

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791



6/6/2022

Mr. Rodney Williams
1461 Sliger Mine Road
Greenwood, CA 95635

Dear Mr. Williams:

Our records indicate that you are the owner of certain property in Yolo County, identified as Assessor's Parcel Nos. (APNs) 033-220-067 and 033-220-060. The State of California Department of Water Resources (DWR or State) proposes to purchase an easement over this property, identified as DWR Parcel No. YBSH-155 Units A and B, to accomplish the goals of the Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage Project (Project).

Section 7267.2 of the California Government Code and the California Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Guidelines require that each property owner from whom the State of California makes an offer to purchase real property, or an interest therein, be provided with a written statement of, and summary of the basis for, the amount that has been established as just compensation, as well as the following information:

1. You are entitled to receive full payment prior to vacating the real property rights being purchased unless you have heretofore waived such entitlement. You are not required to pay recording fees, transfer taxes, or the pro rata portion of the real property taxes which are allocable to any period subsequent to the passage of title or possession.
2. The right to be acquired is a permanent Non-Exclusive Flowage Easement (Easement). All buildings, structures, and other improvements affixed to the land described in the Easement Deed for YBSH-155 Units A and B and owned by you are not being conveyed.
3. The Fair Market Value (FMV) of the Easement is based upon an appraisal, which is summarized in the enclosed Appraisal Summary Statement. As full just compensation for the Easement to be acquired, we offer you **\$101,000**, as shown on the enclosed Right of Way Contract.

The State's offer:

- a. Represents the full amount of the FMV identified in an approved appraisal as just compensation for the Easement;
- b. Is not less than the approved appraisal of the FMV of the Easement;
4. Does not reflect any consideration of, or allowance for, any relocation assistance and payments or any other benefits to which you may be entitled; and

Mr. Rodney Williams
6/6/2022

Page 2

5. Disregards any decrease or increase in the FMV value of the Easement prior to the date of valuation caused by the public improvement for which the Easement is to be acquired, or by the likelihood that the Easement would be acquired for such public use, other than that due to physical deterioration within the reasonable control of the owner or occupant.
6. In accordance with Section 1263.025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, you are entitled to seek an individual appraisal on the value the Easement and to receive reimbursement of up to \$5,000 to pay for reasonable appraisal costs. In order to be reimbursed, you must sign an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement and engage an appraiser licensed by the State of California with the Office of Real Estate Appraisers. The appraiser must be either a MAI designated appraiser or hold an equivalent designation recognized by the Appraisal Foundation. For further information on the requirements for reimbursement and to ensure that you are aware of proper procedures, please contact DWR prior to engaging an appraiser. The request for an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement may be submitted to:

Department of Water Resources
Real Estate Branch, Attn: Fahmi Kassis
715 P Street, #5
Sacramento, California 95814
or by e-mail to Fahmi.Kassis@water.ca.gov

7. The owner of a business conducted on a property to be acquired, or conducted on the remaining property, which will be affected by the purchase of the Easement, may be entitled to compensation for the loss of goodwill. Entitlement is contingent upon your ability to prove such loss in accordance with the provisions of Sections 1263.510 and 1263.520 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
8. If you ultimately elect to reject the State's offer for the Easement, you are entitled to have the amount of compensation determined by a court of law in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

Included as part of this package, you will find the following information relating to this proposed acquisition:

- Appraisal Summary Statements
- Right of Way Contract
- Easement Deed and Map
- Comparable Sales Data Sheets with Maps
- Inundation Mapping Exhibits
- Real Estate Branch Property Acquisition Information

Mr. Rodney Williams
6/6/2022

Page 3

Please date and sign the Easement Deed **exactly** as shown in the designated location and have your signature **notarized**. If needed, a Public Notary can be made available to notarize your signature. Also, date and sign the Right of Way Contract. The Right of Way Contract may be signed and returned electronically to me at the e-mail address below; however, the Easement Deed requires an original notarized signature and should be mailed or may be picked up by DWR. A fully executed copy of the Right of Way Contract will be forwarded to you at the close of escrow.

If you have any questions or need additional information, you may contact me directly by telephone at (916) 902-7021, toll free at (800) 600-4397, or by e-mail at Fahmi.Kassis@water.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Fahmi Kassis

Fahmi Kassis
Associate Right of Way Agent

Enclosures

APPRAISAL SUMMARY STATEMENT

Parcel No.: YBSH-155 Unit A
Report No.: n/a
Date of Value: February 22, 2022
County: Yolo
Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage
Owner: Rodney W. Williams, a married man as his sole and separate property
APN: 033-220-060
Property Location: Southeast Corner of County Road 155 and County Road 107
Zoning: A-N - Agricultural Intensive
Present Use: Recreational hunting and migratory bird conservation
Highest and Best Use: Fowl Hunting
Proposed Public Use: Flowage Easement for adult fish passage and juvenile rearing habitat

Area and Property Right to be Acquired:

40.00± AC x 20% Rights

Area of Remainder: 40.00± AC x 80% Rights**Market Value of Required Property:**Permanent Easement:

Land: 40.00± AC x \$5,000/AC x 20% = \$40,000

Total Land \$40,000

Damages to the remaining property due to the State's acquisition are: \$ -0-

Total Acquisition \$40,000

Rounded to \$40,000

The market value of the Easement being purchased is based upon a FMV appraisal prepared in accordance with accepted appraisal procedures. Valuation of your property is based upon an analysis of recent sales of similar properties in this locality. Valuation of the land recognizes all factors influencing its current value.

Total Payment is: \$40,000

This summary of the amount offered as compensation is presented in compliance with federal and State law and has been derived from a formal appraisal. The value of any property rights retained by the owner which are not now reflected in the appraisal must be deducted from the FMV shown above.

APPRAISAL SUMMARY STATEMENT

Parcel No.: YBSH-155 Unit B
Report No.: n/a
Date of Value: February 22, 2022
County: Yolo
Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage
Owner: Rodney W. Williams, a married man as his sole and separate property
APNs: 033-220-067
Property Location: Southeast Corner of County Road 155 and County Road 107
Zoning: A-N - Agriculture Intensive
Present Use: Recreational hunting and migratory bird conservation
Highest and Best Use: Duck Hunting
Proposed Public Use: Flowage Easement for adult fish passage and juvenile rearing habitat

Area and Property Right to be Acquired:

60.13± AC x 20% Rights

Area of Remainder: 60.13± AC x 80% Rights**Market Value of Required Property:**Permanent Easement:

Land: 60.13± AC x \$5,000/AC x 20%	=	\$60,130
	Total Land	\$60,130
Damages to the remaining property due to the State's acquisition are:		\$ -0-
	Total Acquisition	\$60,130
	Rounded to	\$61,000

The market value of the Easement being purchased is based upon a FMV appraisal prepared in accordance with accepted appraisal procedures. Valuation of your property is based upon an analysis of recent sales of similar properties in this locality. Valuation of the land recognizes all factors influencing its current value.

Total Payment is: \$61,000

This summary of the amount offered as compensation is presented in compliance with federal and State law and has been derived from a formal appraisal. The value of any property rights retained by the owner which are not now reflected in the appraisal must be deducted from the FMV shown above.

Grantor: Rodney W. Williams, a married man
as his sole and separate property

Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat
Restoration and Fish Passage
Parcel No.: YBSH-155 Units A and B

RIGHT OF WAY CONTRACT

This Right of Way Contract ("Contract") dated as of _____ (the Effective Date) is made by and between the Department of Water Resources ("DWR") of the State of California, ("STATE") and Rodney W. Williams, a married man as his sole and separate property. The Effective Date of this Contract shall be the date as signed by Angelica Aguilar, Manager of the Real Estate Branch for the STATE.

In consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, this Contract is subject to the conditions set forth below and is made with reference to the following facts:

1. a. GRANTOR is the owner of fee title of those certain real properties generally located at:
 - (i) Southeast Corner of County Road 155 and County Road 107 known as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 033-220-060, consisting of approximately 40.00 acres of land (YBSH-155 Unit A); and
 - (ii) Southeast Corner of County Road 155 and County Road 107 known as APN: 033-220-067, consisting of approximately 60.13 acres of land (YBSH-155 Unit B).

each together with all improvements and fixtures thereon ("collectively the Property"); and
 - b. STATE desires to purchase from GRANTOR and GRANTOR desires to sell to STATE a permanent non-exclusive flowage easement ("the Easement"), via Document No. YBSH-155 (the Easement Deed"), covering the entirety of the Property, known to the STATE as DWR Parcel No. YBSH-155 Units A and B and more particularly described in the Easement Deed, which shall be executed and delivered to Fahmi Kassis, Associate Right of Way Agent for the STATE.
 - c. STATE requires the Easement for the purposes of seasonal floodplain fisheries rearing habitat and fish passage in the Yolo Bypass, a public use for which STATE may exercise the power of eminent domain. GRANTOR is compelled to sell, and STATE is compelled to acquire the Easement.
 - d. Both GRANTOR and STATE recognize the expense, time, effort, and risk to both GRANTOR and STATE in determining the compensation for the Easement by eminent domain litigation; and the compensation set forth herein the Easement is in compromise and settlement in lieu of such litigation.
 - e. The parties have herein set forth the whole of their agreement. The performance of this Contract constitutes the entire consideration for the Easement and shall relieve STATE of all further obligation or claims on this account, or on account of the location, grade, or construction of the proposed public improvement.
2. STATE shall:
 - a. Pay the sum of **\$101,000** for the Easement to the following title company: First American Title Company for the account of GRANTOR, Escrow Nos. 5405-6695095 (YBSH-155 Unit A) and 5405-6695118 (YBSH-155 Unit B) conditioned upon the Easement vesting in the STATE free and clear of all liens, leases, encumbrances, easements (recorded and/or unrecorded), assessments, and taxes, except:
 - (1) Taxes for the tax year in which this escrow closes shall be cleared and paid in the manner required by Section 5086 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, if unpaid at the close of escrow.

- (2) Covenants, conditions, restrictions, and reservations of record, or contained in the above-referenced document.
 - (3) Easements or rights of way over said land for private, public, or quasi-public utility or public purposes that are within the Easement areas, if any.
- b. Pay all expenses incidental to and necessarily incurred for the conveyance of the Easements to the STATE, including but not limited to recording fees, title insurance charges, reconveyance fees, trustee's fees, forwarding fees, and prepayment penalties.
- c. Have the authority to deduct and pay from the amount shown in paragraph 2.a. above, any or all monies payable under this Contract to discharge any obligations which are liens upon the Easement, including but not limited to those arising from judgments, assessments, delinquent taxes for other than the tax year referred to in paragraph 2.a.(1), or debts secured by deeds of trust or mortgages, except those items listed in paragraph 2.a. hereof, and/or to defray any other incidental costs other than those specified in paragraph 2.b. hereof to be borne by the STATE.
3. Pursuant to Section 1263.025 of the Civil Code of Procedure, GRANTOR is entitled to obtain an independent appraisal and to be reimbursed for the actual reasonable cost of the appraisal up to \$5,000 if certain conditions are met. For further information on the requirements for reimbursement, GRANTOR may contact Fahmi Kassis, Associate Right of Way Agent for the STATE.
4. Title to the Easement shall pass immediately upon close of escrow. The issuance of any escrow instructions shall be the sole responsibility of STATE.
5. GRANTOR warrants that there are no oral or written leases on all or any portion of the Property exceeding a period of one month, and GRANTOR further agrees to protect, defend, indemnify, and hold harmless STATE and reimburse STATE for any and all of its losses and expenses occasioned by reason of any lease of the Property held by any tenant of GRANTOR for a period exceeding one month, except as may be otherwise provided herein.
6. The undersigned GRANTOR hereby agrees and consents to the dismissal of any eminent domain action in the Superior Court wherein the herein described land is included and also waives any and all claims to any money that may now be on deposit in said action.
7. To the best of GRANTOR's knowledge and after reasonable inquiry, GRANTOR represents and warrants the following:

During the GRANTOR's ownership of the Property, there have been no disposals, releases, or threatened releases of hazardous substances on, from, or under the Property. GRANTOR further represents and warrants that GRANTOR has no knowledge of disposal, release, or threatened release of hazardous substances on, from, or under the Property which may have occurred prior to GRANTOR taking title to the Property.

There is no pending claim, lawsuit, agency proceeding, or any administrative challenge concerning the presence or use of hazardous substances on the Property.

GRANTOR has not used the Property for any industrial operations that use hazardous substances. GRANTOR is not aware of any such prior use of the Property.

GRANTOR has not installed any underground storage tanks, aboveground storage tanks, barrels, sumps, impoundments, or other containers used to contain hazardous substances on any part of the Property. GRANTOR is not aware of any such prior installations.

For the purposes of this paragraph, the term "hazardous substances" shall mean any substance which at any time shall be listed as "hazardous" or "toxic" in the regulations implementing the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) (42 USC §6901, et seq.), or other federal or State law, or any other substance, chemical, material, or waste product whose presence, nature, or quality is potentially injurious to the public health, safety, welfare, the environment, or the Property. The term "reasonable inquiry" shall mean a thorough examination of the Property and all records of the Property, and any examination that GRANTOR was legally obligated to conduct as a result of any judicial or administrative order, or federal or State law.

The acquisition price of the Easement being acquired reflects the fair market value of the Property without the presence of hazardous substances. If the Property is found to be contaminated by a hazardous substance which may require remediation under federal or State law, STATE may elect to recover its clean-up costs from those who caused or contributed to the contamination.

8. This Contract may be modified, changed, or rescinded only by an instrument in writing executed by the parties hereto.
9. Each party agrees to execute and deliver additional documents and instruments and to take any additional actions as may be reasonably required to carry out their respective obligations under this Contract.
10. This Contract may be executed simultaneously in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
11. This Contract may be executed by electronic signature(s) and transmitted either by facsimile or in a portable document format (.pdf) version by email and such electronic signature(s) shall be deemed as original for purposes of this Contract and shall have the same force and effect as a manually executed original.
12. PHASE I – ENVIRONMENTAL Site ASSESSMENT REPORT: STATE's obligation to consummate the purchase of the Easements is subject to the completion and approval by the STATE (which completion will not be unreasonably delayed and approval will not be unreasonably withheld) of a Phase I – Environmental Site Assessment Report which concludes that the assessment has revealed no evidence of any recognized adverse environmental conditions, including but not limited to the presence of hazardous material in connection with the Property. STATE may, at its own discretion, waive this condition in writing.

The foregoing representations and warranties shall survive the close of escrow and shall remain in full force and effect for the duration of the Easement and shall accrue for the benefit of STATE and its successors and assigns.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Division of Engineering
Real Estate Branch
1416 9th Street, Room 425
Sacramento, CA 95814

SPACE ABOVE THE LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

APN: 033-220-060, 033-220-067

Project Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration & Fish Passage

Parcel No. YBSH-155

EASEMENT
(TO THE STATE)

I, **RODNEY W. WILLIAMS**, a married man as his sole and separate property GRANT to the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, its successors or assigns, hereinafter called STATE, an EASEMENT and right of way, upon, over, and across that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, identified in the records of the Department of Water Resources as:

<u>DWR Parcel No.</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Estate</u>
UNIT A	40.00 AC	Flowage Easement
UNIT B	60.13 AC	Flowage Easement

Described as follows:

See EXHIBIT "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(In the event of any discrepancy between the above identification and the real property described herein, the real property described will control.)

This Easement Deed is granted effective of the State of California's acceptance of this deed, by RODNEY W. WILLIAMS, a married man as his sole and separate property ("Grantor") to the Department of Water Resources of the State of California, a public agency, ("Grantee"),

For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and pursuant to the laws of the State of California, Grantor grants and conveys to Grantee the perpetual right-of-way and easement in the real property ("Property") situated in the County of Yolo, State of California, more specifically described in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated by this reference, for the purposes of seasonal floodplain fisheries rearing habitat and fish passage in the Yolo Bypass.

Grantee has the right for the flowage of water over and upon the Property as may be required for the present and future permitted construction and operation of fish passage and floodplain restoration projects, including the right of access by authorized representatives of the Grantee. The flowage right includes the right to flow water and materials and by said flow erode; or place or deposit earth, debris, sediment, or other material.

The covenants, terms, conditions, and restrictions of this Easement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the parties and their respective personal representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns, and shall constitute a servitude running in perpetuity with the Property.

For discussion purposes only

Executed on _____

GRANTOR(S)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }

SS

County of _____

On _____, 20 ____ , before me, _____

personally appeared _____ who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

[SEAL]

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE, GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 27281)

This Is To Certify, That the State of California, grantee herein, acting by and through the Department of Water Resources, hereby accepts for public purposes the real property, or interest therein, described in the within deed and consents to the recordation thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this _____ day of _____, 20 _____

Director of Water Resources

By _____

Attorney in Fact

EXHIBIT "A"

All that real property situated in a portion of Sections 9 and 10, Township 6 North, Range 3 East, MDM, in the unincorporated area of the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

YBSH-155

UNIT A

Parcel 1 per GRANT DEED DOC-99-0001338
40 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
APN 033-220-060

UNIT B

Parcel 1 per GRANT DEED DOC-97-0011554
60 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
APN 033-220-067

As shown on EXHIBIT "B" attached hereto.


KRISTOPHER KLIMA, PLS

DRAFT


YBSH-155 FLOWAGE EASEMENT RODNEY W WILLIAMS

COUNTY ROAD 155

**UNIT A
PARCEL 1 OF GRANT DEED:
DOC 99-0001338
REC. JANUARY 15, 1999
033-220-060
40 AC. +/-**



**UNIT B
PARCEL 1 OF GRANT DEED:
DOC 97-0011554
REC. MAY 15, 1997
033-220-067
60 AC. +/-**



TOE DRAIN

HAMILTON RD.

SAC RIVER DEEP WATER CHANNEL



NO SCALE

MALLARD RD.

**PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 9 & 10,
T.6 N, R.3 E., M.D.M.,
COUNTY OF YOLO**

Note: Information shown on this map is compiled from numerous sources and may not be complete or accurate. This includes Assessor parcel lines and numbers provided by Yolo county. DWR makes no claims, representations, or warranties (express or implied) concerning the validity, reliability, or accuracy of the GIS data contained herein. All areas shown are approximations based on GIS calculations. Each user is responsible for determining its suitability for his or her intended use or purpose. This exhibit does not represent a survey and is for informational purposes only.

YOLO BYPASS SALMONID HABITAT AND FISH RESTORATION PROGRAM
BIG NOTCH PROJECT - PHASE 3 PARCELS

FLOWAGE EASEMENT EXHIBIT "B"

This exhibit does not represent a survey and is for informational purposes only.


STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THE RESOURCES AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES


DIVISION OF ENGINEERING - GEOMATICS BRANCH

01/31/2022

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL MAP



AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage Project (“The Project”) will create a better hydraulic connection between the Sacramento River and the Yolo Bypass, including an increased flow from the Sacramento River to enter the Yolo Bypass through a gated notch on the east side of the Fremont Weir.

The Project will reduce migratory delays and loss of fish at Fremont Weir and other structures in the Yolo Bypass. Specifically, this project will improve connectivity within the Yolo Bypass for passage of salmonids and Green Sturgeon, as well as improve connectivity between the Sacramento River and the Yolo Bypass to provide safe and timely passage.

The Fish passage structure will allow fish that are trapped in the stilling basin (on the bypass side of Fremont Weir) on the west side of Fremont Weir to move back into the Sacramento River after an overtopping event. A manually operated vertical lift gate is planned for the Headworks Structure. The gate will be left in the closed position year-round so that no flow from the Sacramento River enters the Yolo Bypass through the Headworks Structure.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACQUISITION

The project requires an easement acquisition over the subject property for additional flooding to develop and support Salmonid Habitat and Fish Passage. Due to the increase in floodplain inundations, the property will be flooded for additional days, more than the typical flood season. Also, the property may be flooded to a higher elevation (higher level) during the flood season (between November and June).

According to the easement language, as well as our understanding of the modeling provided by the client, and conversations with the client engineers, the subject property will be impacted by approximately 8.6 additional wetted days and will experience an average change in depth at less than one foot across the acquisition area. It is noted that the hunting months of November through January will experience an average approximately 5 additional wetted days, according to the client modeling. Market participants have reported that agricultural and duck club properties in this area would be significantly impacted if the property were subjected to more than one foot of additional flood waters or if the flooding was experienced on a property for more than 14 days. A summary of the planned acquisition follows:


Item	Total
Larger Parcel	60.14± Acres (2,619,698± SF)
Permanent Flowage Easement	60.14± Acres (2,619,698± SF)
Remainder	60.14± Acres (2,619,698± SF)

Source: Yolo County Assessor’s Parcel Map, plat, and legals provided.

COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY TABLE AND MAP

Sale #	Location	Seller	Sale Date	Sale Price	Flood Zone	Size Acres	Price / AC
DC #	APN No.(s)	Buyer	Doc #				
LS-1 6092	West of Tule Canal, north of Interstate 80 Yolo County, CA" APN: 042-340-002	Swanston Properties et al. Wooden Decoy, LLC	3/4/2021 0008945	\$2,064,500*	AE	382.74±	\$5,394
LS-2 6105	East of Hyde Road, west of CR 105, north of Hackman Road Yolo County, CA APN: 033-150-003	The Beale Family Living Trust The Moehring Family Trust	6/5/2020 0016415	\$140,000	AE	40.00±	\$3,500
LS-3 6106	West of 4 Mile Road, near Provident Central Canal Colusa County, CA APN: 012-100-082	Landini Revocable Trust Wayside Property Inc. (50%) and SACK Investors, LLC (50%)	11/1/2018 0003519	\$485,000	A	63.31±	\$7,661
LS-4 3073	Good Year Road Suisun City, Solano County, CA APNs: 046-100-250, 046-100- 240, 046-100-110	Marshview Duck Club LLC Eric Piziali	2/2/2018 007547	\$850,000	AE	146.00±	\$5,822
SUBJECT	South of County Road 155 Yolo County, California APN: 033-220-067	--	--	--	Zone AE	60.14±	--

*Includes \$250,000 contributed by buyer in form of lease rate over five-year lease term.



DISCUSSION OF SALE COMPARABLES

The quantitative adjustment process involves comparing two or more market sales to develop a value for each property characteristic to be applied to the sales for the derivation of indicated values of the larger parcel. Due to the imperfect nature of this process and lack of sufficient data, we have elected to employ a qualitative analysis process. We will analyze comparable sales and identify whether their characteristics are inferior, superior, or similar to the larger parcel. Reliable results can usually be obtained by bracketing the larger parcel or reminder parcels between superior and inferior comparable sales. This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties.

The primary elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), market conditions (sale date), and physical characteristics (e.g., zoning, utilities, site improvements, location, access, etc.). Additional elements of comparison are the flood characteristics, access to irrigation equipment ability to manage flooding and water on site to create ideal hunting habitat. Additionally, number of blinds, quality of blinds, and amenities on the properties are also considered important to the value of a duck hunting property. The sales selected for comparison are considered the best available.

Hunting properties traditionally with the site improvements of blinds, clubhouses, and water management equipment. If the property includes a clubhouse, it frequently transacts at the top of the range. Often, the value of the blinds and other structural improvements is inherent in the value of the land. The contributory value of the site improvements is above and beyond the actual cost to replace these improvements. As such, it is not necessary to separate the value of the improvements from the land. Because of this innate relationship, the cost of the duck blinds on-site is included with the land valuation.



Comparable Land Sale No. 1

This was the sale of 382.74 acres of agricultural fallow land. The property was purchased for use as a duck club in March of 2021 for \$2,064,500, or \$5,394 per acre. The buyer had been leasing the property for five years at \$50,000 per year prior to purchasing the site from the sellers. The lease amounts were applied to the total purchase price. The site has some duck blinds at the time of sale, however, the property needed to have various

wells repaired and the blinds were not in good condition. The buyer has since repaired the wells, installed five floating blinds and five floating tank blinds, installed a seasonal mobile home clubhouse, planted habitat

grasses, installed various roadways, added a conservation easement, and installed a water pump system. The property has access to irrigation district water and is located within a flood zone AE, with 1% annual chance flooding.

This property is similar to the subject in regard to flood zone, water access, and location. Although the blinds and water management equipment were in poor condition at the time of sale, the property had more blinds and a larger water management system compared to the subject. Overall, this sale is considered slightly superior to the subject property and indicates a value slightly below \$5,394 per acre.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2

This is the sale of a 40-acre parcel located in Yolo County. The property sold in June of 2020 for \$140,000, or \$3,500 per acre. This parcel has no direct public street frontage, but access is provided from neighboring parcels along Hyde Road, and County Road 105. Although a car could be driven along these private access-roads, the best way to access the property was via an ATV, and nearby car parking was minimal. The property is currently used for duck hunting. The property was utilized as a duck club, hunting ground, fishing ground, and camping ground by the sellers. This property was identified as being a prime dove hunting ground as well due to the nearby orchards. Water to the property is provided via the Reclamation District, and there is no pump system on the site to move the water around from one ponding area to the other. The property sale included five double blinds, and one four-man blind (six total blinds allowing for 14 hunters at a time). The property is fully located in FEMA Flood Zone AE, and according to the seller, it is a constant wetland area.



This property is similar to the subject in regard to size, flood zone, and location. However, the property does not have a water management system and did not have good vehicular access. Roadways used for access were not on easement but instead just agreed between owners on a "handshake deal." Overall, due to the lack of water management equipment and the inferior access, the property is considered far inferior to the subject and indicates a value well above \$3,500 per acre.



Comparable Land Sale No. 3

This is the sale of a 63.31-acre parcel located on the west of 4 Mile Road, east of the Provident Western Canal, between Maxwell and Princeton in Colusa County, California. The property sold in November of 2018 for \$485,000, or \$7,661 per acre. This property is triangular in shape and is accessed via an access easement from the property to the south (servient tenement APN: 012-100-012). The property had been in the seller's family for

over 65 years and was considered to have very good hunting (over 3.4 birds per person per hunting session). The property was utilized as a duck club for many years and had three double blinds that were in good condition (allowing six hunters at a time). Additionally, this property had a clubhouse that was in good condition. The water to this property was provided via the water district and natural flooding. The property is zoned RM for Resource Management in Colusa County and has a general plan land use designation of AG- Duck Club. The property is in Flood Zone A. The property was sold to another duck hunter who will utilize the property as a duck hunting club.

This property is similar to the subject in flood zone and water access. However, the property is superior to the subject regarding location, being in Colusa County, which is known for its superior hunting areas. Additionally, the property is improved with a clubhouse in good condition which contributed value to the sale. Overall, the sale is considered far superior to the subject and indicates a value below \$7,661 per acre.


Comparable Land Sale No. 4

This is the sale of a 146-acre duck club located off Goodyear Road in the Suisun Marsh. The property sold in February of 2018 for \$850,000, or \$5,822 per acre. The listing broker reported that the sale was at market and that it was an all-cash transaction. The property was improved with a clubhouse, barn, and storage shed at the time of sale. The site also had four duck blinds, a well with non-potable water, and a septic system. Electricity was hooked into the site. The broker reported




that the improvements were in good condition at the time of sale and that the levees on site were also in good condition. The buyer intends to continue using the property as a duck club. The site is accessed by a gravel road and across a small slough but is overall considered fairly direct.


ASSESSOR'S PARCEL MAP



AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH



APPRAISAL MAP




A plat map was not provided for review. Based on the acreage reported in the draft easement language, an exhibit was created using Landvision commercial data to illustrate the impacted area.

COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY TABLE AND MAP

Sale #	Location	Seller	Sale Date	Sale Price	Flood Zone	Size Acres	Price / AC
DC #	APN No.(s)	Buyer	Doc #				
LS-1 6092	West of Tule Canal, north of Interstate 80 Yolo County, CA" APN: 042-340-002	Swanston Properties et al. Wooden Decoy, LLC	3/4/2021 0008945	\$2,064,500*	AE	382.74±	\$5,394
LS-2 6105	East of Hyde Road, west of CR 105, north of Hackman Road Yolo County, CA APN: 033-150-003	The Beale Family Living Trust The Moehring Family Trust	6/5/2020 0016415	\$140,000	AE	40.00±	\$3,500
LS-3 6106	West of 4 Mile Road, near Provident Central Canal Colusa County, CA APN: 012-100-082	Landini Revocable Trust Wayside Property Inc. (50%) and SACK Investors, LLC (50%)	11/1/2018 0003519	\$485,000	A	63.31±	\$7,661
LS-4 3073	Good Year Road Suisun City, Solano County, CA APNs: 046-100-250, 046-100- 240, 046-100-110	Marshview Duck Club LLC Eric Piziali	2/2/2018 007547	\$850,000	AE	146.00±	\$5,822
SUBJECT	South of County Road 155 Yolo County, California APN: 033-220-067	--	--	--	Zone AE	60.14±	--

**Includes \$250,000 contributed by buyer in form of lease rate over five-year lease term.*



DISCUSSION OF SALE COMPARABLES

The quantitative adjustment process involves comparing two or more market sales to develop a value for each property characteristic to be applied to the sales for the derivation of indicated values of the larger parcel. Due to the imperfect nature of this process and lack of sufficient data, we have elected to employ a qualitative analysis process. We will analyze comparable sales and identify whether their characteristics are inferior, superior, or similar to the larger parcel. Reliable results can usually be obtained by bracketing the larger parcel or reminder parcels between superior and inferior comparable sales. This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties.

The primary elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), market conditions (sale date), and physical characteristics (e.g., zoning, utilities, site improvements, location, access, etc.). Additional elements of comparison are the flood characteristics, access to irrigation equipment ability to manage flooding and water on site to create ideal hunting habitat. Additionally, number of blinds, quality of blinds, and amenities on the properties are also considered important to the value of a duck hunting property. The sales selected for comparison are considered the best available.

Hunting properties traditionally with the site improvements of blinds, clubhouses, and water management equipment. If the property includes a clubhouse, it frequently transacts at the top of the range. Often, the value of the blinds and other structural improvements is inherent in the value of the land. The contributory value of the site improvements is above and beyond the actual cost to replace these improvements. As such, it is not necessary to separate the value of the improvements from the land. Because of this innate relationship, the cost of the duck blinds on-site is included with the land valuation.



Comparable Land Sale No. 1

This was the sale of 382.74 acres of agricultural fallow land. The property was purchased for use as a duck club in March of 2021 for \$2,064,500, or \$5,394 per acre. The buyer had been leasing the property for five years at \$50,000 per year prior to purchasing the site from the sellers. The lease amounts were applied to the total purchase price. The site has some duck blinds at the time of sale, however, the property needed to have various

wells repaired and the blinds were not in good condition. The buyer has since repaired the wells, installed five floating blinds and five floating tank blinds, installed a seasonal mobile home clubhouse, planted habitat

grasses, installed various roadways, added a conservation easement, and installed a water pump system. The property has access to irrigation district water and is located within a flood zone AE, with 1% annual chance flooding.

This property is similar to the subject in regard to flood zone, water access, and location. Although the blinds and water management equipment were in poor condition at the time of sale, the property had more blinds and a larger water management system compared to the subject. Overall, this sale is considered slightly superior to the subject property and indicates a value slightly below \$5,394 per acre.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2

This is the sale of a 40-acre parcel located in Yolo County. The property sold in June of 2020 for \$140,000, or \$3,500 per acre. This parcel has no direct public street frontage, but access is provided from neighboring parcels along Hyde Road, and County Road 105. Although a car could be driven along these private access-roads, the best way to access the property was via an ATV, and nearby car parking was minimal. The property is currently used for duck hunting. The property was utilized as a duck club, hunting ground, fishing ground, and camping ground by the sellers. This property was identified as being a prime dove hunting ground as well due to the nearby orchards. Water to the property is provided via the Reclamation District, and there is no pump system on the site to move the water around from one ponding area to the other. The property sale included five double blinds, and one four-man blind (six total blinds allowing for 14 hunters at a time). The property is fully located in FEMA Flood Zone AE, and according to the seller, it is a constant wetland area.



This property is similar to the subject in regard to size, flood zone, and location. However, the property does not have a water management system and did not have good vehicular access. Roadways used for access were not on easement but instead just agreed between owners on a "handshake deal." Overall, due to the lack of water management equipment and the inferior access, the property is considered far inferior to the subject and indicates a value well above \$3,500 per acre.



Comparable Land Sale No. 3

This is the sale of a 63.31-acre parcel located on the west of 4 Mile Road, east of the Provident Western Canal, between Maxwell and Princeton in Colusa County, California. The property sold in November of 2018 for \$485,000, or \$7,661 per acre. This property is triangular in shape and is accessed via an access easement from the property to the south (servient tenement APN: 012-100-012). The property had been in the seller's family for

over 65 years and was considered to have very good hunting (over 3.4 birds per person per hunting session). The property was utilized as a duck club for many years and had three double blinds that were in good condition (allowing six hunters at a time). Additionally, this property had a clubhouse that was in good condition. The water to this property was provided via the water district and natural flooding. The property is zoned RM for Resource Management in Colusa County and has a general plan land use designation of AG- Duck Club. The property is in Flood Zone A. The property was sold to another duck hunter who will utilize the property as a duck hunting club.

This property is similar to the subject in flood zone and water access. However, the property is superior to the subject regarding location, being in Colusa County, which is known for its superior hunting areas. Additionally, the property is improved with a clubhouse in good condition which contributed value to the sale. Overall, the sale is considered far superior to the subject and indicates a value below \$7,661 per acre.

Comparable Land Sale No. 4

This is the sale of a 146-acre duck club located off Goodyear Road in the Suisun Marsh. The property sold in February of 2018 for \$850,000, or \$5,822 per acre. The listing broker reported that the sale was at market and that it was an all-cash transaction. The property was improved with a clubhouse, barn, and storage shed at the time of sale. The site also had four duck blinds, a well with non-potable water, and a septic system. Electricity was hooked into the site. The broker reported



that the improvements were in good condition at the time of sale and that the levees on site were also in good condition. The buyer intends to continue using the property as a duck club. The site is accessed by a gravel road and across a small slough but is overall considered fairly direct.

Yolo Bypass Big Notch Project

APN: 033-220-060_01



Owner: RODNEY W. WILLIAMS

Parcel area: 40.1 acres

Area within YB: 40.1 acres

Annual wetted-days

- Current: 29.6
- Project: 38.8
- Change: 9.2



Water Year	Last Day Wet ¹		Wetdays ¹		Average Depth (ft)		
	Current	Project	Current	Project	Daily Change ²	Current	Project
1997	02-19	02-23	59	70	0.3	5.7	5.1
1998	06-11	06-11	101	105	0.1	4.4	4.3
1999	04-04	04-05	47	68	0.3	2.8	2.3
2000	03-22	03-23	35	37	0.1	4.9	4.8
2001		03-13	0	3	0.3	0.6	0.3
2002	01-16	01-18	12	16	0.6	1.6	1.8
2003	01-24	05-10	20	49	0.7	1.2	1.2
2004	03-17	03-19	33	45	0.5	3.7	3.2
2005	05-29	05-29	11	30	0.5	1.6	1.0
2006	05-10	05-10	113	123	0.2	4.5	4.3
2007			0	0	0.0	0.9	0.9
2008	01-31	02-10	1	12	0.6	0.1	0.6
2009		03-10	0	3	0.2	0.7	0.2
2010	02-01	02-06	4	13	1.2	0.2	1.3
2011	04-15	04-16	37	47	0.4	3.8	3.3
2012			0	0	0.0	0.9	0.9

¹ Parcels are classified wet if 30% or more of a parcel area is wet to ignore shallow standing water

² Daily change is calculated for pixels and then averaged and may not be equal to project - current

	Monthly Average				Monthly Average Percent Area (%)														
	Depth (ft)		Wetdays		Dry		< 6 in		6-12 in		12-18 in		18-24 in		24-36 in		>36 in		
	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	
November	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	99.9	99.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
December	2.3	1.9	1.8	3.3	94.9	90.5	1.0	1.3	0.9	1.6	0.6	2.1	0.5	1.7	0.6	1.1	1.5	1.7	
January	4.5	3.5	7.4	11.1	78.1	66.5	3.4	3.0	1.6	4.7	0.9	4.4	0.7	3.9	1.7	3.5	13.5	14.0	
February	5.1	4.2	6.0	8.2	79.0	72.5	1.1	2.5	0.5	2.8	0.4	1.6	0.5	1.2	1.8	2.3	16.7	17.1	
March	3.8	3.6	8.6	9.4	73.6	71.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.3	2.3	3.3	17.4	17.8	
April	4.1	3.7	4.2	4.9	86.5	84.9	1.3	2.2	0.8	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.1	9.4	9.4	
May	2.1	1.8	1.1	1.3	96.8	96.2	0.5	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.1	
June	1.8	1.8	0.6	0.6	98.2	98.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	

All information provided by the Department of Water Resources made available to provide immediate access for the convenience of interested persons. While the Department believes the information to be reliable, human or mechanical error remains a possibility. Therefore, the Department does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or correct sequencing of the information. Neither the Department of Water Resources nor any of the sources of the information shall be responsible for any errors or omissions, or for the use or results obtained from the use of this information.

Yolo Bypass Big Notch Project

APN: 033-220-067_01

Owner: RODNEY W. WILLIAMS

Parcel area: 61.2 acres



Area within YB: 52.0 acres

Annual wetted-days

Current: 51.6

Project: 60.1

Change: 8.6



Water Year	Last Day Wet ¹		Wetdays ¹		Average Depth (ft)		
	Current	Project	Current	Project	Daily Change ²	Current	Project
1997	02-25	02-27	78	80	0.4	6.1	6.0
1998	06-28	06-28	150	157	0.1	4.6	4.4
1999	04-22	04-24	70	104	0.5	3.2	2.6
2000	03-28	03-29	44	53	0.2	5.6	5.1
2001	03-12	03-17	6	13	0.7	0.2	0.7
2002	01-20	01-21	25	27	0.7	1.9	2.3
2003	05-13	05-19	60	81	0.9	1.3	1.7
2004	03-22	03-25	60	67	0.7	3.4	3.5
2005	06-26	06-26	65	71	0.6	0.9	1.3
2006	06-27	06-27	149	158	0.3	5.0	4.9
2007			0	0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2008	02-11	02-14	19	23	0.9	0.4	1.2
2009	12-26	03-14	1	14	0.6	0.1	0.6
2010	04-22	04-22	27	34	1.0	0.5	1.3
2011	06-20	06-20	71	80	0.5	3.4	3.4
2012			0	0	-0.0	0.1	0.1

¹ Parcels are classified wet if 30% or more of a parcel area is wet to ignore shallow standing water

² Daily change is calculated for pixels and then averaged and may not be equal to project - current

	Monthly Average				Monthly Average Percent Area (%)													
	Depth (ft)		Wetdays		Dry		< 6 in		6-12 in		12-18 in		18-24 in		24-36 in		>36 in	
	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project	Current	Project
November	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	99.6	99.6	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
December	1.9	2.1	5.1	6.4	87.7	82.8	5.6	5.6	1.3	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.4	1.2	4.1	2.5	3.7
January	3.9	4.0	12.9	13.9	64.3	58.0	9.0	5.0	3.9	1.8	2.9	2.1	2.2	4.0	1.8	9.3	15.9	19.8
February	4.9	4.3	9.5	12.2	70.0	61.5	6.7	7.5	2.1	2.5	0.8	2.0	0.5	2.6	0.8	3.5	19.0	20.3
March	4.5	4.2	10.4	12.1	68.2	63.3	3.1	5.0	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.4	2.9	3.3	20.7	22.4
April	4.0	3.8	6.8	7.3	80.0	78.0	4.5	4.2	1.7	2.2	1.1	1.8	0.9	1.6	0.9	1.2	11.0	11.0
May	1.7	1.5	3.6	4.8	91.4	88.8	4.6	5.7	0.9	1.5	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.6	2.0	2.0
June	1.4	1.4	3.1	3.1	93.1	92.9	4.7	4.8	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.9

All information provided by the Department of Water Resources made available to provide immediate access for the convenience of interested persons. While the Department believes the information to be reliable, human or mechanical error remains a possibility. Therefore, the Department does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or correct sequencing of the information. Neither the Department of Water Resources nor any of the sources of the information shall be responsible for any errors or omissions, or for the use or results obtained from the use of this information.

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Real Estate Branch Property Acquisition Information



The property acquisition information shown below is for informational purposes only. It is not intended to give a complete statement of all State or federal laws and regulations pertaining to the purchase of property for public use, the relocation assistance program, legal definitions, or to provide any form of legal advice. Property owners are encouraged to consult their own counsel for advice and guidance.

INTRODUCTION

This information has been prepared by the State of California, Department of Water Resources (DWR) for you as a property owner whose property may be affected by a proposed DWR project related to the State Water Project (SWP) or a flood control project of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (CVFPB). If you own or have a leasehold interest in property that is involved, you may have wondered what will happen. Who will contact you? How much will you be paid for the portion of your property needed for the project? Who will pay for any associated transfer or escrow fees? If, because of the project, you must move, who will pay your moving costs? If you need to find another place to live, will DWR State help you? Important questions like these require specific answers. We hope this information will answer some of your questions and present a clear picture of overall procedures.

The information below discusses many important features contained in the California [Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisitions Guidelines](#) found in Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 6, Subchapter 1 of the California Code of Regulations. It also gives general information about public acquisition of real property that should be useful to you. If you have been notified that a portion of your property will be required for a SWP or CVFPB project, it is important that you learn your rights.

If you have more questions about the acquisition of property rights for a SWP or CVFPB project, please discuss them with the DWR agent assigned to your case.

WHAT ARE THE STATE WATER PROJECT AND THE CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD AND WHY ARE THEY NECESSARY?

Historically, the Great Central Valley suffered ravaging floods, sometimes creating an inland sea that stretched from Redding in the north to Bakersfield in the south. The Reclamation Board (now the CVFPB) was created by the Legislature to provide a coordinated and balanced effort by the State to control and divert these flood waters through the construction of bypasses and levee systems on the major waterways in the Central Valley. This work continues.

California has also faced growing overall water supply deficits for many years. One of the major reasons is that about 70 percent of the state's total water flow occurs north of Sacramento during winter, while 80 percent of the water use demand is in the southern part of the state during the rest of the year. To help solve some of this problem, the SWP was initiated in 1951 to capture and transport a portion of the flood water to areas of demand. Construction of the initial facilities began in 1957, and construction of new facilities continues.

SOME GENERAL QUESTIONS

WHAT RIGHT DOES DWR HAVE TO ACQUIRE A PROPERTY?

Our Federal and State Constitutions recognize the need for public agencies to acquire private property for public use and provide appropriate safeguards to accomplish this purpose. The rights of all property owners are protected by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, the California State Constitution, and subsequent federal and state laws, most notably the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970. These laws require (among other things) that any public agency, including DWR, acquiring private property under threat of eminent domain, or "condemnation," must pay "just compensation" to a property owner for the rights acquired.

HOW MUCH OF A PROPERTY CAN DWR ACQUIRE?

DWR is prevented by law from acquiring more property (or property rights, as the case may be) than what is reasonably needed for the project. Sometimes the project may require only a temporary right on a portion of a property; sometimes, a permanent easement on a portion of a property; sometimes, the project may require total ownership of a portion of a property; and, sometimes, the project may require total ownership of an entire property.

WHO MAKES THE DECISION TO ACQUIRE A PROPERTY?

The responsibility for studying the potential sites for a project rests with a team of specially trained individuals selected to do this important job. Many months, even years, are spent in preliminary study and investigation to consider possible locations for a project. Consideration of the environmental and social effect is as much a part of location determination as engineering and cost.

Complete environmental analysis must be performed to identify sensitive habitats, cultural and archaeological resources, and endangered plant and animal species. These studies are melded with analyses and recommendations of project engineers, surveyors, real estate specialists, geologists, hydrologists, economists, planners and others to identify the best feasible location and way to build the project, with due consideration given to property lines, land uses, and ownerships. Participation by private citizens and other public agencies is actively sought during the study process so that various views can be considered. The process includes public hearings and input, which give the general public an opportunity to express their views on the locations being considered.

The final determination to proceed with a project, and the selection of the location of the project, is made by DWR after a thorough review of all of these factors.

The acquisition agent assigned the case should be able to answer any questions about the project or the selection of the property proposed to be acquired for the project.

HOW DOES DWR DETERMINE HOW MUCH IT WILL OFFER FOR A PROPERTY?

DWR performs a Fair Market Value Appraisal to determine the amount of just compensation. Appraisal and purchase of properties needed for a SWP or CVFPB projects are the responsibility of DWR as the State and public agency responsible for the project. An appraiser will inspect the property and examine all of the features which contribute to its value. Information about improvements made and any other special attributes which may increase the value of the property should be given to the appraiser to ensure a fair value is assigned. The appraiser also seeks relevant data from many other sources to compare the property to other sales of similar properties in order to form an opinion of fair market value.

DWR also provides a Relocation Assistance Program for businesses and people who are displaced because of the project.

WHAT IS "FAIR MARKET VALUE"?

The fair market value of the property acquired is the highest price on the date of valuation that would be agreed to by a seller, being willing to sell but under no particular or urgent necessity for so doing, nor obliged to sell, and a buyer, being ready, willing, and able to buy but under no particular necessity for so doing, each dealing with the other with full knowledge of all the uses and purposes for which the property is reasonably adaptable and available.

HOW DOES AN APPRAISER DETERMINE THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF A PROPERTY?

Each parcel of real estate is unique. Therefore, no single formula can be devised to appraise all properties. Factors typically considered in estimating the value of real property include, but are not limited to, zoning, highest and best use, size, shape, soil type, topography, and location.

Other areas of evaluation include:

- How it compares with similar properties in the area that have been sold recently.
- How much it would cost to reproduce the structures or facilities acquired with the land, less any depreciation.
- How much rental or other income it could produce.

DOES THE LANDOWNER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO THE APPRAISER?

Yes. The property owner will be contacted and given the opportunity to accompany the appraiser on his or her inspection of the property and may inform the appraiser of any special features which may add to the value to the property.

It is in the best interest of the property owner to provide the appraiser with all useful information in order to insure that nothing of allowable value is overlooked. If the property owner is unable to meet with the appraiser, they may wish to have a person who is familiar with the property represent them.

WHAT IS "JUST COMPENSATION"?

The fair market value of a property is generally considered to be "just compensation." "Just compensation" means not only "just" to the owner or lessee of the property, but also "just" to the public, who must pay the compensation. Please note that fair market value does not take into account intangible elements such as sentimental value (which is legally non-compensable), loss of business good will (which the business owner must assert and show), loss of potential business profits, or any special value that the property may have for the owner or DWR. DWR cannot make an offer to buy the property for more than it's worth.

IS A PROPERTY OWNER ENTITLED TO OBTAIN AN INDEPENDENT APPRAISAL?

In accordance with Section 1263.025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, if the State offers to purchase property under the threat of condemnation, the property owner is entitled to seek a second opinion on the value of the property and to receive reimbursement of up to \$5,000 to pay for reasonable appraisal costs. In order to be reimbursed, the property owner must sign an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement and engage an appraiser licensed by the State of California with the Office of Real Estate Appraisers. The appraiser must be either a MAI designated appraiser or hold an equivalent designation recognized by the Appraisal Foundation.

For further information on the requirements for reimbursement and to ensure that you are aware of proper procedures, please contact DWR prior to engaging an appraiser. The request for an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement may be submitted to the Department of Water Resources, Real Estate Branch, 1416 Ninth Street, Room 425, Sacramento, California 95814.

WILL THERE BE PAYMENT FOR ANY LOSS IN VALUE TO THE REMAINING PROPERTY?

If the purchase of only a part of the property reduces the unit value of the remaining property, payment will be made for the loss in value to the remainder. Also, if any remaining property would have little or no utility or value, DWR may offer to purchase the remaining property as an uneconomic remainder property to avoid a continuing burden of ownership.

WHEN WILL A FIRST WRITTEN OFFER BE PROVIDED?

After the appraisal is reviewed and approved by DWR, an acquisition agent will contact the property owner with a First Written Offer to purchase the property rights required for the project. Included in the offer will be an "Appraisal Summary Statement" showing the basis for the offer.

Negotiations for the purchase of the required property will not take place before this offer is made.

ARE THERE ADVANTAGES TO VOLUNTARILY SELLING PROPERTY TO DWR?

Yes. A real estate purchase by DWR is handled in the same manner as any private sale of property. However, there can be financial advantages in selling to DWR. Property owners will receive the fair market value of the property in cash. Nothing will be deducted for real estate commissions, title costs, preparation of documents, title policy or recording fees required in closing the sale. The State will pay these expenses.

Note: This does not remove the responsibility a property owner may have for existing mortgages, liens, taxes, and other such encumbrances on the property at the time of DWR's acquisition.

CAN SOMEONE REPRESENT THE PROPERTY OWNER DURING NEGOTIATIONS?

Yes. If a property owner would like to be represented during negotiations, please inform the acquisition agent assigned the case. However, DWR will not pay any representation fees or costs of negotiation.

CAN A PROPERTY OWNER KEEP AND MOVE THEIR HOUSE, BUSINESS, BUILDING AND MACHINERY, AND EQUIPMENT?

The acquisition or relocation agent assigned to acquire the necessary property rights will help determine whether a house can or should be moved to another location. In the rare case where the house is movable and the property owner wishes to make such arrangements, the State will pay the fair market value of the land required, plus the reasonable cost of moving the house. There are cases, because of age, size or condition of the house where the cost of moving it is not feasible.

If a property owner operates a business on the property acquired, they may wish to keep and move fixed machinery and equipment. You may do so if the cost (based on a moving estimate) is not greater than the value of the item. If you keep the machinery and equipment, the State can only pay the lesser of the two amounts. Additionally, as an owner of a business conducted on the property to be purchased, you may be entitled to compensation for the loss of goodwill.

If any of these concepts are applicable to your situation, they will be explained fully by the agent assigned to purchase your property.

DOES THE PROPERTY OWNER HAVE TIME TO SELECT ANOTHER HOUSE AFTER DWR ACQUIRES THE PROPERTY?

DWR starts to appraise properties early enough so that property owners have ample time to move prior to project construction. Like any other real estate transaction, it takes approximately two months or longer to close escrow after the right-of-way contract and deed have been signed. You will not be required to move until after escrow has closed and reasonable replacement housing is made available.

If the property owner does not want to buy another house right away, DWR may acquire the property, and the property owner may, depending on the construction schedule, rent the house back from DWR on a temporary basis. It is in the property owner's best interest, however, to look for new housing as soon as possible as renting from DWR cannot be guaranteed. Finding suitable housing before being required to move may minimize personal inconveniences and may help to avoid having to make a choice under pressure.

If the property owner wishes, DWR will, at no cost, provide assistance in finding a new house. Also, DWR must give the property owner at least a 90-day written notice before asking a property owner to move.

WHAT HAPPENS TO AN EXISTING LOAN ON AN ACQUIRED PROPERTY?

After DWR and the property owner have agreed upon a price, a DWR representative will contact all other parties having an interest in the property. As in any other real estate transaction, payment to satisfy outstanding loans or liens will be made during the escrow closing process.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO MY GI OR CAL-VET LOAN?

The Veterans Administration and the California Department of Veterans Affairs allow your veteran loan privileges to be transferred and to become available for coverage on another property.

A DWR representative will assist the property owner; however, it is to the owner's benefit, and their responsibility to check with the Veterans Administration or the California Department of Veterans Affairs for procedural instructions.

MUST A PROPERTY OWNER ACCEPT DWR'S OFFER?

No. The property owner is entitled to present to the State verifiable evidence as to the amount they believe to be fair market value of the property rights required for construction, and to make suggestions for changing the terms and conditions of DWR's offer. DWR will consider all evidence and suggestions, and if the information presented is justifiable; an adjustment in DWR's offer may be made.

WHAT HAPPENS IF THE PROPERTY OWNER DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DWR'S OFFER?

A property owner's rights are guaranteed by the Federal and State Constitutions and other applicable state laws. The principal right is that just compensation must be paid for the property rights required. Sometimes when private property is required for public purposes, and the property owner and DWR cannot agree on the terms of sale, the property must be acquired through an eminent domain or "condemnation" proceeding. In cases where this occurs, a decision in court may be necessary; however, it is our earnest hope to avoid such a proceeding which may include additional time and cost to all parties involved.

In order to initiate condemnation, DWR must obtain a Resolution of Necessity from a governing body. For SWP projects, the governing body is the California Water Commission, and for flood control projects it's the CVFPB. A property owner will be given an opportunity to appear before the Commission/Board to question whether public interest, necessity, planning, and location of the proposed project necessitate the acquisition of the property. Under current California law, neither the Commission nor the Board can hear or consider arguments regarding valuation; those issues must be addressed by the courts.

Upon adoption of a "Resolution of Necessity" by the Commission/Board, the appropriate condemnation suit documents will be prepared by the State (Department of Justice) and filed with the court in the county where the property is located.

It is highly recommended that you consult with your attorney regarding these legal matters.

WHAT HAPPENS IN A CONDEMNATION TRIAL?

The main purpose of the trial is to determine the amount of just compensation. Usually the trial is conducted before a judge and jury. In some cases, the judge will decide the amount of just compensation. Both the defendant (property owner) and the plaintiff (State/DWR) will have the opportunity to present evidence to the court for consideration.

It is highly recommended that you consult with your attorney regarding these legal matters.

WHO PAYS THE CONDEMNATION TRIAL COST?

The plaintiff (DWR) pays the costs of its attorney and expert witnesses (i.e., engineering and appraisal). In addition, plaintiff (DWR) will pay the jury fees and certain incidental costs of the defendant which is determined by law to be allowable costs. The fee for filing your answer with the court is an example of such costs.