | Letter I-Alice Nguyen-1 — Alice Nguyen — Dated December 18, 2019... | II.7.94-1 |
| II.7.95 | Letter I-Vince Nicastro-1 — Vince Nicastro — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.95-1 |
| II.7.96 | Letter I-Ralph Nichols-1 — Ralph Nichols — Dated December 19, 2019 . | II.7.96-1 |
| II.7.97 | Letter I-Melody O'Neill-1 — Melody O'Neill — Dated January 6, 2020 ... | II.7.97-1 |
| II.7.98 | Letter I-Gregory Orr-1 — Gregory Orr — Dated November 28, 2019..... | II.7.98-1 |
| II.7.99 | Letter I-David Osteraas-1 — David Osteraas — Dated December 19, 2019 | II.7.99-1 |
| II.7.100 | Letter I-Sheryl Patton-1 — Sheryl Patton — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.100-1 |
| II.7.101 | Letter I-Karen Phillips-1 — Karen Phillips — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.101-1 |
| II.7.102 | Letter I-Joel Pitney-1 — Joel Pitney — Dated November 25, 2019 | II.7.102-1 |
| II.7.103 | Letter I-Lynn Price-1 — Lynn Price — Dated November 25, 2019 | II.7.103-1 |
| II.7.104 | Letter I-Nora Privitera-1 — Nora Privitera — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.104-1 |
| II.7.105 | Letter I-Gary Ranz-1 — Gary Ranz — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.105-1 |
| II.7.106 | Letter I-D. Rincon-1 — D. Rincon — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.106-1 |
| II.7.107 | Letter I-Diana Rizza-1 — Diana Rizza — Dated November 24, 2019 | II.7.107-1 |
| II.7.108 | Letter I-Rachel Robson-1 — Rachel Robson — Dated January 6, 2020.. | II.7.108-1 |
| II.7.109 | Letter I-James Roscoe-1 — James Roscoe — Dated November 27, 2019 | II.7.109-1 |
| II.7.110 | Letter I-Stewart Rosen-1 — Stewart Rosen — Dated January 6, 2020 .. | II.7.110-1 |
| II.7.111 | Letter I-Stewart Rosen-1 — Stewart Rosen — Dated January 6, 2020 .. | II.7.111-1 |
| II.7.112 | Letter I-Stewart Rosen-3 — Stewart Rosen — Dated December 19, 2019 | II.7.112-1 |
| II.7.113 | Letter I-Suellen Rowlison R.N.-1 — Suellen Rowlison R.N. — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.113-1 |
| II.7.114 | Letter I-Linda Savidge-1 — Linda Savidge — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.114-1 |
| II.7.115 | Letter I-Laurel Sebastian-1 — Laurel Sebastian — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.115-1 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| II.7.116 | Letter I-Susan Shawl-1 — Susan Shawl — Dated December 4, 2019 | II.7.116-1 |
| II.7.117 | Letter I-Tim Shearer-1 — Tim Shearer — Dated November 24, 2019 ... | II.7.117-1 |
| II.7.118 | Letter I-Tom Shoup-1 — Tom Shoup — Dated December 20, 2019 | II.7.118-1 |
| II.7.119 | Letter I-Edward & Beatrice Simpson-1 — Edward & Beatrice Simpson — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.119-1 |
| II.7.120 | Letter I-Sue Stack-1 — Sue Stack — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.120-1 |
| II.7.121 | Letter I-Steven Stansbery-1 — Steven Stansbery — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.121-1 |
| II.7.122 | Letter I-Regina Stefaniak-1 — Regina Stefaniak — Dated December 19, 2019 | II.7.122-1 |
| II.7.123 | Letter I-June Steiner-1 — June Steiner — Dated November 24, 2019... | II.7.123-1 |
| II.7.124 | Letter I-Katherine S Stewart-1 — Katherine S Stewart — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.124-1 |
| II.7.125 | Letter I-John D Stickle D.C.-1 — John D Stickle D.C. — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.125-1 |
| II.7.126 | Letter I-Ruth Stoner Muzzin-1 — Ruth Stoner Muzzin — Dated December 18, 2019..... | II.7.126-1 |
| II.7.127 | Letter I-Shelley Strohm-1 — Shelley Strohm — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.127-1 |
| II.7.128 | Letter I-Dianna Suarez-1 — Dianna Suarez — Dated January 6, 2020 ... | II.7.128-1 |
| II.7.129 | Letter I-Deborah Symes-1 — Deborah Symes — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.129-1 |
| II.7.130 | Letter I-Kathleen Tandy-1 — Kathleen Tandy — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.130-1 |
| II.7.131 | Letter I-Marvin Tarbox-1 — Marvin Tarbox — Dated November 25, 2019 | II.7.131-1 |
| II.7.132 | Letter I-Roger Thibault-1 — Roger Thibault — Dated December 12, 2019 | II.7.132-1 |
| II.7.133 | Letter I-Gary Thorne-1 — Gary Thorne — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.133-1 |
| II.7.134 | Letter I-Linda Tiffany-1 — Linda Tiffany — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.134-1 |
| II.7.135 | Letter I-Cornelia Twitchell-1 — Cornelia Twitchell — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.135-1 |
| II.7.136 | Letter I-Amy Van Syoc-1 — Amy Van Syoc — Dated January 6, 2020.... | II.7.136-1 |
| II.7.137 | Letter I-Gerald Veiluva-1 — Gerald Veiluva — Dated November 26, 2019 | II.7.137-1 |
| II.7.138 | Letter I-Gerald Veiluva-1 — Gerald Veiluva — Dated November 26, 2019 | II.7.138-1 |
| II.7.139 | Letter I-Phil Vrankovich-1 — Phil Vrankovich — Dated December 1, 2019 | II.7.139-1 |

| | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| II.7.140 | Letter I-Penelope Ward-1 — Penelope Ward — Dated December 18, 2019 | II.7.140-1 |
| II.7.141 | Letter I-Jeffrey Weaver-1 — Jeffrey Weaver — Dated November 26, 2019 | II.7.141-1 |
| II.7.142 | Letter I-Sharon and Bill Whitten-1 — Sharon and Bill Whitten — Dated November 26, 2019 | II.7.142-1 |
| II.7.143 | Letter I-Beverly Williams-1 — Beverly Williams — Dated November 27, 2019 | II.7.143-1 |
| II.7.144 | Letter I-Katie Wilsker-1 — Katie Wilsker — Dated January 6, 2020..... | II.7.144-1 |
| II.7.145 | Letter I-Susan Worden-1 — Susan Worden — Dated January 6, 2020.. | II.7.145-1 |
| II.7.146 | Letter I-Susan Worden-1 — Susan Worden — Dated January 6, 2020.. | II.7.146-1 |
| II.7.147 | Letter I-Melinda Wright-1 — Melinda Wright — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.147-1 |
| II.7.148 | Letter I-Linda Wuy-1 — Linda Wuy — Dated January 1, 2020 | II.7.148-1 |
| II.7.149 | Letter I-Tynan Wyatt-1 — Tynan Wyatt — Dated December 29, 2019.. | II.7.149-1 |
| II.7.150 | Letter I-Mark Zhou-1 — Mark Zhou — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.150-1 |
| II.7.151 | Letter I-Carol Zimmerman-1 — Carol Zimmerman — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.151-1 |
| II.7.152 | Letter I-Catherine Zukowski-1 — Catherine Zukowsk1 — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.152-1 |
| II.7.153 | Letter I-Catherine Zukowski-1 — Catherine Zukowsk2 — Dated January 6, 2020 | II.7.153-1 |
| PART III, REVISIONS TO THE DEIR | | III-1 |
| PART IV, FEIR REFERENCES | | IV-1 |

APPENDICES

- A Initial Study
- B COA Addendum
- C Hydrology and Water Quality Model Results
- D SCHISM Model Results
- E Biological Model Results
- F Climate Change Sensitivity Analysis
- G Geographic Scope
- H CalSim II and DSM2
- I CDFW Proposal for Alternative 4
- J Adaptive Management Plan
- K Voluntary Agreements Framework

ATTACHMENTS

- 1 NRDC Form Letters Sierra Club
- 2 Form Letters
- 3 Comment Letter Attachments

TABLES

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| II.1-1 | Master Responses..... | II.1-1 |
| II.1-13-1 | Comparison of Proposed Project Actions to Federal Actions..... | II.1.13-2 |
| II.2-1 | Federal Agency Commenters..... | II.2-1 |
| II.3-1 | State Agency Commenters | II.3-1 |
| II.4-1 | Regional and Local Agency Commenters | II.4-1 |
| II.5-1 | Tribal Commenters | II.5-1 |
| II.6-1 | Organizational Commenters | II.6-1 |
| II.7-1 | Individual Commenters..... | II.7-1 |

FIGURE

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| II.1.25-1 | Collaborative real-Time Risk Assessment Decision-making Process for OMR Management..... | II.1.25-2 |
|-----------|---|-----------|

ACRONYMS AND OTHER ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| °C | degrees Celsius |
| °F | degrees Fahrenheit |
| AB 52 | Assembly Bill 52 |
| ACID | Anderson–Cottonwood Irrigation District |
| AF | acre-feet |
| AFSP | Anadromous Fish Screen Program |
| AFY | acre-feet per year |
| Ag | agriculture |
| AMP | Adaptive Management Plan |
| ANN | artificial neural network |
| ARB | Air Resources Board |
| ARIS | Adaptive Resolution Imaging Sonar |
| AT | acoustic tag |
| B.P. | Before Present |
| BAFF | bio-acoustic fish fence |
| Banks Pumping Plant | Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant |
| Bay Study | San Francisco Bay Study |
| Bay-Delta | San Francisco Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta |
| BDCP | Bay Delta Conservation Plan |
| BiOp | Biological Opinion |
| BMP | best management practice |
| BSP | Barker Slough Pumping Plant |
| CAEP | Classroom Aquarium Education Project |
| CAISMP | California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan |
| CAL/OSHA | California Occupational Safety and Health Administration |
| CalEPA | California Environmental Protection Agency |
| CalRecycle | California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery |
| Caltrans | California Department of Transportation |
| CAMT | Collaborative Adaptive Management Team |
| CAP | Climate Action Plan |
| CARB | California Air Resources Board |
| CCF | Clifton Court Forebay |
| CCR | California Code of Regulations |
| CCSB | Cache Creek Settling Basin |
| CCTAG | Climate Change Technical Advisory Group |
| CCWD | Contra Costa Water District |
| CDE | California Department of Education |
| CDFG | California Department of Fish and Game |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| CDFW | California Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act |
| CESA | California Endangered Species Act |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| cfs | cubic feet per second |
| CGS | California Geological Survey |
| CH ₄ | methane |
| CHP | California Highway Patrol |
| cm | centimeter(s) |
| cm TL | centimeters total length |
| CMIP5 | Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5 |
| CNPS | California Native Plant Society |
| CO | carbon monoxide |
| CO ₂ | carbon dioxide |
| CO ₂ e | carbon dioxide equivalents |
| COA | Coordinated Operation Agreement |
| Council | Delta Stewardship Council |
| CRHR | California Register of Historical Resources |
| CRPR | California Rare Plant Ranks |
| CSAMP | Collaborative Science and Adaptive Management Program |
| CTC | California Transportation Commission |
| CTR | California Toxics Rule |
| CV RWQCB | Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board |
| CVP | Central Valley Project |
| CVPIA | Central Valley Project Improvement Act |
| CWA | Clean Water Act |
| CWT | coded-wire tag |
| D-1485 | SWRCB Water Rights Decision 1485 |
| D-1641 | SWRCB Water Rights Decision 1641 |
| D-893 | SWRCB Water Rights Decision 893 |
| dB | decibel(s) |
| dBA | A-weighted decibels |
| DBP | disinfection by-product |
| DBW | California Department of Boating and Waterways |
| DCC | Delta Cross Channel |
| DDT | dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane |
| Delta | Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta |
| Delta Methylmercury TMDL | Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary Total Maximum Daily Load for Methylmercury |
| Delta Reform Act | Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Reform Act of 2009 |

| | |
|-----------|---|
| DMC | Delta–Mendota Canal |
| DO | dissolved oxygen |
| DOI | U.S. Department of the Interior |
| DPC | Delta Protection Commission |
| DPM | Delta Passage Model |
| DPR-DBW | Department of Parks and Recreation–Division of Boating and Waterways |
| DPS | Distinct Population Segment |
| DRS | Delta Research Station |
| DSC | Delta Stewardship Council |
| DSLKM | Delta Smelt Life Cycle Model |
| DSM2 | Delta Simulation Model II |
| DTSC | California Department of Toxic Substances Control |
| DWR | California Department of Water Resources |
| E/I | export/import |
| EBMUD | East Bay Municipal Utility District |
| EC | electrical conductivity |
| EchoWater | Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility Upgrade Project |
| EDCP | The Egeria Densa Control Program |
| EDSM | Enhanced Delta Smelt Monitoring Program |
| EFH | essential fish habitat |
| EID | El Dorado Irrigation District |
| EIR | Environmental Impact Report |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| EO | Executive Order |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ERP | Ecosystem Restoration Program |
| ERS | Estuarine Research Station |
| ESA | federal Endangered Species Act |
| ESU | Evolutionary Significant Unit |
| FBD | Fish Barrier Dam |
| FCCL | Fish Conservation and Culture Laboratory |
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| FESA | Federal Endangered Species Act |
| F-gases | fluorinated gases |
| FFGS | Floating Fish Guidance Structure |
| FHWA | Federal Highway Administration |
| FMS | Flow Management Standard |
| FMWT | Fall Midwater Trawl |
| FRPA | Fish Restoration Program Agreement |

| | |
|-----------|---|
| FRSA | Feather River Service Allocation |
| ft/sec | foot (or feet) per second |
| FTC | Fish Technology Center |
| GCID | Glenn Colusa Irrigation District |
| GHG | greenhouse gas |
| GSPs | Groundwater Sustainability Plans |
| GWP | Global warming potential |
| HAB | harmful algal bloom |
| HCP | habitat conservation plan |
| HFC | hydrofluorocarbon |
| HOR | Head of Old River |
| HORB | Head of Old River Barrier |
| HSC | Habitat Suitability Criteria |
| Hz | hertz |
| I | Interstate |
| IBU | in-basin use |
| ID | Irrigation District |
| IEP | Interagency Ecological Program |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| IS | initial study |
| ITP | Incidental Take Permit |
| ITS | incidental take statements |
| JPE | juvenile production estimate |
| JPOD | Joint Point of Diversion |
| km | kilometer |
| kWh | kilowatt-hour(s) |
| L_{eq} | equivalent sound level |
| LFC | Low Flow Channel |
| LFS | Longfin Smelt |
| L_{max} | maximum sound level |
| LMP | Land Management Plan |
| LSIWA | The Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area |
| LSZ | low salinity zone |
| LTO | long-term operation |
| M&I | municipal and industrial |
| MAF | million acre-feet |
| MAST | Management Analysis and Synthesis Team |
| MCVD | mosquito and vector control district |
| MERP | Mercury Exposure Reduction Program |
| MFR | minimum flow requirements |

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| mg/L | milligrams per liter |
| mgd | million gallons per day |
| MID | Modesto Irrigation District |
| MIDS | Morrow Island Distribution System |
| mm | millimeter(s) |
| mm TL | millimeters total length |
| mmhos/cm | millimhos per centimeter |
| MND | mitigated negative declaration |
| mS/cm | microsiemens per centimeter |
| MRV | junction of Middle River and the San Joaquin River |
| MWD | Metropolitan Water District |
| NAAQS | National Ambient Air Quality Standards |
| Natomas Mutual | Natomas Central Mutual Water Company |
| NBA | North Bay Aqueduct |
| NCCP | natural community conservation plan |
| Ne | effective population size |
| NFH | National Fish Hatchery |
| NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service |
| NPB | non-physical barrier |
| NO ₂ | nitrogen dioxide |
| NOD | Notice of Determination |
| NOP | Notice of Preparation |
| NO _x | nitrogen oxides |
| NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System |
| NRA | National Recreation Area |
| NRHP | National Register of Historic Places |
| NSJCGBA | Northeastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Banking Authority |
| NTU | nephelometric turbidity units |
| O&M | operations and maintenance |
| OBI | Old River at Bacon Island |
| OCAP | Operations Criteria and Plan |
| OMR | Old and Middle River |
| ORV | junction of Old River and the San Joaquin River |
| PCB | polychlorinated biphenyl |
| PFC | perfluorinated chemicals |
| PFMC | Pacific Fishery Management Council |
| PG&E | Pacific Gas and Electric Company |
| PM | particulate matter |
| PM ₁₀ | PM equal to or less than 10 micrometers in diameter |
| PM _{2.5} | PM equal to or less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| POD | Pelagic Organism Decline |
| Porter-Cologne Act | Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act |
| ppt | parts per thousand |
| PRC | Public Resources Code |
| Proposed Project | Long-Term Operation of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project |
| PSL | pre-screen loss |
| psu | practical salinity units |
| PTM | Particle Tracking Model |
| PWA | Public Water Agencies |
| QWEST | Net flow on the San-Joaquin River at Jersey Point |
| RBDD | Red Bluff Diversion Dam |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| Reclamation | U.S. Bureau of Reclamation |
| RHJV | Riparian Habitat Joint Venture |
| RM | River Mile |
| ROC on LTO | Reinitiation of Consultation on the Coordinated Long-Term Operation |
| ROD | Record of Decision |
| RPA | Reasonable and Prudent Alternative |
| RPS | Renewables Portfolio Standard |
| RRDS | Roaring River Distribution System |
| RWQCB | Regional Water Quality Control Board |
| Sacramento County RSD | Sacramento County Regional Sanitation District |
| SAIL | Salmon and Sturgeon Assessment of Indicators by Life Stage |
| SB | Senate Bill |
| SBA | South Bay Aqueduct |
| SCHISM | Semi-implicit Cross-scale Hydrosience Integrated System Model |
| SCWA | Solano County Water Agency |
| SDM | Structured Decision Model |
| SF ₆ | sulfur hexafluoride |
| SFCWA | State and Federal Contractor's Water Agency |
| SFE | San Francisco Estuary |
| SFPF | Skinner Fish Protective Facility |
| SGM | Sustainable Groundwater Management |
| SGMA | Sustainable Groundwater Management Act |
| SJRRP | San Joaquin River Restoration Program |
| SLCP | short-lived climate pollutant |
| SLDMWA | San Luis and Delta–Mendota Water Authority |
| SLS | Smelt Larva Survey |
| SMARA | Surface Mining and Reclamation Act |

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| SMGB | State Mining and Geology Board |
| SMPA | Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement |
| SMSCG | Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates |
| SNP | single-nucleotide polymorphism |
| SO ₂ | sulfur dioxide |
| SR | State Route |
| SRA | State Recreation Area |
| SJRGA | San Joaquin River Group Authority |
| SRWTP | Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant |
| SSC | species of special concern |
| SSQP | Sacramento Stormwater Quality Partnership |
| SST | Salmonid Scoping Team |
| STARS | Survival, Travel Time, and Routing Simulation |
| State | State of California |
| SVP | Society of Vertebrate Paleontology |
| SWC | State Water Contractors |
| SWG | Smelt Working Group |
| SWP | State Water Project |
| SWRCB | State Water Resources Control Board |
| TAF | thousand acre-feet |
| TBP | DWR South Delta Temporary Barrier Project |
| TCCA | Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority |
| TCD | temperature control device |
| TCR | tribal cultural resource(s) |
| TFCF | Tracy Fish Collection Facility |
| TID | Turlock Irrigation District |
| TL | total length |
| TMDL | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| TOC | total organic carbon |
| Trinity River ROD | Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration Record of Decision |
| UC Davis | University of California, Davis |
| UCMP | University of California, Berkeley Museum of Paleontology |
| USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| USC | U.S. Code |
| USFWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |
| UV | ultraviolet |
| UWFE | unstored water for export |
| WNV | West Nile Virus |
| WOMT | Water Operations Management Team |

| | |
|----------|---|
| WQCP | Water Quality Control Plan |
| WSPP | Western Systems Power Pool |
| YBHR | Yolo Bypass Habitat Restoration |
| YBSHRFPP | Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage Project |
| YOY | young-of-the-year |

This page intentionally left blank

Part I

Introduction

PART I INTRODUCTION

This document is the final environmental impact report (FEIR) for the Long-Term Operations of the State Water Project (SWP) (project).

On November 22, 2019, the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) released the draft environmental impact report (DEIR) for public review. The DEIR contained an environmental analysis of potentially significant effects of implementing the project. This FEIR consists of a revised version of the DEIR, written responses to all timely DEIR comments raising significant environmental issues, and additional technical information.

The DEIR included a Proposed Project as well as the following alternatives: No Project Alternative, Alternative 2a (Proposed Project with Additional Spring Delta Outflow), Alternative 2b (Proposed Project with Dedicated Water for Delta Outflow from SWP), Alternative 3 (Installation of Physical and Non-Physical Barriers), and Alternative 4 (Alternative Summer-Fall Action). DWR is seeking an incidental take permit (ITP) under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) to continue with the long-term operations, and CDFW will have oversight in how these operations will occur going forward. DWR staff has continued to work closely with CDFW staff since the issuance of the DEIR on long-term operations of the SWP that would allow for the issuance of an ITP meeting the standards of CESA.

Not long after issuing the DEIR, DWR submitted its ITP application to CDFW. The application reflected preliminary input from CDFW staff to the effect that CDFW likely would not issue an ITP for the Proposed Project without changes. The application was modified from the Proposed Project to make the proposal more protective under CESA consistent with CDFW's consultation. Dialogue between the two agencies continued during the CEQA public review period and until the time that this FEIR was ready for publication. With input from CDFW, DWR has built on Alternative 2b. DWR took this approach because Alternative 2b, with its dedicated Delta outflow, more closely resembled an operational proposal that would be acceptable to CDFW compared to the Proposed Project described in the DEIR. The FEIR refers to the updated version of Alternative 2b as "Refined Alternative 2b."

Refined Alternative 2b includes elements of the operations described in the Proposed Project, but also consists of a dedicated "block" of water for summer or fall Delta outflow and additional spring maintenance flows, which through the adaptive management plan (AMP) could be shifted for use in Summer-Fall period of the current year or Spring-Fall of the subsequent year. In addition to the Summer-Fall Delta Smelt Habitat Action in the Proposed Project, Refined Alternative 2b includes an additional salinity target in the Suisun Marsh to guide Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gate operations in Wet, Above Normal, and Below Normal years. The additional spring through fall water dedicated for Delta outflow would be used to test hypotheses through scientific studies and narrow the uncertainty surrounding the effect of Delta outflow on spring Longfin Smelt abundance and Summer-Fall Delta Smelt habitat. The details of the scientific studies will be developed by DWR in coordination with CDFW and State Water Contractors (SWC) as described in the AMP. Refined Alternative 2b also includes the Georgiana Slough Behavioral Modification Barrier (GSBMB) that was described in the DEIR as a component of Alternative 3 (referred to Georgiana Slough Non-Physical Barrier in Alternative 3). The GSBMB is included to further minimize potential for salmonid entrainment by preventing them from entering the South Delta.

The complete analysis for Refined Alternative 2b, as provided in this FEIR, has been supplemented with additional modeling and analysis, which support the DEIR impact conclusions for the Alternative (see Chapter 1.4, below). No new impacts were identified based on the additional modeling and analysis. Although a number of parties who commented on the DEIR argued that DWR violated CEQA by filing an ITP application that differed from the Proposed Project, the fact that DWR, as the ITP applicant, has ultimately decided to pursue an alternative that appears to be more acceptable to CDFW, as the ITP decisionmaker, is fully consistent with CEQA. The same is true of DWR's efforts to continue to work with CDFW to refine the proposal for long-term operations of the SWP to meet CESA's requirements. As the courts have repeatedly emphasized, "[t]he CEQA reporting process is not designed to freeze the ultimate proposal in the precise mold of the initial project; indeed, new and unforeseen insights may emerge during investigation, evoking revision of the original proposal."¹ The same is true of the CESA ITP permitting process.

I.1 BACKGROUND

DWR is proposing to implement the ongoing, long-term operations of the SWP to promote protection and conservation of designated species in compliance with CESA, as authorized by the CDFW through the issuance of an ITP in accordance with Section 2081 of CESA (California Fish and Game Code Section 2081).

The SWP includes water storage, power production, and water conveyance facilities, delivering an annual average of 2.9 million acre-feet of water to contracted water users. The principal facilities of the SWP consist of Oroville Reservoir and related facilities, facilities in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta (Delta), the Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates, the California Aqueduct (including its terminal reservoirs), San Luis Dam and related facilities, and the North and South Bay Aqueducts. DWR holds contracts with 29 public agencies in northern, central, and southern California for the delivery of SWP water supplies.

The SWP operations provide flood control and water supplies for agricultural, municipal, industrial, recreational, and environmental purposes. The SWP operates pursuant to the existing water rights permits issued by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), which allow appropriation of water by storing, releasing, and conveying from storage throughout the year.

DWR and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) coordinate the operations of the SWP and federal Central Valley Project (CVP) under the terms of the Coordinated Operation Agreement (COA), as authorized by Public Law 99-546. DWR and Reclamation executed a COA Addendum on December 12, 2018, updating the agreement that reflected changed conditions since its original execution in 1986.

Until Reclamation issued a Record of Decision (ROD) in February 2020, the SWP and the CVP operated in accordance with the 2008 United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Biological Opinion and the 2009 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Biological Opinion issued pursuant to Section 7 of the

¹ *Kings County Farm Bureau v. City of Hanford* (1990) 221 Cal.App.3d 692, 736, quoting *County of Inyo v. City of Los Angeles* (1977) 71 Cal.App.3d 185, 199.

federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973. The USFWS and NMFS issued new biological opinions on October 21, 2019 (2019 Biological Opinions), which Reclamation adopted through issuance of the February 2020 ROD.

I.2 INTENDED USES OF THE FEIR

On December 13, 2019, DWR submitted an ITP application to CDFW pursuant to Section 2081 of the California Fish and Game Code. The application addressed species that are listed under the CESA and are subject to incidental take from long-term operation of the SWP (Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Winter-run Chinook Salmon, and Spring-run Chinook Salmon). As a responsible agency, CDFW may rely on this FEIR to support issuing a new ITP for the SWP.

Refined Alternative 2b includes several modifications to the proposed long-term operations of SWP Delta facilities and ongoing programs that would enhance protection of special-status fish species in the Delta. Implementation of Refined Alternative 2b would provide for continued operation of the SWP and would enable delivery of up to the full contracted water amounts while minimizing and fully mitigating the take of listed species consistent with CESA requirements.

I.3 PUBLIC SCOPING AND COMMENTS

I.3.1 OUTREACH

DWR provided public notice of availability of the DEIR as required by Section 15087 of the CEQA Guidelines. Written notice was provided to individuals and organizations who previously have requested such notice, including the 19 parties who submitted comments in response to the notice of preparation. A public notice of availability was placed in seven newspapers with regional circulation throughout the state, announcing the availability of the DEIR and the opportunity to submit comments. The public notice was also distributed to 48 County Clerk offices and 19 State, federal, and local agencies.

A public meeting was held on December 12, 2019 in West Sacramento at the Department of General Services' Ziggurat Building Auditorium to receive input from agencies and the public on the DEIR. Attendees were able to provide written and oral comments during the public meeting.

I.3.2 CIRCULATION

The DEIR was circulated for public review and comment for a period of 45 days, from November 22, 2019 to January 6, 2020. The DEIR and associated Notice of Completion were filed with the California Office of Planning and Research State Clearinghouse on November 22, 2019.

A digital copy of the DEIR was available on the DWR website at <https://water.ca.gov/News/Public-Notices>. A hard copy of the DEIR was available at DWR's office at 3500 Industrial Boulevard, West Sacramento, California 95691. Digital copies were also available for public review at the following locations:

- Alameda County Library, 2450 Stevenson Boulevard, Fremont CA, 94538
- Beale Memorial Library, 701 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA, 93301
- Central Library, 40 East Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara CA, 93101
- Cesar Chavez Central Library, 605 N. El Dorado Street, Stockton CA, 95202
- Colusa County Library, 738 Market Street, Colusa CA, 95932
- Contra Costa Library, Martinez Branch, 740 Court Street, Martinez CA, 94533
- Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Library, 150 East San Fernando Street, San Jose CA, 95112
- E.P. Foster Library, 651 East Main Street, Ventura CA, 93001
- East San Jose Carnegie Branch Library, 1102 E Santa Clara Street, San Jose CA, 95116
- El Centro Public Library, Community Center, 375 South 1st Street, El Centro CA, 92243
- Fairfield Civic Center Library, 1150 Kentucky Street, Fairfield, CA, 94533
- Fremont Library, 2400 Stevenson Boulevard, Fremont CA, 94538
- Hanford Branch Library, 401 North Douty Street, Hanford CA, 93230
- Los Angeles Public Library, 630 West 5th Street, Los Angeles CA 90071
- Marin County Library, 3501 Civic Center Drive #427, San Rafael, CA, 94903
- Mary L. Stephans Davis Branch library, 315 E. 14th Street, Davis, CA, 95616
- Merced County Library, Merced Branch, 2100 O Street, Merced CA, 95340
- Modesto Public Library, 1500 I Street, Modesto CA, 95354
- Napa Main Library, 580 Coombs Street, Napa CA 94559
- Norman F. Feldheim Central Library, 555 West 6th Street, San Bernardino CA, 92410
- Oroville Branch Library, 1820 Mitchell Avenue, Oroville CA, 95966
- Pleasant Hill Library, 1750 Oak Park Boulevard, Pleasant Hill CA, 94523
- Quincy Public Library, 445 Jackson Street, Quincy CA, 95971
- Red Bluff Library, 645 Madison Street, Red Bluff CA, 96080
- Redding Library, 1100 Parkview Avenue, Redding CA, 96001
- Riverside Public Library, 3581 Mission Inn Avenue, Riverside CA, 92501
- Sacramento County Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento CA, 95202
- San Diego Public Library, Central Library, 820 E Street, San Diego CA, 92101
- San Francisco Public Library, 100 Larkin Street, San Francisco CA, 94102
- San Luis Obispo Library, 995 Palm Street, San Luis Obispo, CA, 93401
- San Mateo Public Library, 55 West 3rd Avenue, San Mateo CA, 94402
- Santa Clara City, Central Park Library, 2635 Homestead Road, Santa Clara CA, 95051
- Sonoma County Central Library, 211 East Street, Santa Rosa CA, 95404
- Sutter County Library, Main Branch, 750 Forbes Avenue, Yuba City CA, 95991

- Visalia Branch Library, 200 West Oak Avenue, Visalia CA, 93291
- Willows Public Library, 201 North Lassen Street, Willows CA, 95988

Comments received on the DEIR during the public comment period include written and oral comments from organizations, agencies, and the public.

I.4 SUPPLEMENTAL TECHNICAL ANALYSES AND INFORMATION SINCE CIRCULATION OF THE DEIR

DWR has conducted several supplemental technical studies to verify the impact analyses of Refined Alternative 2b presented in the FEIR. Specific studies include the following:

- Climate Change Sensitivity Analysis utilizing CMIP 5
- CalSim II hydrological modeling
- DSM2 Hydro modeling
- DSM2 particle tracking model (PTM) for Delta Smelt and Longfin Smelt
- Nobriga-Rosenfield analysis for Longfin Smelt
- Analysis with X2-Longfin Smelt Abundance Index Relationship
- Salvage-Density analysis for all special-status species, except Delta and Longfin Smelt
- Delta hydrodynamic assessment and junction routing analysis for Chinook Salmon
- Delta Passage Model analysis for Chinook Salmon
- Survival, travel time, and routing analysis for Chinook Salmon
- Draft Adaptive Management Plan
- Framework of Voluntary Agreements to Update and Implement the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan

The methods and results of these studies are included in Part III of this FEIR, as updates to DEIR Appendices C, E, F, and H. Appendix J and K are new to the FEIR.

I.5 NO CHANGES TO THE IMPACT CONCLUSIONS IN THE DEIR AS A RESULT OF SUPPLEMENTAL TECHNICAL STUDIES AND ANALYSIS

The supplemental technical studies and resulting analyses conducted following circulation of the DEIR have confirmed the impacts conclusions in the DEIR for Refined Alternative 2b. None of the impact conclusions in the DEIR were changed as a result of the technical analyses of hydrology, water quality, or biological resources conducted for the FEIR. Therefore, the results of the supplemental technical studies are not considered significant new information requiring recirculation under Section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.

I.6 FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

As previously noted, the DEIR identified and evaluated four alternatives in addition to the Proposed Project. The analyses presented in the DEIR and the FEIR concludes that the Proposed Project and the

alternatives considered would have either no impact or a less-than-significant impact on the environment. Only the implementation of Alternative 4 could result in a potential significant impact, but it could be mitigated to a less-than-significant level.

Further, DWR is proposing mitigation to meet the legal standard under CESA to minimize and fully mitigate the take of listed species consistent with DWR's application for an ITP. Two of the alternatives evaluated in the DEIR provide freshwater flows in the spring and summer, and one alternative includes physical barriers and other deterrents to keep fish away from the SWP pumps. While the Proposed Project or alternatives could be implemented without generating significant environmental impacts, implementation of the Proposed Project or alternatives would result in multiple environmental benefits that would contribute to the protection of special status aquatic species over and above that achieved by the No Project Alternative.

A comparison of the identified alternatives is presented in Part I.6.1 and a discussion identifying the Environmentally Superior Alternative is presented in Part I.6.2.

I.6.1 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

As a result of the analyses performed and presented in the DEIR, including the analysis presented in Appendix A "Initial Study of the Long-term Operations of the State Water Project," and the additional analysis presented in this FEIR, the findings and conclusions presented in Table 1.6-1 have been developed.

Table 1.6-1. Findings and Conclusions

| Environmental Topic | Proposed Project | No Project Alternative | Alternative 2a- Proposed Project with Additional Spring Delta Outflow | Refined Alternative 2b – Proposed Project with Dedicated Water for Delta Outflow from SWP | Alternative 3 – Installation of Spring Head of Old River Barrier and Non-Physical Barrier at Georgiana Slough | Alternative 4 – Alternative Summer-Fall Action |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Hydrology | Appendix A to the DEIR, “Initial Study of the Long-Term Operations of the State Water Project” concluded that while the Proposed Project would modify surface water hydrology, this change would not constitute a significant impact on the environment. The Initial Study did report that while no effect on surface water hydrology would occur, impacts to associated environmental resources, such as water quality and aquatic biological resources, could occur. | Same as Existing Conditions | April-May Delta outflow would be less than the No Project Alternative but greater than the Proposed Project. Alternative 2a would result in reduced south of Delta exports in April-May compared to the Proposed Project, resulting in an increase in April-May OMR flows when compared to the Proposed Project. | April-May Delta outflow would be less than the No Project Alternative but greater than the Proposed Project. Refined Alternative 2b would result in reduced south of Delta exports in April-May compared to the Proposed Project, resulting in an increase in April-May OMR flows. A 100 TAF increase in Delta outflow would initially occur in August of wet and above normal years. Additional Delta outflow would be made available through reduction in south Delta exports. CDFW may define an alternate purpose for additional Delta outflow in summer within the June through September time period of wet and above normal years through the AMP. | The physical and non-physical barriers included in Alternative 3 would not substantially change hydrology compared to the Proposed Project. | Alternative 4 would replace the summer/fall action included in the Proposed Project with all other operations remaining same as those of the Proposed Project. Alternative 4 would add Delta Smelt habitat criteria to the summer/fall action. These water quality criteria include the maintaining position of a 2 ppt isohaline target in wet and above normal, and a 4 ppt target at Belden’s Landing from June to August in below normal and dry years. The increased outflow would come from multiple sources including SWP and CVP exports, increased Oroville Reservoir releases, purchased water from other users. |
| Water Quality | The Proposed Project generally would increase salinity during the late fall and early winter in the years following wet and above-normal water years. Despite the potential for salinity increases, SWP will comply with D-1641 standards. The salinity standards in D-1641 were established specifically to protect water quality, including beneficial uses for fish and wildlife and agricultural and urban uses. The Proposed Project would not result in a violation of any water quality standard or waste discharge requirement, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality. Therefore, changes to water quality are less than significant. | Same as Existing Conditions | Alternative 2a would increase salinity during the late fall and early winter in years following wet and above normal water years. Operations to meet D-1641 requirements would be similar to the Proposed Project and the impacts to surface water quality would remain less than significant. | Refined Alternative 2b would increase salinity during the late fall and early winter in years following wet and above normal water years. Operations to meet D-1641 requirements would be similar to those of the Proposed Project and the impacts to surface water quality would remain less than significant. | Alternative 3 would increase salinity during the late fall and early winter in years following wet and above normal water years. Alternative 3 would have surface water quality similar to that found under existing conditions and Proposed Project, and impacts to surface water quality would remain less than significant. | Alternative 4 operations would reduce salinity in the western Delta compared to the Proposed Project during the summer and fall months. The reduced salinity would result from the proposed X2 requirements and Belden’s Landing salinity requirements in the Suisun Marsh. Potential impacts to surface water quality would be potentially significant caused by reduced availability of cold water and reservoir storage needed to meet water quality criteria during years following below normal water years. |

| Environmental Topic | Proposed Project | No Project Alternative | Alternative 2a- Proposed Project with Additional Spring Delta Outflow | Refined Alternative 2b – Proposed Project with Dedicated Water for Delta Outflow from SWP | Alternative 3 – Installation of Spring Head of Old River Barrier and Non-Physical Barrier at Georgiana Slough | Alternative 4 – Alternative Summer-Fall Action |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Biological Resources | The analyses conducted for each life stage of Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Winter-run Chinook Salmon, Fall-run and Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon, and Central Valley Steelhead, Central California Coast Steelhead, Green Sturgeon, White Sturgeon, Pacific Lamprey and River Lamprey, native minnows, including Sacramento Splittail, Striped Bass, American Shad, non-native freshwater Bass, and Killer Whale conclude that implementing the Proposed Project would not cause a substantial adverse impact on designated aquatic species relative to existing conditions. Therefore, the impacts would be Less than Significant. | Same as Existing Conditions. The No Project Alternative would not include the actions included in the Proposed Project that could minimize effects of SWP long term operation on aquatic resources. | Alternative 2a would provide additional benefits to some aquatic biological resources compared to the Proposed Project. However, species such as Delta Smelt, could be adversely impacted by possible changes in food availability. Alternative 2a would not cause a substantial adverse impact on special status aquatic species, relative to existing conditions and are considered Less than Significant. | Refined Alternative 2b would provide additional benefits to some aquatic biological resources compared to the Proposed Project. Alternative 2b provides reduced exports during the spring and increased Delta outflow during the April–May period or June–September (initially focusing on August) periods, which would positively affect species and life stages, or habitat components during those periods. The effects of Refined Alternative 2b would be less than significant for all special status species evaluated. | Lower south Delta exports would result in greater Delta outflow during April/May, but the differences would be only on the order of a few hundred cfs and therefore Delta outflow-related effects would be essentially the same as the Proposed Project. Impacts of Alternative 3 on aquatic resources would be similar to those of the Proposed Project. and would remain less than significant. | Alternative 4 would be expected to have impacts similar to those of the Proposed Project except during the summer-fall period when the operations and hydrology criteria described above would be implemented and would remain less than significant. |
| Tribal Cultural Resources | Consultation with the Fernandeño Tataviam Band of Mission Indians, the Karuk Tribe, United Auburn Indian Community of the Auburn Rancheria, Wilton Rancheria, and the Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation has been performed and has not resulted in the identification of Tribal Cultural Resources as described under AB 52 and PRC Section 21074. As a result of this consultation process, it is concluded that the Proposed Project would have no impact on Tribal Cultural Resources. | Same as Existing Conditions. | Same as Proposed Project. Alternative 2a would have no impact on Tribal Cultural Resources. | Same as Proposed Project Refined Alternative 2b would have no impact on Tribal Cultural Resources. | Same as Proposed Project Alternative 3 would have no impact on Tribal Cultural Resources. | Same as Proposed Project. Alternative 4 would have no impact on Tribal Cultural Resources. |

I.6.2 ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE

Section 15126.6(e) of the State CEQA Guidelines sets forth the circumstances in which CEQA lead agencies must identify the “environmentally superior alternative” prior to making a decision on a project.

(2) If the environmentally superior alternative is the “no project” alternative, the EIR shall also identify an environmentally superior alternative among the other alternatives.

The State CEQA Guidelines assume that, for many projects, the No Project Alternative would typically be environmentally superior to alternatives that involve implementing an activity that causes physical change in some form. The assumption is that the choice of doing nothing will result in fewer environmental impacts than implementing an activity that causes physical change of some kind. As summarized in Table 1.6-1, based on the results of the various technical analyses presented in this FEIR, the No Project Alternative is not the environmentally superior alternative.

As shown in Table 1.6-1, the Proposed Project and other alternatives could be implemented without resulting in significant environmental impacts. The FEIR also describes potential environmental benefits that would result from implementing additional measures or enhancements associated with each alternative that would further contribute to protecting designated aquatic species.

The DEIR concluded that, from a CEQA standpoint, the impacts of the Proposed Project and Alternative 2b are essentially equivalent (all less than significant) and both the Proposed Project and Alternative 2b are considered to be the environmentally superior alternatives. Modifications to Alternative 2b that are included in the Refined Alternative 2b in this FEIR would provide additional environmental benefits for CESA-listed fish species, making Refined Alternative 2b more likely to be permitted under CESA than the Proposed Project. Therefore, Refined Alternative 2b is the environmentally superior and preferred alternative selected by DWR for the long-term operations of the SWP. The following discussion characterizes the measures and benefits associated with Refined Alternative 2b.

The Refined Alternative 2b is considered the environmentally superior alternative because it includes all the elements identified in the Proposed Project to minimize impacts on aquatic species and includes additional actions to benefit CESA-listed fish species in the Delta that would not be implemented by the Proposed Project or Alternatives 2a, 3, or 4.

With implementation of Refined Alternative 2b, seasonal timing of exports differs from the Existing Condition, but the total volume of exports would generally be expected to remain the same. Additionally, Refined Alternative 2b includes a collaborative real-time risk assessment approach to Old Middle River (OMR) management that provides CDFW with greater authority to curtail exports to minimize entrainment-related effects on CESA-listed fish species. Refined Alternative 2b also commits DWR to implementing its proportional share of OMR restrictions when such restrictions are recommended by the Water Operations Management Team (WOMT) or required by CDFW.

Refined Alternative 2b also includes additional adaptive management actions not included in the Proposed Project or Alternatives 2a, 3, and 4. These adaptive management actions include convening an Adaptive Management Team (AMT) that will develop and implement an AMP.

The AMT will oversee efforts to monitor and evaluate SWP operations and related activities, use structured decision-making to assess the relative costs and benefits of those operations and activities, and will identify changes to those operations and activities.

The major environmental benefits associated with implementing the AMP include the shifting of spring maintenance flows to develop up to 150 Thousand Acre-Feet (TAF) of water for use in the Summer-Fall period of the current year or spring-fall of the following year (except if the following year is a “critical” water year) and providing an adaptively-managed 100 TAF block of water to supplement Delta outflow any time between June and October of “wet” and “above normal” water years.

I.7 FINAL EIR ORGANIZATION

The FEIR is organized into the following parts:

- Part I, Introduction, summarizes the refinements to Alternative 2b following circulation of the DEIR in response to input from CDFW, and provides an overview of additional technical studies and analyses conducted after the DEIR was circulated to the public. The Introduction also summarizes the findings and conclusions of the FEIR.
- Part II, Comments and Responses, provides responses to verbal and written comments received on the DEIR during the public review period. This chapter is organized into sections, as follows:
 - Master Comment Responses – addresses common themes or concerns repeated in the comment letters received on the DEIR through a series of master responses.
 - Federal Agency Comments and Responses
 - State Agency Comments and Responses
 - Regional and Local Agency Comments and Responses
 - Tribal Comments and Responses
 - Organizational Comments and Responses
 - Individual Comments and Responses
- Part III, Revisions to the DEIR, presents the DEIR with revisions to text made in response to comments or as a result of additional technical information that is now available.
 - Updated Appendices include:
 - Appendix C: Hydrology Model Results
 - Appendix E: Biological Modeling Methods and Selected Results
 - Appendix F: Climate Change Sensitivity Analysis
 - Appendix H: CalSim II and DSM2 Model Descriptions and Assumptions
 - New Appendices include:
 - Appendix J: Adaptive Management Plan
 - Appendix K: Framework of Voluntary Agreements
- Part IV, FEIR References
- Attachment 1, NRDC Form Letters
- Attachment 2, Sierra Club Form Letters
- Attachment 3, Comment Letter Attachments