

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

715 P Street, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001



12/23/2021

Mr. Kyriakos Tsakopoulos
Dr. Louis Vismara, Trustee
7919 Folsom Blvd., Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95826

Dear Dr. Vismara:

Our records indicate that Angelo K. Tsakopoulos Holdings, LP, a California partnership, and Louis Vismara, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976 (AKT & Vismara), are the owners of certain properties in Yolo County, identified as Assessor's Parcel Nos. 008-010-034, 014-600-012, and 014-600-076. The State of California Department of Water Resources (DWR or State) proposes to purchase an easement over this property, identified as DWR Parcel Nos. YBSH-144, YBSH-164, and YBSH-165, to accomplish the goals of the Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage Project (Project).

Section 7267.2 of the California Government Code and the California Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Guidelines require that each property owner from whom the State of California makes and offer to purchase real property, or an interest therein, be provided with a written statement of, and summary of the basis for, the amount that has been established as just compensation, as well as the following information:

1. AKT & Vismara are entitled to receive full payment prior to vacating the real property rights being purchased, unless you have heretofore waived such entitlement. AKT & VISMARA are not required to pay recording fees, transfer taxes, or the pro rata portion of the real property taxes which are allocable to any period subsequent to the passage of title or possession.
2. The rights to be acquired are permanent Non-Exclusive Flowage Easements (Easements). All buildings, structures, and other improvements affixed to the land described in the Easement Deeds for YBSH-144, YBSH-164, and YBSH-165 and owned by AKT & Vismara are not being conveyed.
3. The Fair Market Value (FMV) of the Easements is based upon an appraisal, which is summarized in the enclosed Appraisal Summary Statement. As full just compensation for the Easements to be acquired, we offer AKT & Vismara **\$253,700**, as shown on the enclosed Right of Way Contract.

The State's offer:

- a. Represents the full amount of the FMV identified in an approved appraisal as just compensation for the Easements;

AKT & Vismara
12/23/2021

Page 2

- b. Is not less than the approved appraisal of the FMV of the Easements;
4. Does not reflect any consideration of, or allowance for, any relocation assistance and payments or any other benefits to which AKT & Vismara may be entitled; and
5. Disregards any decrease or increase in the FMV value of the Easements prior to the date of valuation caused by the public improvement for which the Easements are to be acquired, or by the likelihood that the Easements would be acquired for such public use, other than that due to physical deterioration within the reasonable control of the owner or occupant.
6. In accordance with Section 1263.025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, AKT & Vismara is entitled to seek an individual appraisal on the value the Easements and to receive reimbursement of up to \$5,000 to pay for reasonable appraisal costs. In order to be reimbursed, AKT & Vismara must sign an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement and engage an appraiser licensed by the State of California with the Office of Real Estate Appraisers. The appraiser must be either a MAI designated appraiser or hold an equivalent designation recognized by the Appraisal Foundation. For further information on the requirements for reimbursement and to ensure that AKT & Vismara is aware of proper procedures, please contact DWR prior to engaging an appraiser. The request for an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement may be submitted to:

Department of Water Resources
Real Estate Branch, Attn: Nathan Myhre
715 P Street, #5
Sacramento, California 95814
or by email to Nathan.Myhre@water.ca.gov

7. The owner of a business conducted on a property to be acquired, or conducted on the remaining property, which will be affected by the purchase of the Easements, may be entitled to compensation for the loss of goodwill. Entitlement is contingent upon the AKT & Vismara's ability to prove such loss in accordance with the provisions of Sections 1263.510 and 1263.520 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
8. If AKT & Vismara ultimately elect to reject the State's offer for the Easements, AKT & Vismara are entitled to have the amount of compensation determined by a court of law in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

Included as part of this package, you will find the following information relating to this proposed acquisition:

- Appraisal Summary Statement
- Right of Way Contract
- Map of the Proposed Easement Area
- Easement Deed

AKT & Vismara
12/23/2021

Page 3

- Comparable Sales Data Sheets
- Comparable Sales Map
- Real Estate Branch Property Acquisition Information

Please date and sign the Easement Deeds **exactly** as shown in the designated locations and have the persons who are authorized to sign on behalf of AKT & Vismara signatures **notarized**. If needed, a Public Notary can be made available to notarize the AKT & Vismara's authorized representative signatures. Also, date and sign the Right of Way Contract. The Right of Way Contract may be signed and returned electronically to me at the e-mail address below; however, the Easement Deeds require original notarized signatures and should be mailed or may be picked up by DWR. A fully executed copy of the Right of Way Contract will be forwarded to you at the close of escrow.

If you have any questions or need additional information, you may contact me directly by telephone at (916) 902-7547 or by email at Nathan.Myhre@water.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Nathan Myhre

Nathan Myhre
Associate Right of Way Agent

APPRAISAL SUMMARY STATEMENT

Parcel No.: YBSH-165
Report No.: n/a
Date of Value: October 20, 2021
County: Yolo
Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage
Owner: Tsakopoulos & Vismara
APNs: 008-010-034
Property Location: South of the Southern Pacific Rail line, north of the Tule Drain Canal, and east of the Tule Canal in the City of West Sacramento, Yolo County, California
Zoning: A-G - Agricultural General
Present Use: Irrigated Field or Row Crops, except as limited by existing Conservation Easement, Conservation Lands
Highest and Best Use: Irrigated Field or Row Crops, except as limited by existing Conservation Easement,
Proposed Public Use: Flowage Easement for adult fish passage and juvenile rearing habitat

Area and Property Right to be Acquired:

40.32± AC x 20% Rights

Area of Remainder: 40.32± AC x 80% Rights**Market Value of Required Property:**Permanent Easement:

Land: 40.32± AC x \$7,000/AC x 20% = \$56,448

Total Land \$56,448

Damages to the remaining property due to the State's acquisition are: \$ -0-

Total Acquisition \$56,448

Rounded to \$56,500

The market value of the Easement being purchased is based upon a FMV appraisal prepared in accordance with accepted appraisal procedures. Valuation of your property is based upon an analysis of recent sales of similar properties in this locality. Valuation of the land recognizes all factors influencing its current value.

Total Payment is: \$56,500

This summary of the amount offered as compensation is presented in compliance with federal and State law and has been derived from a formal appraisal. The value of any property rights retained by the owner which are not now reflected in the appraisal must be deducted from the FMV shown above.

APPRAISAL SUMMARY STATEMENT

Parcel No.: YBSH-164
Report No.: n/a
Date of Value: October 20, 2021
County: Yolo
Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage
Owner: Tsakopoulos & Vismara
APN: 014-600-012
Property Location: South of the Southern Pacific Rail line, north of the Tule Drain Canal, and east of the Tule Canal
Zoning: A-N - Agricultural Intensive
Present Use: Irrigated row crop land
Highest and Best Use: Irrigated field or row crops
Proposed Public Use: Flowage Easement for adult fish passage and juvenile rearing habitat

Area and Property Right to be Acquired:

41.48± AC x 20% Rights

Area of Remainder: 41.48± AC x 80% Rights**Market Value of Required Property:**Permanent Easement:

Land: 41.48± AC x \$7,250/AC x 20% = \$60,146

Total Land \$60,146

Damages to the remaining property due to the State's acquisition are: \$ -0-

Total Acquisition \$60,146

Rounded to \$60,200

The market value of the Easement being purchased is based upon a FMV appraisal prepared in accordance with accepted appraisal procedures. Valuation of your property is based upon an analysis of recent sales of similar properties in this locality. Valuation of the land recognizes all factors influencing its current value.

Total Payment is: \$60,200

This summary of the amount offered as compensation is presented in compliance with federal and State law and has been derived from a formal appraisal. The value of any property rights retained by the owner which are not now reflected in the appraisal must be deducted from the FMV shown above.

APPRAISAL SUMMARY STATEMENT

Parcel No.: YBSH-144
Report No.: n/a
Date of Value: October 20, 2021
County: Yolo
Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage
Owner: AKT & Vismara
APN: 014-600-076
Property Location: Southwest of Reed Avenue/I-80 Interchange, East of Tule Jake Road, Yolo County, California
Zoning: A-N - Agricultural Intensive
Present Use: Irrigated row crop land
Highest and Best Use: Irrigated row crop land
Proposed Public Use: Flowage Easement for adult fish passage and juvenile rearing habitat

Area and Property Right to be Acquired:

94.31± AC x 20% Rights

Area of Remainder: 94.31± AC x 80% Rights**Market Value of Required Property:**Permanent Easement:

Land: 94.31± AC x \$7,250/AC x 20%	=	\$136,750
	Total Land	\$136,750
Damages to the remaining property due to the State's acquisition are:		\$ -0-
	Total Acquisition	\$136,750
	Rounded to	\$137,000

The market value of the Easement being purchased is based upon a FMV appraisal prepared in accordance with accepted appraisal procedures. Valuation of your property is based upon an analysis of recent sales of similar properties in this locality. Valuation of the land recognizes all factors influencing its current value.

Total Payment is: \$137,000

This summary of the amount offered as compensation is presented in compliance with federal and State law and has been derived from a formal appraisal. The value of any property rights retained by the owner which are not now reflected in the appraisal must be deducted from the FMV shown above.

Grantors: Angelo K. Tsakopoulos Holdings, LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and Louis Vismara, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest

Project: Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage
 Parcel No.: YBSH-144, YBSH-164, and YBSH- 165

RIGHT OF WAY CONTRACT

This Right of Way Contract ("Contract") dated as of _____ (the Effective Date) is made by and between the Department of Water Resources ("DWR") of the State of California, ("STATE") and Angelo K. Tsakopoulos Holdings, LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and Louis Vismara, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest ("GRANTOR"). The Effective Date of this Contract shall be the date as signed by the Angelica Aguilar, Manager for the Real Estate Branch for the State.

In consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, this Contract is subject to the conditions set forth below and is made with reference to the following facts:

1. a. GRANTOR is the owner of fee title of those certain real properties generally located:
 - (i) south of the Southern Pacific Rail line, north of the Tule Drain Canal, and east of the Tule Canal known as Assessor's Parcel Number: (APN) 008-010-034, consisting of approximately 40.32 acres of land; and
 - (ii) north of the Southern Pacific Rail line (which is also the City of West Sacramento boundary), west of the Reclamation District #537 channel, and roughly $\frac{3}{4}$ mile south of Tule Jake Road known as APN: 014-600-012, consisting of approximately 41.48 acres of land; and
 - (iii) north of the Southern Pacific Rail line (which is also the City of West Sacramento boundary), and east of Tule Jake Road known as APN: 014-600-076, consisting of approximately 94.31 acres of land.

each together with all improvements and fixtures thereon ("collectively the Properties"); and
- b. STATE desires to purchase from GRANTOR and GRANTOR desires to sell to STATE permanent non-exclusive flowage easements ("the Easements"), via Document Nos. YBSH-144, YBSH-164, and YBSH-165 (the Easement Deeds"), covering the entirety of the Properties, known to the STATE as DWR Parcel Nos. YBSH-144, YBSH-164, and YBSH-165 and more particularly described in the Easement Deeds, which shall be executed and delivered to Nathan Myhre, Associate Right of Way Agent for the STATE.
- c. STATE requires the Easements for the purposes of seasonal floodplain fisheries rearing habitat and fish passage in the Yolo Bypass, a public use for which STATE may exercise the power of eminent domain. GRANTOR is compelled to sell, and STATE is compelled to acquire the Easements.
- d. Both GRANTOR and STATE recognize the expense, time, effort, and risk to both GRANTOR and STATE in determining the compensation for the Easements by eminent domain litigation; and the compensation set forth herein the Easements is in compromise and settlement in lieu of such litigation.
- e. The parties have herein set forth the whole of their agreement. The performance of this Contract constitutes the entire consideration for the Easements and shall relieve STATE of all further obligation or claims on this account, or on account of the location, grade, or construction of the proposed public improvement.

2. STATE shall:

- a. Pay the sum of **\$253,700** for the Easements to the following title company: First American Title Company for the account of GRANTOR, Escrow Nos. 5405-6693469 (YBSH-144), 5405-6693466 (YBSH-164), 5405-6693464 (YBSH-165) conditioned upon the Easements vesting in the STATE free and clear of all liens, leases, encumbrances, easements (recorded and/or unrecorded), assessments, and taxes, except:
 - (1) Taxes for the tax year in which this escrow closes shall be cleared and paid in the manner required by Section 5086 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, if unpaid at the close of escrow.
 - (2) Covenants, conditions, restrictions and reservations of record, or contained in the above-referenced document.
 - (3) Easements or rights of way over said land for private, public, or quasi-public utility or public purposes that are within the Easement areas, if any.
 - b. Pay all expenses incidental to and necessarily incurred for the conveyance of the Easements to the STATE, including but not limited to recording fees, title insurance charges, reconveyance fees, trustee's fees, forwarding fees, and prepayment penalties.
 - c. Have the authority to deduct and pay from the amount shown on Paragraph 2.a above, any amount necessary to satisfy any bond demands and delinquent taxes due in any year except the year in which this escrow closes, together with penalties and interest thereon, or delinquent and unpaid nondelinquent assessments which have become a lien at the close of escrow, and an amount to satisfy the requirements of Section 18662 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code.
3. Pursuant to Section 1263.025 of the Civil Code of Procedure, GRANTOR is entitled to obtain an independent appraisal and to be reimbursed for the actual reasonable cost of the appraisal up to \$5,000 if certain conditions are met. For further information on the requirements for reimbursement, GRANTOR may contact Nathan Myhre, Associate Right of Way Agent for the STATE.
 4. In accordance with Section 18662 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, GRANTOR hereby acknowledges and understands that an amount equal to 3½ percent of the purchase price in Clause 2.a above may be withheld in escrow for tax purposes.
 5. Title to the Easements shall pass immediately upon close of escrow. The issuance of any escrow instructions shall be the sole responsibility of STATE.
 6. GRANTOR warrants that there are no oral or written leases on all or any portion of the Properties exceeding a period of one month, and GRANTOR further agrees to protect, defend, indemnify, and hold harmless STATE and reimburse STATE for any and all of its losses and expenses occasioned by reason of any lease of the Properties held by any tenant of GRANTOR for a period exceeding one month, except as may be otherwise provided herein.
 7. The undersigned GRANTOR hereby agrees and consents to the dismissal of any eminent domain action in the Superior Court wherein the herein described land is included and also waives any and all claims to any money that may now be on deposit in said action.
 8. To the best of GRANTOR's knowledge and after reasonable inquiry, GRANTOR represents and warrants the following:

During the GRANTOR's ownership of the Properties, there have been no disposals, releases, or threatened releases of hazardous substances on, from, or under the Properties. GRANTOR further represents and warrants that GRANTOR has no knowledge of disposal, release, or threatened release of hazardous substances on, from, or under the Properties which may have occurred prior to GRANTOR taking title to the Properties.

There is no pending claim, lawsuit, agency proceeding, or any administrative challenge concerning the presence or use of hazardous substances on the Properties.

GRANTOR has not used the Properties for any industrial operations that use hazardous substances. GRANTOR is not aware of any such prior use of the Properties.

GRANTOR has not installed any underground storage tanks, aboveground storage tanks, barrels, sumps, impoundments, or other containers used to contain hazardous substances on any part of the Properties. GRANTOR is not aware of any such prior installations.

For the purposes of this paragraph, the term "hazardous substances" shall mean any substance which at any time shall be listed as "hazardous" or "toxic" in the regulations implementing the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) (42 USC §6901, et seq.), or other federal or State law, or any other substance, chemical, material, or waste product whose presence, nature, or quality is potentially injurious to the public health, safety, welfare, the environment, or the Properties. The term "reasonable inquiry" shall mean a thorough examination of the Properties and all records of the Properties, and any examination that GRANTOR was legally obligated to conduct as a result of any judicial or administrative order, or federal or State law.

The acquisition price of the Easements being acquired reflects the fair market value of the Properties without the presence of hazardous substances. If the Properties is found to be contaminated by a hazardous substance which may require remediation under federal or State law, STATE may elect to recover its clean-up costs from those who caused or contributed to the contamination.

9. This Contract may be modified, changed, or rescinded only by an instrument in writing executed by the parties hereto.
10. Each party agrees to execute and deliver additional documents and instruments and to take any additional actions as may be reasonably required to carry out their respective obligations under this Contract.
11. This Contract may be executed simultaneously in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
12. This Contract may be executed by electronic signature(s) and transmitted either by facsimile or in a portable document format (.pdf) version by email and such electronic signature(s) shall be deemed as original for purposes of this Contract and shall have the same force and effect as a manually executed original.
13. PHASE I – ENVIRONMENTAL Site ASSESSMENT REPORT: STATE's obligation to consummate the purchase of the Easements is subject to the completion and approval by the STATE (which completion will not be unreasonably delayed and approval will not be unreasonably withheld) of a Phase I – Environmental Site Assessment Report which concludes that the assessment has revealed no evidence of any recognized adverse environmental conditions, including but not limited to the presence of hazardous material in connection with the Properties. STATE may, at its own discretion, waive this condition in writing.

The foregoing representations and warranties shall survive the close of escrow and shall remain in full force and effect for the duration of the Easements and shall accrue for the benefit of STATE and its successors and assigns.

This Contract is subject to the approval of the State of California Department of General Services.

NO OBLIGATION OTHER THAN THOSE SET FORTH HEREIN SHALL BE RECOGNIZED.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this contract.

GRANTORS: Angelo K. Tsakopoulos Holdings, LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and Louis Vismara, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest

Dr. Loius Vismara, Owner

Date: _____

Mr. Kyriakos Tsakopoulos

Date: _____

CONSENT OF TENANTS

We, the Tenants of land described in this contract and/or said deed under lease with lessor, whose name is subscribed to this contract as Grantor, do hereby consent to the execution of said contract and agree that all money payable shall be paid to said Grantor as herein set forth.

Date: _____

Date: _____

DEPARTMENT OF WATER REOURCES
of the State of California

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

Nathan Myhre Date
Associate Right of Way Agent

Linus A. Paulus, Manager Date
Acquisition and Appraisal Section

APPROVED:

Angelica Aguilar, Manager
Real Estate Branch


Date: _____



COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY TABLE

Sale #	Location	Seller	Sale Date	Sale Price	Zoning	Size	Flood	Weighted	Price /
DC #	APN No. (s)	Buyer	Doc #		General Plan	Acres	Zone	Avg. Soils	AC
LS-1 3871	SEC of County Road 102 and 18B, Yolo County (Near Woodland), CA APNs: 027-210-025 and -023	MiCrop Limited G Estates LLC	6/11/2021 2021-0022883	\$4,200,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	325.93	Zone AE	100% Class 2	\$12,886
LS-2 6090	SEC of County Road 106 and Road 36, Yolo County (Near Davis), CA APN: 033-130-020, 033-150-025, - 042, and -043	ABS Sourthport II, LLC Purewall (50%) and Hundal (50%)	4/8/2021 2021-0013991	\$2,800,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	436.9	Zone AE	2.53	\$6,409
LS-3 6086	SEQ of Jefferson Blvd and Willow Point Road Yolo County (Near Clarksburg), CA APN: 044-040-010	Linda Elliott Kent and Holly Ramos	3/19/2020 2020-0008085	\$500,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	106.81	Zone A	48% Not Rated (Ma) 52% Class 3	\$4,681
LS-4 5872	East Side of State Highway 160, Isleton, CA APN: 157-0110-056	The Cheryl A Emmolo Grandchild GST Exempt Trust Rajni Devi	08/01/2019 2019-08010113	\$175,500	AG-20 AG-Crop	23.27	Zone AE	2.56	\$7,542
SUBJECT	N. of SPRR Line, E. of Tule Jake Road Yolo County, CA APN: 014-600-076	<i>Appraised Property</i>	---	---	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	94.31	Zone AE	100% Class 4	----

COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY MAP



DISCUSSION OF SALE COMPARABLES

This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties. The primary elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), market conditions (sale date), and physical characteristics (e.g., zoning, water availability, flooding/water issues, utility, soils, site improvements, location, access, etc.).

The quantitative adjustment process involves comparing two or more market sales to develop a value for each property characteristic to be applied to the sales for the derivation of indicated values of the larger parcel. Due to the imperfect nature of this process and lack of sufficient data, we have elected to employ a qualitative analysis process. We will analyze comparable sales and identify whether their characteristics are inferior, superior, or similar to the larger parcel. Reliable results can usually be obtained by bracketing

the larger parcel or reminder parcels between superior and inferior comparable sales. This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties. The elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), expenditures after sale, market conditions (sale date), location, and physical economic and legal characteristics.



Comparable Land Sale No. 1

This is the sale of 325.93 acres of a row crop farm located in along the southeast corner of County Road 102 and 18B, near Woodland (but in Yolo County). The property has two separate parcels that are roughly rectangular in shape. The property has level topography, is zoned as A-N for agriculture intensive, and has an agricultural general plan designation. The property is currently planted with 120 acres of alfalfa, and 60 acres of tomatoes. The

rest of the property (145.93 acres = 45%) is not planted and is considered un-farmable due to drain seepage. The property has two operating deep wells with electric motors and turbine pumps. The first well has an estimated yield of 4,000 gallons per minute, while the second well has an estimated yield of 2,000 gallons per minute. There is a lease on the farm which runs year-to-year. The property consists of 100% Class II soils most of which are silt loam, with a high boron content. The property falls within flood zone AE.

The property was listed on the market since July of 2018, and closed June of 2021 for \$4,200,000, or approximately \$12,886 per acre. According to the agent, there were no sales conditions involved with this deal and it was financed with a conventional loan.

This comparable sale is similar to the subject in-terms of location (near Woodland around the Yolo Bypass), and it is located in Flood Zone AE. This property has a slightly inferior size when compared to the subject, as well as an inferior farmable area (drain seepage portions). These inferiorities are more than offset by this property's superior soils (100% Class 2) as well as superior water abilities (two deep wells). Based on these superiorities, a value for the subject property below \$12,886 per acre is concluded.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2

This is the sale of 436.9± acres of row crop land located at the southeast quadrant and northeast quadrant of Road 106 and Road 36 in Yolo County near Davis. This property transaction involves four separate parcels, two of which (on the eastern side of the property) are bisected by a corridor that leads to the Two Drain (near the port entrance). Additionally, two parcels on the western side are bisected by distribution sized power lines (within the property bounds). The property



has roughly level topography. There was an old agricultural well on this property at the time of sale, however, the condition of the well was inoperable, as such the agent implied that a new well would need to be drilled (i.e. no water at the time of the sale). The property features 33.1% Class I soils (Brentwood Silty Clay Loam), 18.9% Class II soils (Marvin Silty Clay and Rincon Silty Clay), and 48% Class IV soils (Capay overwash, Pescadero, and Clear Lake soils). The weighted average of these irrigated soils is 2.53. It is noted that in the Yolo County Area, slow draining soils often have high boron contents (clay soils). This was also an issue on this particular sale, as the agent confirmed the boron issues of this property. This property is located in FEMA Flood Zone AE, and has significant flooding issues. There was a conservation easement over the property that prevented urban development. The listing agent reported that an extensive search was done for the typical flood easement that properties in the bypass have and no evidence of such an easement was found.

The property was listed on the market September of 2020, entered into contract roughly two months later, and sold on April 8, 2021 for \$2,800,000 or \$6,409 per acre. The listing agent reported no sales conditions or atypical financing. The incoming buyer wanted to plant an orchard, but it was considered a very risky venture considering the physical impediments.

This comparable sale is similar to the subject in-terms of location (near near/in the Yolo Bypass), and it is located in Flood Zone AE. Although this sale has superior soils (2.53 weighted average), it had high boron content in the soils which was an overall detriment for plantings. Also, this property has no water immediately available (old inoperable well). Finally, this property is larger in size when compared to the subject. Based on this discussion, a value for the subject property above \$6,409 per acre is concluded.



Comparable Land Sale No. 3

This is the sale of 106.8± acres of row crop land in Yolo County. The property transacted on March 19, 2020 for \$500,000 or \$4,682 per acre. The property was purchased by an adjacent owner, but the agent indicated that this did not have an impact on the sales price. Of the 106.8-acre property only 48.5 acres were irrigated and considered farmable, the remaining balance is covered in easements relating to the levee and is only dry farmed.

The buyer intends to lease the property to a row crop farmer. The property does have flood issues that lowered the sales price and is subject to a conservation easement that prevents urban development. The soils on the property are 55% Class III with the remaining 45% unclassified.

This comparable transaction is located in a similar area when compared to the subject, has the same zoning and general plan designation, and similar FEMA Flood Zone. This property is even similar in size when compared to the subject. However, this property has inferior soils (portions are not even considered an irrigated soils class), various flood issues, and unfarmable parts. This sale also transacted during March of 2020 which is considered to have been during slightly inferior market conditions. Based on the previous discussion, this sale is considered inferior to the subject.

Comparable Land Sale No. 4

This is a sale of 23.27 acres of land located on the east side of the State Highway 160 near Isleton (across the river from Rio Vista) in Sacramento County. This property is accessed only via an easement located on the parcel north (16983 State Highway 160). This property is a long thin strip of land that has a width of 260± linear feet. There were portions of this property that were unfarmable due to winter flooding located on the western side of the parcel, as well as two ponding areas (one in the center, and one on the east side of the parcel).



Based on aerial measurements, the portion of the property outside of the flooded areas totals 18.12 acres (78% farmable). This 18.12 acres of the property has historically been farmed to row crops, which is what the buyer also planned to do. The property reportedly had riparian rights from the Sacramento River, and water was supplied via a pump system. The

RECORDING REQUESTED BY

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Division of Engineering
Real Estate Branch
1416 9th Street, Room 425
Sacramento, CA 95814

SPACE ABOVE THE LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

APN: 014-600-076

Project Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration & Fish Passage

Parcel No. YBSH-144

EASEMENT
(TO THE STATE)

WE, ANGELO K. TSAKOPOULOS HOLDINGS LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and **LOUIS VISMARA**, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest, GRANT to the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, its successors or assigns, hereinafter called STATE, an EASEMENT and right of way, upon, over, and across that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, identified in the records of the Department of Water Resources as:

<u>DWR Parcel No.</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Estate</u>
YBSH-144	94.31 AC	Flowage Easement

Described as follows:

See EXHIBIT "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(In the event of any discrepancy between the above identification and the real property described herein, the real property described will control.)

This Easement Deed is granted effective of the State of California's acceptance of this deed, by ANGELO K. TSAKOPOULOS HOLDINGS LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and LOUIS VISMARA, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest ("Grantor") to the Department of Water Resources of the State of California, a public agency, ("Grantee"),

For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and pursuant to the laws of the State of California, Grantor grants and conveys to Grantee the perpetual right-of-way and easement in the real property ("Property") situated in the County of Yolo, State of California, more specifically described in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated by this reference, for the purposes of seasonal floodplain fisheries rearing habitat and fish passage in the Yolo Bypass.

Grantee has the right for the flowage of water over and upon the Property as may be required for the present and future permitted construction and operation of fish passage and floodplain restoration projects, including the right of access by authorized representatives of the Grantee. The flowage right includes the right to flow water and materials and by said flow erode; or place or deposit earth, debris, sediment, or other material.

The covenants, terms, conditions, and restrictions of this Easement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the parties and their respective personal representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns, and shall constitute a servitude running in perpetuity with the Property.

DRAFT

For discussion purposes only

Executed on _____

GRANTOR(S)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }

SS

County of _____

On _____, 20 ____ , before me, _____

personally appeared _____ who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

[SEAL]

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE, GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 27281)

This Is To Certify, That the State of California, grantee herein, acting by and through the Department of Water Resources, hereby accepts for public purposes the real property, or interest therein, described in the within deed and consents to the recordation thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this _____ day of _____, 20 _____

Director of Water Resources

By _____

Attorney in Fact

EXHIBIT "A"

YBSH-144


All that real property situated in a portion of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 4 East, MDM, in the unincorporated area of the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

All of that parcel of land described as Parcel 2 per that Grand Deed 2021-0005398, recorded February 10, 2021 in Official Records of said County.


Containing 94.31 acres, more or less.

KRISTOPHER KLIMA, PLS

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL MAP



AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH




A summary of the planned acquisition follows:

Item	Total
Larger Parcel	94.31± AC (4,108,143± SF)
Permanent Flowage Easement YBSH-144	94.31± AC (4,108,143± SF)
Remainder Parcel	94.31± AC (4,108,143± SF)

Source: Yolo County Assessor's Parcel Map, as well as the Plat and Legals provided by the Client.

AERIAL EXHIBIT OF PROPOSED ACQUISITION AND MODELING SUMMARY




Annual wetted-days
 Current: 56.0
 Project: 72.4
 Change: 16.4
 Average depth change: 0.6



COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY TABLE

Sale #	Location	Seller	Sale Date	Sale Price	Zoning	Size	Flood	Weighted	Price /
DC #	APN No. (s)	Buyer	Doc #		General Plan	Acres	Zone	Avg. Soils	AC
LS-1 3871	SEC of County Road 102 and 18B, Yolo County (Near Woodland), CA APNs: 027-210-025 and -023	MiCrop Limited G Estates LLC	6/11/2021 2021-0022883	\$4,200,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	325.93	Zone AE	100% Class 2	\$12,886
LS-2 6090	SEC of County Road 106 and Road 36, Yolo County (Near Davis), CA APN: 033-130-020, 033-150-025, -042, and -043	ABS Southport II, LLC Purewall (50%) and Hundal (50%)	4/8/2021 2021-0013991	\$2,800,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	436.9	Zone AE	2.53	\$6,409
LS-3 6086	SEQ of Jefferson Blvd and Willow Point Road Yolo County (Near Clarksburg), CA APN: 044-040-010	Linda Elliott Kent and Holly Ramos	3/19/2020 2020-0008085	\$500,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	106.81	Zone A	48% Not Rated (Ma) 52% Class 3	\$4,681
LS-4 5872	East Side of State Highway 160, Isleton, CA APN: 157-0110-056	The Cheryl A Emmolo Grandchild GST Exempt Trust Rajni Devi	08/01/2019 2019-08010113	\$175,500	AG-20 AG-Crop	23.27	Zone AE	2.56	\$7,542
LS-5 6160	32396 South River Road Clarksburg, CA APNs: 044-060-029 and 044-060-030	The Williams Family Trust Jack and Raida Sandra Matalka	7/3/2019 2019-0014974	\$380,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	32.3	Zone A	2.01	\$11,765
SUBJECT	N. of SPRR Line, W of Rec Dist. # 537 Channel, and ¾ Mile South of Tule Jake Road Yolo County, CA APN: 014-600-012	<i>Appraised Property</i>	---	---	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	41.48	Zone AE	100% Class 4	----

COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY MAP




DISCUSSION OF SALE COMPARABLES

This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties. The primary elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), market conditions (sale date), and physical characteristics (e.g., zoning, water availability, flooding/water issues, utility, soils, site improvements, location, access, etc.).

The quantitative adjustment process involves comparing two or more market sales to develop a value for each property characteristic to be applied to the sales for the derivation of indicated values of the larger parcel. Due to the imperfect nature of this process and lack of sufficient data, we have elected to employ

a qualitative analysis process. We will analyze comparable sales and identify whether their characteristics are inferior, superior, or similar to the larger parcel. Reliable results can usually be obtained by bracketing the larger parcel or reminder parcels between superior and inferior comparable sales. This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties. The elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), expenditures after sale, market conditions (sale date), location, and physical economic and legal characteristics.



Comparable Land Sale No. 1

This is the sale of 325.93 acres of a row crop farm located in along the southeast corner of County Road 102 and 18B, near Woodland (but in Yolo County). The property has two separate parcels that are roughly rectangular in shape. The property has level topography, is zoned as A-N for agriculture intensive, and has an agricultural general plan designation. The property is currently planted with 120 acres of alfalfa, and 60 acres of tomatoes. The rest of

the property (145.93 acres = 45%) is not planted and is considered un-farmable due to drain seepage. The property has two operating deep wells with electric motors and turbine pumps. The first well has an estimated yield of 4,000 gallons per minute, while the second well has an estimated yield of 2,000 gallons per minute. There is a lease on the farm which runs year-to-year. The property consists of 100% Class II soils most of which are silt loam, with a high boron content. The property falls within flood zone AE.

The property was listed on the market since July of 2018, and closed June of 2021 for \$4,200,000, or approximately \$12,886 per acre. According to the agent, there were no sales conditions involved with this deal and it was financed with a conventional loan.

This comparable sale is similar to the subject in-terms of location (near Woodland around the Yolo Bypass), and it is located in Flood Zone AE. This property has a drastically inferior size when compared to the subject, as well as an inferior farmable area (drain seepage portions). These inferiorities are more than offset by this property's superior soils (100% Class 2) as well as superior water abilities (two deep wells). Based on these superiorities, a value for the subject property below \$12,886 per acre is concluded.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2

This is the sale of 436.9± acres of row crop land located at the southeast quadrant and northeast quadrant of Road 106 and Road 36 in Yolo County near Davis. This property transaction involves four separate parcels, two of which (on the eastern side of the property) are bisected by a corridor that leads to the Two Drain (near the port entrance). Additionally, two parcels on the western side are bisected by distribution sized power lines (within the property bounds). The property



has roughly level topography. There was an old agricultural well on this property at the time of sale, however, the condition of the well was inoperable, as such the agent implied that a new well would need to be drilled (i.e., no water at the time of the sale). The property features 33.1% Class I soils (Brentwood Silty Clay Loam), 18.9% Class II soils (Marvin Silty Clay and Rincon Silty Clay), and 48% Class IV soils (Capay overwash, Pescadero, and Clear Lake soils). The weighted average of these irrigated soils is 2.53. It is noted that in the Yolo County Area, slow draining soils often have high boron contents (clay soils). This was also an issue on this particular sale, as the agent confirmed the boron issues of this property. This property is located in FEMA Flood Zone AE, and has significant flooding issues. There was a conservation easement over the property that prevented urban development. The listing agent reported that an extensive search was done for the typical flood easement that properties in the bypass have and no evidence of such an easement was found.

The property was listed on the market September of 2020, entered into contract roughly two months later, and sold on April 8, 2021 for \$2,800,000 or \$6,409 per acre. The listing agent reported no sales conditions or atypical financing. The incoming buyer wanted to plant an orchard, but it was considered a very risky venture considering the physical impediments.

This comparable sale is similar to the subject in-terms of location (near near/in the Yolo Bypass), and it is located in Flood Zone AE. Although this sale has superior soils (2.53 weighted average), it had high boron content in the soils which was an overall detriment for plantings. Also, this property has no water immediately available (old inoperable well). Finally, this property is drastically larger in size when compared to the subject. Based on this discussion, a value for the subject property above \$6,409 per acre is concluded.



Comparable Land Sale No. 3

This is the sale of 106.8± acres of row crop land in Yolo County. The property transacted on March 19, 2020 for \$500,000 or \$4,682 per acre. The property was purchased by an adjacent owner, but the agent indicated that this did not have an impact on the sales price. Of the 106.8-acre property only 48.5 acres were irrigated and considered farmable, the remaining balance is covered in easements relating to the levee and is only dry farmed.

The buyer intends to lease the property to a row crop farmer. The property does have flood issues that lowered the sales price and is subject to a conservation easement that prevents urban development. The soils on the property are 55% Class III with the remaining 45% unclassified.

This comparable transaction is located in a similar area when compared to the subject, has the same zoning and general plan designation, and similar FEMA Flood Zone. This property is inferior in size when compared to the subject, has inferior soils (portions are not even considered an irrigated soils class), various flood issues, and unfarmable parts. This sale also transacted during March of 2020 which is considered to have been during slightly inferior market conditions. Based on the previous discussion, this sale is considered vastly inferior to the subject.

Comparable Land Sale No. 4

This is a sale of 23.27 acres of land located on the east side of the State Highway 160 near Isleton (across the river from Rio Vista) in Sacramento County. This property is accessed only via an easement located on the parcel north (16983 State Highway 160). This property is a long thin strip of land that has a width of 260± linear feet. There were portions of this property that were unfarmable due to winter flooding located on the western side of the parcel, as well as two ponding areas (one in the center, and one on the east side of the parcel).



Based on aerial measurements, the portion of the property outside of the flooded areas totals 18.12 acres (78% farmable). This 18.12 acres of the property has historically been farmed to row crops, which is what the buyer also planned to do. The property reportedly had riparian rights from the Sacramento River, and water was supplied via a pump system. The

property had electricity plumbed in, in order to utilize the pump. The property is zoned AG-20, for agricultural properties of 20 acres in size. The property is fully in Flood Zone AE, and has 55.3% Class 2 soils, and 44.7% Class 1 soils (weighted average of 2.553). This property transacted August 1, 2019 for \$175,000 or \$7,542 per acre).

This sale is overall a similar size when compared to the subject, has similar zoning, general plan, and FEMA Flood Zones. This property is also of an irregular shape. This property has superior soils when compared to the subject (2.55 weighted average), and also has full riparian water rights. Although this sale transacted during inferior market conditions, it has a variety of previously discussed superior elements which more than offset this one inferior element. Based on the previous discussion, a value for the subject property below this sale is appropriate (or below \$7,542 per acre).



Comparable Land Sale No. 5

This is the sale of a 32.3-acre agricultural property located along the Freeport Bend on the western side of South River Road in Clarksburg. This property is very irregular in shape and the sale involves two parcels. The property has historically been farmed with row and field crops (alfalfa and tomatoes), as it was leased to a tenant farmer (year-to-year). The property has both sewer and electrical (high voltage line) easements

running along the parcel. Additionally, there is a Sprint tower located on the site which was also indicated to have provided income to the owner. The listing agent did not recall the details of this sprint lease- but indicated that it was also year-to-year (not long term). The agent indicated that there was a possibility of a home-site located on the 5-acre parcel if planned correctly. This property had riparian rights and was also located in a reclamation district. There were electrical utilities already on site in order to power the river pump/irrigation system. This property is Zoned AN (Agricultural Intensive), and has a general plan of AG (Agriculture). The property is entirely located in FEMA Flood Zone A, according to Map Panel 06113C0735G, dated Jun 18, 2010. The property is 99% Class 2 soils (Sycamore Silt Loam, Sycamore Silty Clay Loam, and Tyndall Very Fine Sandy Loam), and 1% Class 3 soils (Omni Silty Clay). Overall, this property has a weighted average soils classification of 2.01.

This property was listed on the market March 30, 2018 for \$480,000 and the listing price was lowered to \$400,000 due to inactivity. The property entered into contract June of 2019 (15 months listed), and closed July of 2019 for \$380,000 or \$11,765 per acre. The agent stated that the buyer planned on utilizing the property for row-crop farming.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Division of Engineering
Real Estate Branch
1416 9th Street, Room 425
Sacramento, CA 95814

SPACE ABOVE THE LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

APN: 014-600-012

Project Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration & Fish Passage

Parcel No. YBSH-164

EASEMENT
(TO THE STATE)

WE, ANGELO K. TSAKOPOULOS HOLDINGS LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and **LOUIS VISMARA**, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest, GRANT to the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, its successors or assigns, hereinafter called STATE, an EASEMENT and right of way, upon, over, and across that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, identified in the records of the Department of Water Resources as:

<u>DWR Parcel No.</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Estate</u>
YBSH-164	41.48 AC	Flowage Easement

Described as follows:

See EXHIBIT "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(In the event of any discrepancy between the above identification and the real property described herein, the real property described will control.)

This Easement Deed is granted effective of the State of California's acceptance of this deed, by ANGELO K. TSAKOPOULOS HOLDINGS LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and LOUIS VISMARA, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest ("Grantor") to the Department of Water Resources of the State of California, a public agency, ("Grantee"),

For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and pursuant to the laws of the State of California, Grantor grants and conveys to Grantee the perpetual right-of-way and easement in the real property ("Property") situated in the County of Yolo, State of California, more specifically described in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated by this reference, for the purposes of seasonal floodplain fisheries rearing habitat and fish passage in the Yolo Bypass.

Grantee has the right for the flowage of water over and upon the Property as may be required for the present and future permitted construction and operation of fish passage and floodplain restoration projects, including the right of access by authorized representatives of the Grantee. The flowage right includes the right to flow water and materials and by said flow erode; or place or deposit earth, debris, sediment, or other material.

The covenants, terms, conditions, and restrictions of this Easement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the parties and their respective personal representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns, and shall constitute a servitude running in perpetuity with the Property.

DRAFT

For discussion purposes only

Executed on _____

GRANTOR(S)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }

SS

County of _____

On _____, 20 ____ , before me, _____

personally appeared _____ who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

[SEAL]

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE, GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 27281)

This Is To Certify, That the State of California, grantee herein, acting by and through the Department of Water Resources, hereby accepts for public purposes the real property, or interest therein, described in the within deed and consents to the recordation thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this _____ day of _____, 20 _____

Director of Water Resources

By _____

Attorney in Fact

EXHIBIT "A"

YBSH-164


All that real property situated in a portion of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 4 East, MDM, in the unincorporated area of the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

All of that parcel of land described as Parcel 1 per that Grant Deed 2021-0005398, recorded February 10, 2021 in Official Records of said County.


Containing 41.48 acres, more or less.

KRISTOPHER KLIMA, PLS

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL MAP



AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH




A summary of the planned acquisition follows:

Item	Total
Larger Parcel	41.48± AC (1,806,868± SF)
Permanent Flowage Easement YBSH-164	41.48± AC (1,806,868± SF)
Remainder Parcel	41.48± AC (1,806,868± SF)

Source: Yolo County Assessor's Parcel Map, as well as the Plat and Legals provided by the Client.

AERIAL EXHIBIT OF PROPOSED ACQUISITION AND MODELING SUMMARY



Annual wetted-days

Current: 80.0

Project: 95.2

Change: 15.2


Average depth change: 0.7



COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY TABLE

Sale #	Location	Seller	Sale Date	Sale Price	Zoning	Size	Flood	Weighted	Price /
DC #	APN No. (s)	Buyer	Doc #		General Plan	Acres	Zone	Avg. Soils	AC
LS-1 3871	SEC of County Road 102 and 18B, Yolo County (Near Woodland), CA APNs: 027-210-025 and -023	MiCrop Limited G Estates LLC	6/11/2021 2021-0022883	\$4,200,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	325.93	Zone AE	100% Class 2	\$12,886
LS-2 6090	SEC of County Road 106 and Road 36, Yolo County (Near Davis), CA APNs: 033-130-020, 033-150-025, -042, and -043	ABS Sourthport II, LLC Purewall (50%) and Hundal (50%)	4/8/2021 2021-0013991	\$2,800,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	436.9	Zone AE	2.53	\$6,409
LS-3 6086	SEQ of Jefferson Blvd and Willow Point Road Yolo County (Near Clarksburg), CA APN: 044-040-010	Linda Elliott Kent and Holly Ramos	3/19/2020 2020-0008085	\$500,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	106.81	Zone A	48% Not Rated (Ma) 52% Class 3	\$4,681
LS-4 5872	East Side of State Highway 160, Isleton, CA APN: 157-0110-056	The Cheryl A Emmolo Grandchild GST Exempt Trust Rajni Devi	08/01/2019 2019-08010113	\$175,500	AG-20 AG-Crop	23.27	Zone AE	2.56	\$7,542
LS-5 6160	32396 South River Road Clarksburg, CA APNs: 044-060-029 and 044-060-030	The Williams Family Trust Jack and Raida Sandra Matalka	7/3/2019 2019-0014974	\$380,000	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	32.3	Zone A	2.01	\$11,765
SUBJECT	S. of the SPRR line, N. of the Tule Drain Canal, E. of Tule Canal West Sacramento, CA APN: 008-010-034	<i>Appraised Property</i>	---	---	A-N (Agricultural Intensive) AG	40.32	Zone AE	100% Class 4	----

COMPARABLE LAND SALES SUMMARY MAP



DISCUSSION OF SALE COMPARABLES

This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties. The primary elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), market conditions (sale date), and physical characteristics (e.g., zoning, water availability, flooding/water issues, utility, soils, site improvements, location, access, etc.).

The quantitative adjustment process involves comparing two or more market sales to develop a value for each property characteristic to be applied to the sales for the derivation of indicated values of the larger parcel. Due to the imperfect nature of this process and lack of sufficient data, we have elected to employ a qualitative analysis process. We will analyze comparable sales and identify whether their characteristics are inferior, superior, or similar to the larger parcel. Reliable results can usually be obtained by bracketing

the larger parcel or reminder parcels between superior and inferior comparable sales. This analysis identifies the similarities and differences between subject and comparable properties. The elements of comparison include property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale (motivation), expenditures after sale, market conditions (sale date), location, and physical economic and legal characteristics.



Comparable Land Sale No. 1

This is the sale of 325.93 acres of a row crop farm located in along the southeast corner of County Road 102 and 18B, near Woodland (but in Yolo County). The property has two separate parcels that are roughly rectangular in shape. The property has level topography, is zoned as A-N for agriculture intensive, and has an agricultural general plan designation. The property is currently planted with 120 acres of alfalfa, and 60 acres of tomatoes. The

rest of the property (145.93 acres = 45%) is not planted and is considered un-farmable due to drain seepage. The property has two operating deep wells with electric motors and turbine pumps. The first well has an estimated yield of 4,000 gallons per minute, while the second well has an estimated yield of 2,000 gallons per minute. There is a lease on the farm which runs year-to-year. The property consists of 100% Class II soils most of which are silt loam, with a high boron content. The property falls within flood zone AE.

The property was listed on the market since July of 2018, and closed June of 2021 for \$4,200,000, or approximately \$12,886 per acre. According to the agent, there were no sales conditions involved with this deal and it was financed with a conventional loan.

This comparable sale is similar to the subject in-terms of location (near Woodland around the Yolo Bypass), and it is located in Flood Zone AE. This property has a drastically inferior size when compared to the subject, as well as an inferior farmable area (drain seepage portions). These inferiorities are more than offset by this property's superior soils (100% Class 2) as well as superior water abilities (two deep wells). Based on these superiorities, a value for the subject property below \$12,886 per acre is concluded.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2

This is the sale of 436.9± acres of row crop land located at the southeast quadrant and northeast quadrant of Road 106 and Road 36 in Yolo County near Davis. This property transaction involves four separate parcels, two of which (on the eastern side of the property) are bisected by a corridor that leads to the Two Drain (near the port entrance). Additionally, two parcels on the western side are bisected by distribution sized power lines



(within the property bounds). The property has roughly level topography. There was an old agricultural well on this property at the time of sale, however, the condition of the well was inoperable, as such the agent implied that a new well would need to be drilled (i.e., no water at the time of the sale). The property features 33.1% Class I soils (Brentwood Silty Clay Loam), 18.9% Class II soils (Marvin Silty Clay and Rincon Silty Clay), and 48% Class IV soils (Capay overwash, Pescadero, and Clear Lake soils). The weighted average of these irrigated soils is 2.53. It is noted that in the Yolo County Area, slow draining soils often have high boron contents (clay soils). This was also an issue on this particular sale, as the agent confirmed the boron issues of this property. This property is located in FEMA Flood Zone AE, and has significant flooding issues. There was a conservation easement over the property that prevented urban development. The listing agent reported that an extensive search was done for the typical flood easement that properties in the bypass have and no evidence of such an easement was found.

The property was listed on the market September of 2020, entered into contract roughly two months later, and sold on April 8, 2021 for \$2,800,000 or \$6,409 per acre. The listing agent reported no sales conditions or atypical financing. The incoming buyer wanted to plant an orchard, but it was considered a very risky venture considering the physical impediments.

This comparable sale is similar to the subject in-terms of location (near near/in the Yolo Bypass), and it is located in Flood Zone AE. An additional similarity is that the property has an existing conservation easement in place. Although this sale has superior soils (2.53 weighted average), it had high boron content in the soils which was an overall detriment for plantings. An additional inferiority is that this property has no water immediately available (old inoperable well). Finally, this property is drastically larger in size when compared to the subject. Based on this discussion, a value for the subject property above \$6,409 per acre is concluded.



Comparable Land Sale No. 3

This is the sale of 106.8± acres of row crop land in Yolo County. The property transacted on March 19, 2020 for \$500,000 or \$4,682 per acre. The property was purchased by an adjacent owner, but the agent indicated that this did not have an impact on the sales price. Of the 106.8-acre property only 48.5 acres were irrigated and considered farmable, the remaining balance is covered in easements relating to the levee and is only dry farmed.

The buyer intends to lease the property to a row crop farmer. The property does have flood issues that lowered the sales price and is subject to a conservation easement that prevents urban development. The soils on the property are 55% Class III with the remaining 45% unclassified.

This comparable transaction is located in a similar area when compared to the subject, has the same zoning and general plan designation, similar FEMA Flood Zone, and even a conservation easement in place. This property is inferior in size when compared to the subject, has inferior soils (portions are not even considered an irrigated soils class), various flood issues, and unfarmable parts. This sale also transacted during March of 2020 which is considered to have been during slightly inferior market conditions. Based on the previous discussion, this sale is considered vastly inferior to the subject.

Comparable Land Sale No. 4

This is a sale of 23.27 acres of land located on the east side of the State Highway 160 near Isleton (across the river from Rio Vista) in Sacramento County. This property is accessed only via an easement located on the parcel north (16983 State Highway 160). This property is a long thin strip of land that has a width of 260± linear feet. There were portions of this property that were unfarmable due to winter flooding located on the western side of the parcel, as well as two ponding areas (one in the center, and one on the east side of the parcel). Based on aerial measurements, the portion of the property outside of the flooded areas totals 18.12 acres (78% farmable). This 18.12 acres of the property has historically been farmed to row crops, which is what the buyer also planned to do. The property reportedly had riparian rights from the Sacramento River, and water was supplied via a pump system. The



property had electricity plumbed in, in order to utilize the pump. The property is zoned AG-20, for agricultural properties of 20 acres in size. The property is fully in Flood Zone AE, and has 55.3% Class 2 soils, and 44.7% Class 1 soils (weighted average of 2.553). This property transacted August 1, 2019 for \$175,000 or \$7,542 per acre.

This sale is overall a similar size when compared to the subject, has similar zoning, general plan, and FEMA Flood Zones. This property is also of an irregular shape. This property has superior soils when compared to the subject (2.55 weighted average), and also has full riparian water rights. Although this sale transacted during inferior market conditions, it has a variety of previously discussed superior elements which more than offset this one inferior element. Based on the previous discussion, a value for the subject property below this sale is appropriate (or below \$7,542 per acre).



Comparable Land Sale No. 5

This is the sale of a 32.3-acre agricultural property located along the Freeport Bend on the western side of South River Road in Clarksburg. This property is very irregular in shape and the sale involves two parcels. The property has historically been farmed with row and field crops (alfalfa and tomatoes), as it was leased to a tenant farmer (year-to-year). The property has both sewer and electrical (high voltage line) easements running along the

parcel. Additionally, there is a Sprint tower located on the site which was also indicated to have provided income to the owner. The listing agent did not recall the details of this sprint lease- but indicated that it was also year-to-year (not long term). The agent indicated that there was a possibility of a home-site located on the 5-acre parcel if planned correctly. This property had riparian rights and was also located in a reclamation district. There were electrical utilities already on site in order to power the river pump/irrigation system. This property is Zoned AN (Agricultural Intensive), and has a general plan of AG (Agriculture). The property is entirely located in FEMA Flood Zone A, according to Map Panel 06113C0735G, dated Jun 18, 2010. The property is 99% Class 2 soils (Sycamore Silt Loam, Sycamore Silty Clay Loam, and Tyndall Very Fine Sandy Loam), and 1% Class 3 soils (Omni Silty Clay). Overall, this property has a weighted average soils classification of 2.01.

This property was listed on the market March 30, 2018 for \$480,000 and the listing price was lowered to \$400,000 due to inactivity. The property entered into contract June of 2019 (15 months listed), and closed July of 2019 for \$380,000 or \$11,765 per acre. The agent stated that the buyer planned on utilizing the property for row-crop farming.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Division of Engineering
Real Estate Branch
1416 9th Street, Room 425
Sacramento, CA 95814

SPACE ABOVE THE LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

APN: 008-010-034

Project Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat Restoration & Fish Passage

Parcel No. YBSH-165

EASEMENT
(TO THE STATE)

WE, ANGELO K. TSAKOPOULOS HOLDINGS LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and **LOUIS VISMARA**, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest, GRANT to the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, its successors or assigns, hereinafter called STATE, an EASEMENT and right of way, upon, over, and across that real property in the County of Yolo, State of California, identified in the records of the Department of Water Resources as:

<u>DWR Parcel No.</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Estate</u>
YBSH-165	40.32 AC	Flowage Easement

Described as follows:

See EXHIBIT "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(In the event of any discrepancy between the above identification and the real property described herein, the real property described will control.)

This Easement Deed is granted effective of the State of California's acceptance of this deed, by ANGELO K. TSAKOPOULOS HOLDINGS LP, a California partnership, as to an undivided 47% interest and LOUIS VISMARA, Successor Trustee of Trust "A" under the Mario Vismara and Thelma Minella Vismara Trust dated June 1976, as to an undivided 53% interest ("Grantor") to the Department of Water Resources of the State of California, a public agency, ("Grantee"),

For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and pursuant to the laws of the State of California, Grantor grants and conveys to Grantee the perpetual right-of-way and easement in the real property ("Property") situated in the County of Yolo, State of California, more specifically described in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated by this reference, for the purposes of seasonal floodplain fisheries rearing habitat and fish passage in the Yolo Bypass.

Grantee has the right for the flowage of water over and upon the Property as may be required for the present and future permitted construction and operation of fish passage and floodplain restoration projects, including the right of access by authorized representatives of the Grantee. The flowage right includes the right to flow water and materials and by said flow erode; or place or deposit earth, debris, sediment, or other material.

The covenants, terms, conditions, and restrictions of this Easement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the parties and their respective personal representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns, and shall constitute a servitude running in perpetuity with the Property.

DRAFT

For discussion purposes only

Executed on _____

GRANTOR(S)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }

SS

County of _____

On _____, 20 ____ , before me, _____

personally appeared _____ who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

[SEAL]

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE, GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 27281)

This Is To Certify, That the State of California, grantee herein, acting by and through the Department of Water Resources, hereby accepts for public purposes the real property, or interest therein, described in the within deed and consents to the recordation thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this _____ day of _____, 20 _____

Director of Water Resources

By _____

Attorney in Fact

EXHIBIT "A"

YBSH-165


All that real property situated in a portion of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 4 East, MDM, in the city of West Sacramento, County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

All that parcel of land described as Parcel 3 per that Grant Deed 2021-0005398, recorded February 10, 2021 in Official Records of said County.

Containing 40.32 acres, more or less.

KRISTOPHER KLIMA, PLS

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH




A summary of the planned acquisition follows:

Item	Total
Larger Parcel	40.32± AC (1,756,339± SF)
Permanent Flowage Easement YBSH-165	40.32± AC (1,756,339± SF)
Remainder Parcel	40.32± AC (1,756,339± SF)

Source: Yolo County Assessor's Parcel Map, as well as the Plat and Legals provided by the Client.

AERIAL EXHIBIT OF PROPOSED ACQUISITION AND MODELING SUMMARY



Annual wetted-days
 Current: 58.7
 Project: 74.6
 Change: 15.9
 Average depth change: 0.7

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Real Estate Branch Property Acquisition Information



The property acquisition information shown below is for informational purposes only. It is not intended to give a complete statement of all State or federal laws and regulations pertaining to the purchase of property for public use, the relocation assistance program, legal definitions, or to provide any form of legal advice. Property owners are encouraged to consult their own counsel for advice and guidance.

INTRODUCTION

This information has been prepared by the State of California, Department of Water Resources (DWR) for you as a property owner whose property may be affected by a proposed DWR project related to the State Water Project (SWP) or a flood control project of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (CVFPB). If you own or have a leasehold interest in property that is involved, you may have wondered what will happen. Who will contact you? How much will you be paid for the portion of your property needed for the project? Who will pay for any associated transfer or escrow fees? If, because of the project, you must move, who will pay your moving costs? If you need to find another place to live, will DWR State help you? Important questions like these require specific answers. We hope this information will answer some of your questions and present a clear picture of overall procedures.

The information below discusses many important features contained in the California [Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisitions Guidelines](#) found in Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 6, Subchapter 1 of the California Code of Regulations. It also gives general information about public acquisition of real property that should be useful to you. If you have been notified that a portion of your property will be required for a SWP or CVFPB project, it is important that you learn your rights.

If you have more questions about the acquisition of property rights for a SWP or CVFPB project, please discuss them with the DWR agent assigned to your case.

WHAT ARE THE STATE WATER PROJECT AND THE CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD AND WHY ARE THEY NECESSARY?

Historically, the Great Central Valley suffered ravaging floods, sometimes creating an inland sea that stretched from Redding in the north to Bakersfield in the south. The Reclamation Board (now the CVFPB) was created by the Legislature to provide a coordinated and balanced effort by the State to control and divert these flood waters through the construction of bypasses and levee systems on the major waterways in the Central Valley. This work continues.

California has also faced growing overall water supply deficits for many years. One of the major reasons is that about 70 percent of the state's total water flow occurs north of Sacramento during winter, while 80 percent of the water use demand is in the southern part of the state during the rest of the year. To help solve some of this problem, the SWP was initiated in 1951 to capture and transport a portion of the flood water to areas of demand. Construction of the initial facilities began in 1957, and construction of new facilities continues.

SOME GENERAL QUESTIONS

WHAT RIGHT DOES DWR HAVE TO ACQUIRE A PROPERTY?

Our Federal and State Constitutions recognize the need for public agencies to acquire private property for public use and provide appropriate safeguards to accomplish this purpose. The rights of all property owners are protected by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, the California State Constitution, and subsequent federal and state laws, most notably the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970. These laws require (among other things) that any public agency, including DWR, acquiring private property under threat of eminent domain, or "condemnation," must pay "just compensation" to a property owner for the rights acquired.

HOW MUCH OF A PROPERTY CAN DWR ACQUIRE?

DWR is prevented by law from acquiring more property (or property rights, as the case may be) than what is reasonably needed for the project. Sometimes the project may require only a temporary right on a portion of a property; sometimes, a permanent easement on a portion of a property; sometimes, the project may require total ownership of a portion of a property; and, sometimes, the project may require total ownership of an entire property.

WHO MAKES THE DECISION TO ACQUIRE A PROPERTY?

The responsibility for studying the potential sites for a project rests with a team of specially trained individuals selected to do this important job. Many months, even years, are spent in preliminary study and investigation to consider possible locations for a project. Consideration of the environmental and social effect is as much a part of location determination as engineering and cost.

Complete environmental analysis must be performed to identify sensitive habitats, cultural and archaeological resources, and endangered plant and animal species. These studies are melded with analyses and recommendations of project engineers, surveyors, real estate specialists, geologists, hydrologists, economists, planners and others to identify the best feasible location and way to build the project, with due consideration given to property lines, land uses, and ownerships. Participation by private citizens and other public agencies is actively sought during the study process so that various views can be considered. The process includes public hearings and input, which give the general public an opportunity to express their views on the locations being considered.

The final determination to proceed with a project, and the selection of the location of the project, is made by DWR after a thorough review of all of these factors.

The acquisition agent assigned the case should be able to answer any questions about the project or the selection of the property proposed to be acquired for the project.

HOW DOES DWR DETERMINE HOW MUCH IT WILL OFFER FOR A PROPERTY?

DWR performs a Fair Market Value Appraisal to determine the amount of just compensation. Appraisal and purchase of properties needed for a SWP or CVFPB projects are the responsibility of DWR as the State and public agency responsible for the project. An appraiser will inspect the property and examine all of the features which contribute to its value. Information about improvements made and any other special attributes which may increase the value of the property should be given to the appraiser to ensure a fair value is assigned. The appraiser also seeks relevant data from many other sources to compare the property to other sales of similar properties in order to form an opinion of fair market value.

DWR also provides a Relocation Assistance Program for businesses and people who are displaced because of the project.

WHAT IS "FAIR MARKET VALUE"?

The fair market value of the property acquired is the highest price on the date of valuation that would be agreed to by a seller, being willing to sell but under no particular or urgent necessity for so doing, nor obliged to sell, and a buyer, being ready, willing, and able to buy but under no particular necessity for so doing, each dealing with the other with full knowledge of all the uses and purposes for which the property is reasonably adaptable and available.

HOW DOES AN APPRAISER DETERMINE THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF A PROPERTY?

Each parcel of real estate is unique. Therefore, no single formula can be devised to appraise all properties. Factors typically considered in estimating the value of real property include, but are not limited to, zoning, highest and best use, size, shape, soil type, topography, and location.

Other areas of evaluation include:

- How it compares with similar properties in the area that have been sold recently.
- How much it would cost to reproduce the structures or facilities acquired with the land, less any depreciation.
- How much rental or other income it could produce.

DOES THE LANDOWNER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO THE APPRAISER?

Yes. The property owner will be contacted and given the opportunity to accompany the appraiser on his or her inspection of the property and may inform the appraiser of any special features which may add to the value to the property.

It is in the best interest of the property owner to provide the appraiser with all useful information in order to insure that nothing of allowable value is overlooked. If the property owner is unable to meet with the appraiser, they may wish to have a person who is familiar with the property represent them.

WHAT IS "JUST COMPENSATION"?

The fair market value of a property is generally considered to be "just compensation." "Just compensation" means not only "just" to the owner or lessee of the property, but also "just" to the public, who must pay the compensation. Please note that fair market value does not take into account intangible elements such as sentimental value (which is legally non-compensable), loss of business good will (which the business owner must assert and show), loss of potential business profits, or any special value that the property may have for the owner or DWR. DWR cannot make an offer to buy the property for more than it's worth.

IS A PROPERTY OWNER ENTITLED TO OBTAIN AN INDEPENDENT APPRAISAL?

In accordance with Section 1263.025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, if the State offers to purchase property under the threat of condemnation, the property owner is entitled to seek a second opinion on the value of the property and to receive reimbursement of up to \$5,000 to pay for reasonable appraisal costs. In order to be reimbursed, the property owner must sign an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement and engage an appraiser licensed by the State of California with the Office of Real Estate Appraisers. The appraiser must be either a MAI designated appraiser or hold an equivalent designation recognized by the Appraisal Foundation.

For further information on the requirements for reimbursement and to ensure that you are aware of proper procedures, please contact DWR prior to engaging an appraiser. The request for an Appraisal Costs Reimbursement Agreement may be submitted to the Department of Water Resources, Real Estate Branch, 1416 Ninth Street, Room 425, Sacramento, California 95814.

WILL THERE BE PAYMENT FOR ANY LOSS IN VALUE TO THE REMAINING PROPERTY?

If the purchase of only a part of the property reduces the unit value of the remaining property, payment will be made for the loss in value to the remainder. Also, if any remaining property would have little or no utility or value, DWR may offer to purchase the remaining property as an uneconomic remainder property to avoid a continuing burden of ownership.

WHEN WILL A FIRST WRITTEN OFFER BE PROVIDED?

After the appraisal is reviewed and approved by DWR, an acquisition agent will contact the property owner with a First Written Offer to purchase the property rights required for the project. Included in the offer will be an "Appraisal Summary Statement" showing the basis for the offer.

Negotiations for the purchase of the required property will not take place before this offer is made.

ARE THERE ADVANTAGES TO VOLUNTARILY SELLING PROPERTY TO DWR?

Yes. A real estate purchase by DWR is handled in the same manner as any private sale of property. However, there can be financial advantages in selling to DWR. Property owners will receive the fair market value of the property in cash. Nothing will be deducted for real estate commissions, title costs, preparation of documents, title policy or recording fees required in closing the sale. The State will pay these expenses.

Note: This does not remove the responsibility a property owner may have for existing mortgages, liens, taxes, and other such encumbrances on the property at the time of DWR's acquisition.

CAN SOMEONE REPRESENT THE PROPERTY OWNER DURING NEGOTIATIONS?

Yes. If a property owner would like to be represented during negotiations, please inform the acquisition agent assigned the case. However, DWR will not pay any representation fees or costs of negotiation.

CAN A PROPERTY OWNER KEEP AND MOVE THEIR HOUSE, BUSINESS, BUILDING AND MACHINERY, AND EQUIPMENT?

The acquisition or relocation agent assigned to acquire the necessary property rights will help determine whether a house can or should be moved to another location. In the rare case where the house is movable and the property owner wishes to make such arrangements, the State will pay the fair market value of the land required, plus the reasonable cost of moving the house. There are cases, because of age, size or condition of the house where the cost of moving it is not feasible.

If a property owner operates a business on the property acquired, they may wish to keep and move fixed machinery and equipment. You may do so if the cost (based on a moving estimate) is not greater than the value of the item. If you keep the machinery and equipment, the State can only pay the lesser of the two amounts. Additionally, as an owner of a business conducted on the property to be purchased, you may be entitled to compensation for the loss of goodwill.

If any of these concepts are applicable to your situation, they will be explained fully by the agent assigned to purchase your property.

DOES THE PROPERTY OWNER HAVE TIME TO SELECT ANOTHER HOUSE AFTER DWR ACQUIRES THE PROPERTY?

DWR starts to appraise properties early enough so that property owners have ample time to move prior to project construction. Like any other real estate transaction, it takes approximately two months or longer to close escrow after the right-of-way contract and deed have been signed. You will not be required to move until after escrow has closed and reasonable replacement housing is made available.

If the property owner does not want to buy another house right away, DWR may acquire the property, and the property owner may, depending on the construction schedule, rent the house back from DWR on a temporary basis. It is in the property owner's best interest, however, to look for new housing as soon as possible as renting from DWR cannot be guaranteed. Finding suitable housing before being required to move may minimize personal inconveniences and may help to avoid having to make a choice under pressure.

If the property owner wishes, DWR will, at no cost, provide assistance in finding a new house. Also, DWR must give the property owner at least a 90-day written notice before asking a property owner to move.

WHAT HAPPENS TO AN EXISTING LOAN ON AN ACQUIRED PROPERTY?

After DWR and the property owner have agreed upon a price, a DWR representative will contact all other parties having an interest in the property. As in any other real estate transaction, payment to satisfy outstanding loans or liens will be made during the escrow closing process.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO MY GI OR CAL-VET LOAN?

The Veterans Administration and the California Department of Veterans Affairs allow your veteran loan privileges to be transferred and to become available for coverage on another property.

A DWR representative will assist the property owner; however, it is to the owner's benefit, and their responsibility to check with the Veterans Administration or the California Department of Veterans Affairs for procedural instructions.

MUST A PROPERTY OWNER ACCEPT DWR'S OFFER?

No. The property owner is entitled to present to the State verifiable evidence as to the amount they believe to be fair market value of the property rights required for construction, and to make suggestions for changing the terms and conditions of DWR's offer. DWR will consider all evidence and suggestions, and if the information presented is justifiable; an adjustment in DWR's offer may be made.

WHAT HAPPENS IF THE PROPERTY OWNER DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DWR'S OFFER?

A property owner's rights are guaranteed by the Federal and State Constitutions and other applicable state laws. The principal right is that just compensation must be paid for the property rights required. Sometimes when private property is required for public purposes, and the property owner and DWR cannot agree on the terms of sale, the property must be acquired through an eminent domain or "condemnation" proceeding. In cases where this occurs, a decision in court may be necessary; however, it is our earnest hope to avoid such a proceeding which may include additional time and cost to all parties involved.

In order to initiate condemnation, DWR must obtain a Resolution of Necessity from a governing body. For SWP projects, the governing body is the California Water Commission, and for flood control projects it's the CVFPB. A property owner will be given an opportunity to appear before the Commission/Board to question whether public interest, necessity, planning, and location of the proposed project necessitate the acquisition of the property. Under current California law, neither the Commission nor the Board can hear or consider arguments regarding valuation; those issues must be addressed by the courts.

Upon adoption of a "Resolution of Necessity" by the Commission/Board, the appropriate condemnation suit documents will be prepared by the State (Department of Justice) and filed with the court in the county where the property is located.

It is highly recommended that you consult with your attorney regarding these legal matters.

WHAT HAPPENS IN A CONDEMNATION TRIAL?

The main purpose of the trial is to determine the amount of just compensation. Usually the trial is conducted before a judge and jury. In some cases, the judge will decide the amount of just compensation. Both the defendant (property owner) and the plaintiff (State/DWR) will have the opportunity to present evidence to the court for consideration.

It is highly recommended that you consult with your attorney regarding these legal matters.

WHO PAYS THE CONDEMNATION TRIAL COST?

The plaintiff (DWR) pays the costs of its attorney and expert witnesses (i.e., engineering and appraisal). In addition, plaintiff (DWR) will pay the jury fees and certain incidental costs of the defendant which is determined by law to be allowable costs. The fee for filing your answer with the court is an example of such costs.

IF A PROPERTY OWNER WISHES TO PROCEED WITH A TRIAL, MUST THEY HAVE AN ATTORNEY AND EXPERT WITNESSES?

Most property owners choose to be represented by an attorney, although property owners have the right to represent themselves. Property owners are encouraged to consult their own counsel for advice and guidance.

WILL A PROPERTY OWNER BE PAID ANY MOVING EXPENSES OR ANY OTHER RELOCATION ASSISTANCE BENEFITS EVEN IF THEY GO TO COURT?

A decision to go to court has no effect on a property owner's relocation assistance benefits including moving expenses. Payment of moving expenses and relocation benefits are made separately from any the condemnation action. The property owner will be provided details of additional assistance to help displaced persons, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations in finding, purchasing or renting, and moving to a new location. Please refer to Your Rights and Benefits as a Displacee under the Unformed Relocation Assistance Program brochure.

WILL A PROPERTY OWNER LOSE THEIR CURRENT PROPOSITION 13 TAX BASE?

Section 2(d) of Article XIII A of the California Constitution and Division 1, Chapter 2, [Section 68](#) of the California Revenue and Taxation Code generally provides that property tax relief shall be granted to any real property owner who acquires comparable replacement property after having been displaced by governmental acquisition or eminent domain proceedings. If the property owner has any questions concerning this issue, they are encouraged to contact the local Franchise Tax Board Office, and/or the County Assessor Office.

Note: Revenue and Taxation Code Division 1, Chapter 2, [Section 68](#) sets forth time limits that may affect your eligibility to retain your favorable current real property tax status. Please consult with a tax advisor, your local IRS office, and your attorney for information on how this and other tax laws affect you.

MUST A PROPERTY OWNER PAY CAPITAL GAINS TAX ON SALE PROCEEDS?

According to the Internal Revenue Service, it is not necessary to pay income tax or capital gains tax **if** the money received is used to buy a similar property within a limited period of time. It may be helpful to read and review [IRS Publication 544](#), Chapter 1 "Gain or Loss," which addresses "involuntary conversions" and explains how federal tax rules apply to the condemnation of real property or its sale under the threat of condemnation for public purposes. In every case, however, you should discuss your particular circumstances with your personal tax advisor, your local IRS office, and your attorney.

NOTE: This is an informational pamphlet only. It is not intended to give a complete statement of all State or federal laws and regulations pertaining to the purchase of your property for public use; the relocation assistance program; technical legal definitions; or to provide any form of legal advice. Property owners are encouraged to consult their own counsel for advice and guidance.

DEFINITIONS

The language used in relation to eminent domain proceedings may be new to you. These are some terms you may hear and their general meaning.

CONDEMNATION - The legal process by which a proceeding in eminent domain is accomplished.

PARCEL - Usually means the property that is being acquired.

FAIR MARKET VALUE - The fair market value of the property acquired is the highest price on the date of valuation that would be agreed to by a seller, being willing to sell but under no particular or urgent necessity for so doing, nor obliged to sell, and a buyer, being ready, willing, and able to buy but under no particular necessity for so doing, each dealing with the other with full knowledge of all the uses and purposes for which the property is reasonably adaptable and available.

JUST COMPENSATION - The amount of money to which a property owner is entitled under the law for the purchase or damage to the property, or for relocation expenses.

DEFENDANT - The property owner and any other persons who may have an interest in the property.

PLAINTIFF - The public agency that desires to purchase the property

COUNSEL - An attorney or attorneys.

TRIAL - The hearing of the facts from plaintiff and defendant in court, either with or without a jury.

VERDICT - The amount of compensation to be paid for the property.

ACQUIRE - To purchase.

POSSESSION - Legal control; to have the right to use, ownership.

ORDER FOR POSSESSION - The instrument allowing the State to use the property of another.

FINAL ORDER OF CONDEMNATION - The instrument which, when recorded, transfers title to public ownership.

PROPERTY - The right or interest which an individual has in land, including the rights to use or possess.


Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 7CC4FB24055E4805880A51492C8BD6BB	Status: Completed
Subject: Please DocuSign: BigNotchOfferTsakopoulosVismara.doc, 144CompSheets+Map.pdf, 144-YBSH_CP_Easeme...	
Source Envelope:	
Document Pages: 56	Signatures: 1
Certificate Pages: 2	Initials: 0
AutoNav: Enabled	Envelope Originator:
Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled	Nathan Myhre
Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)	1416 9th Street
	Sacramento, CA 95814
	Nathan.Myhre@water.ca.gov
	IP Address: 136.200.53.21

Record Tracking

Status: Original	Holder: Nathan Myhre	Location: DocuSign
12/23/2021 11:26:00 AM	Nathan.Myhre@water.ca.gov	
Security Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: StateLocal	
Storage Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: Department of Water Resources	Location: DocuSign

Signer Events

Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Nathan Myhre nathan.myhre@water.ca.gov Department of Water Resources Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)	 Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 136.200.53.21	Sent: 12/23/2021 11:30:54 AM Viewed: 12/23/2021 11:32:19 AM Signed: 12/23/2021 11:32:25 AM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:
Not Offered via DocuSign

In Person Signer Events

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp

Editor Delivery Events

Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Agent Delivery Events

Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Intermediary Delivery Events

Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Certified Delivery Events

Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

Carbon Copy Events

Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp
Linus Paulus linus.paulus@water.ca.gov Manager, Acquisition and Appraisal Section Department of Water Resources Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)		Sent: 12/23/2021 11:30:54 AM Viewed: 12/23/2021 3:26:35 PM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:
Not Offered via DocuSign

Witness Events

Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp

Notary Events

Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp

Envelope Summary Events

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	12/23/2021 11:30:54 AM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	12/23/2021 11:32:19 AM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	12/23/2021 11:32:25 AM

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Completed	Security Checked	12/23/2021 11:32:25 AM

Payment Events	Status	Timestamps
-----------------------	---------------	-------------------