

DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION

NORTHERN REGION HEADQUARTERS REDDING

6105 Airport Road Redding, CA 96002 (530) 224-2445 Website: www.fire.ca.gov



OFFICIAL RESPONSE OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION TO SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL POINTS RAISED DURING THE TIMBER HARVESTING PLAN EVALUATION PROCESS

THP NUMBER: 2-21-00032-SHA

SUBMITTER: Sierra Pacific Industries

COUNTY: Shasta

END OF PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: May 3, 2021

DATE OF OFFICIAL RESPONSE/DATE OF APPROVAL: May 4, 2021

The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection has prepared the following response to significant environmental points raised during the evaluation of the above-referenced plan. Comments made on like topics were grouped together and addressed in a single response. Where a comment raised a unique topic, a separate response is made. Remarks concerning the validity of the review process for timber operations, questions of law, or topics or concerns so remote or speculative that they could not be reasonably assessed or related to the outcome of a timber operation, have not been addressed.

Sincerely,

John Ramaley, RPF #2504 Forester III

John Kamaley

rolestel III

Cascade, Sierra & Southern Regions

cc: Unit Chief Khris Rulon, RPF Dept. of Fish & Game, Reg. 1 Water Quality, Reg. 5 Chris Riddle

COMMON FOREST PRACTICE ABBREVIATIONS

CAL FIRE	Department of Forestry & Fire	FPR	Forest Practice Rules
CAA	Protection Confidential Archaeological	LTO	Licensed Timber Operator
CESA	Addendum California Endangered Species Act	NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
CEQA	California Environmental Quality	PHI	Pre-Harvest Inspection
OLGA	Act	1111	rie-riaivest irispection
CIA	Cumulative Impacts Assessment	RPF	Registered Professional Forester
CGS	California Geological Survey	THP	Timber Harvest Plan
CSO	California Spotted Owl	USFS	United States Forest Service
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	WLPZ	Watercourse/Lake Protection Zone
DFG	Department of Fish & Game	WQ	California Regional Water Quality Control Board
DPR	Department of Pesticide Regulation	PCA	Pest Control Advisor
NSO	Northern Spotted Owl	[SIC]	Word used verbatim as originally printed in another document. May indicate a
CDFW/DFW	California Dept. of Fish & Wildlife		misspelling or uncommon word usage.
AB 32	Assembly Bill 32	ARB	Air Resources Board
NPP	Net Primary Production	BOF	Board of Forestry
NEPA	National Environ. Policy Act		A Calif. Air Pollution Control Officers Assoc.
NEP NTMP	Net Ecosystem Production NonIndust. Timb. Manag. Plan	CCR CESA	Calif. Code of Regulations
OPR	Govrn's Office of Plan. & Res.	CESA	Calif. Endangered Species Act
Pg	Petagram = 10 ¹⁵ grams		
PNW	Pacific NorthWest		
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide	PRC	Public Resources Code
CO ₂ e	Carbon Dioxide equivalent	RPA	Resource Plan, and Assess.
DBH/dbh	Diameter Breast Height	RPF	Registered Professional Forester
DFG	Calif. Department of Fish and Game	SPI	Sierra Pacific Industries
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	SYP	Sustained Yield Plan
FPA	Forest Practice Act	tC	tonnes of carbon
FPR	Forest Practice Rules	Tg	Teragram = 10 ¹² grams
GHG	Greenhouse Gas	THP	Timber Harvesting Plan
ha ⁻¹	per hectare	LBM	Live Tree Biomass
LTSY	Long Term Sustained Yield	TPZ	Timber Production Zone
m ⁻²	per square meter		U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
MAI MMBF	Mean Annual Increment	WAA	Watershed Assessment Area
MMTCO ₂ E	Million Board Feet Million Metric Tons CO ₂ equivalent	WLPZ yr ¹ per	Watercourse. & Lake Prot. Zone
WINT I OOZL	million mound rond OO2 equivalent	yı pei	you

NOTIFICATION PROCESS

In order to notify the public of the proposed timber harvesting, and to ascertain whether there are any concerns with the plan, the following actions are automatically taken on each THP submitted to CAL FIRE:

- Notice of the timber operation is sent to all adjacent landowners if the boundary is within 300 feet of the proposed harvesting, (As per 14 CCR § 1032.7(e))
- Notice of the Plan is submitted to the county clerk for posting with the other environmental notices. (14 CCR § 1032.8(a))
- Notice of the plan is posted at the Department's local office and in Cascade Area office in Redding. (14 CCR § 1032))
- Notice is posted with the Secretary for Resources in Sacramento. (14 CCR § 1032.8(c))
- Notice of the THP is sent to those organizations and individuals on the Department's current list for notification of the plans in the county. (14 CCR § 1032.9(b))
- A notice of the proposed timber operation is posted at a conspicuous location on the public road nearest the plan site. (14 CCR § 1032.7(g))

THP REVIEW PROCESS

The laws and regulations that govern the timber harvesting plan (THP) review process are found in Statute law in the form of the Forest Practice Act which is contained in the Public Resources Code (PRC), and Administrative law in the rules of the Board of Forestry (rules) which are contained in the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

The rules are lengthy in scope and detail and provide explicit instructions for permissible and prohibited actions that govern the conduct of timber operations in the field. The major categories covered by the rules include:

- *THP contents and the THP review process
- *Silvicultural methods
- *Harvesting practices and erosion control
- *Site preparation
- *Watercourse and Lake Protection
- *Hazard Reduction
- *Fire Protection
- *Forest insect and disease protection practices
- *Logging roads and landing

When a THP is submitted to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) a multidisciplinary review team conducts the first review team meeting to assess the THP. The review team normally consists of, but is not necessarily limited to, representatives of CAL FIRE, the Department of Fish and Wildlife (DFW), and the Regional

Water Quality Control Board (WQ). The California Geological Survey (CGS) also reviews THP's for indications of potential slope instability. The purpose of the first review team

meeting is to assess the logging plan and determine on a preliminary basis whether it conforms to the rules of the Board of Forestry. Additionally, questions are formulated which are to be answered by a field inspection team.

Next, a preharvest inspection (PHI) is normally conducted to examine the THP area and the logging plan. All review team members may attend, as well as other experts and agency personnel whom CAL FIRE may request. As a result of the PHI, additional recommendations may be formulated to provide greater environmental protection.

After a PHI, a second review team meeting is conducted to examine the field inspection reports and to finalize any additional recommendations or changes in the THP. The review team transmits these recommendations to the RPF, who must respond to each one. The director's representative considers public comment, the adequacy of the registered professional forester's (RPF's) response, and the recommendations of the review team chair before reaching a decision to approve or deny a THP. If a THP is approved, logging may commence. The THP is valid for up to five years, and may be extended under special circumstances for a maximum of 2 years more for a total of 7 years.

Before commencing operations, the plan submitter must notify CAL FIRE. During operations, CAL FIRE periodically inspects the logging area for THP and rule compliance. The number of the inspections will depend upon the plan size, duration, complexity, regeneration method, and the potential for impacts. The contents of the THP and the rules provide the criteria CAL FIRE inspectors use to determine compliance. While CAL FIRE cannot guarantee that a violation will not occur, it is CAL FIRE's policy to pursue vigorously the prompt and positive enforcement of the Forest Practice Act, the forest practice rules, related laws and regulations, and environmental protection measures applying to timber operations on the timberlands of the State. This enforcement policy is directed primarily at preventing and deterring forest practice violations, and secondarily at prompt and appropriate correction of violations when they occur.

The general means of enforcement of the Forest Practice Act, forest practice rules, and the other related regulations range from the use of violation notices which may require corrective actions, to criminal proceedings through the court system. Civil, administrative civil penalty, Timber operator licensing, and RPF licensing actions can also be taken.

THP review and assessment is based on the assumption that there will be no violations that will adversely affect water quality or watershed values significantly. Most forest practice violations are correctable and CAL FIRE's enforcement program seeks to assure correction. Where non-correctable violations occur, civil or criminal action may be taken against the offender. Depending on the outcome of the case and the court in which the case is heard, some sort of supplemental environmental corrective work may be required. This is intended to offset non-correctable adverse impacts. Once a THP is completed, a completion report must be submitted certifying that the area meets the requirements of the rules. CAL FIRE inspects the completed area to verify that all the rules have been followed including erosion control work.

Depending on the silvicultural system used, the stocking standards of the rules must be met immediately or in certain cases within five years. A stocking report must be filed to certify that the requirements have been met. If the stocking standards have not been met, the area must be planted annually until it is restored. If the landowner fails to restock the land, CAL FIRE may hire a contractor to complete the work and seek recovery of the cost from the landowner.

Public Comment

Public comment for this plan came in the form of an emailed letter, included for reference at the end of this document.

The following issues/concerns were raised during the public comment period and are addressed as follows:

Concern #1: As a resident living on the Hazel Creel Mainline, I would like to comment on SPI's proposed fuelbreak THP up Hazel Creek. I fully support this plan's intentions; however, I am very concerned about the abuse of Hazel Creek Road. Nick Kroencke explained to me that this is a co-op road owned by the USFS and that SPI pays fees for the use of the road therefore they have fulfilled their obligations towards maintenance of the road. That being said, SPI has logged millions of board feet up Hazel and hauled countless log trucks over the road. I refuse to buy into that cop out. The USFS has yet to haul one load past my house in the 16 years that I have lived there and the road has significantly degraded over that time. The biggest destructive event was after the Hirz/Delta firefighting effort where several tracked pieces of equipment were walked down the paved road to lowboys waiting at the County Road. There is evidence of this equipment in track marks on the pavement to this day. Each turn churned up asphalt where there are now potholes. Who was responsible for this destruction? CALFIRE? USFS? SPI? The operators? I appreciate the firefighting effort but that's no excuse for destroying a road and walking away- then putting out press releases about all the post fire road work. Now my wife can barely get down the road in our minivan. To cut to the chase, I would propose that SPI adequately repairs the road after operation and hauling and counts those fees towards their road use agreement; I know this approach is commonly used and would be worthwhile to SPI in the long run. That would be proportionally equitable and fair. As the only year round resident living up there, I feel I have done my fair share of proportional maintenance through clearing logs and rocks for everyone's accessthe industrial timber giants should do their proportional fair share. I am also willing to approach the USFS but have chosen to comment here first. Please reach out to me on how to work together on this as neighbors. Chris Riddle criddler_@yahoo.com (530)925-5886

Response #1: The Public Comment Letter was received prior to the Pre-Harvest Inspection (PHI), among other individuals, the letter was forward to the CAL FIRE Forest Practice Inspector and the RPF. The CAL FIRE Forest Practice Inspector who attended the PHI provided the below response in their PHI Report:

78. Response to any Public Comment received prior to the conclusion of the PHI, if any: On 4/7/21 I received public comment from Chris Riddle. I wanted to visit the site but could not find Riddle on the assessor parcel numbers in the area; therefore, I called Mr. Riddle. Mr. Riddle thanked me for the quick response and told me that he rents from Sandra Wheeler (APN 017-550-002), and is the only family living on the road year around. Mr. Riddle told me that road got rougher when all the equipment left after the Delta/Hertz fires of 2018 (he saw buildozer grouser marks on the pavement). I told Mr. Riddle that I would inspect the road but I was not sure what could be done; he said, just wanted to give a public comment.

On 4/8/21, the day of the Pre-Harvest Inspection; I spoke with Sierra Pacific Industry (SPI) foresters and did a site visit of the Wheeler property (road only). The road is owned by United States Forest Service (USFS) and used by the landowners in the area. SPI told me that the road used to be a rocked road and about 20 years ago SPI/USFS added crushed asphalt to the road. About 8 years ago SPI/USFS did some patch work to the road. The asphalt went from the USFS Park (Sims Flat Campground) by the Sacramento River to the Hazel Creek Bridge. I did see pot holes in some location on the road with more frequent pot holes just before getting to the Wheeler property.

The road is called USFS 37N21 Hazel Creek, this road is not appurtenant to the THP until it gets to SPI property in Section 21 (37N4W), as seen on the Appurtenant Roads Map in the THP. The Wheeler property is located in Section 20 (37N4W) to the west of the SPI property with a parcel of USFS property between SPI and Wheeler.

In my opinion, the road is better that most roads used for timber harvest hauling, with no environmental or erosion issues. SPI told me that repairs of the road will have go through the owner of the road; the USFS.

CAL FIRE regulates the harvest of timber on private lands and road use on roads located within the THP boundary which are owned by the subject timberland owner and roads considered appurtenant to the plan. Appurtenant roads *means a logging road under the ownership or control of the timber owner, timberland owner, timber operator, or plan submitter that will be used for log hauling.* (Ref. 14 CCR §895.1)

Issues regarding the use of non-appurtenant roads located outside of the THP boundary are of a Civil nature, beyond CAL FIRE jurisdiction, and must be pursued by the actual parties who have been damaged as a result of actions of another. To this end, PRC 4572 requires that all licensed timber operators (LTO's) in the state have general liability insurance before they are allowed to conduct timber operations:

4572 (A) Commercial general liability insurance for not less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage combined, including within that limit, or in a separate limit, loggers third party property damage liability.

CAL FIREs approval of a THP in no way authorizes a landowner to trespass, or cause damage to the property or resources of another person. The Department must restrict themselves to items which fall under its' jurisdiction and issues related to non-appurtenant roads are beyond our jurisdiction to control.

While it may seem harsh when a state agency advises that one's only recourse in a civil dispute is court action, it is not out of lack of concern such statements are made. CAL FIRE must regulate within their judicial bounds of authority and cannot adjudicate disputes outside such limits. The judicial system has the authority to deliver judgment regarding road use disputes and specifically exists to resolve matters such as these.

CAL FIRE has been transitioning to a transparent and easily accessible online portal for Timber Harvest Document data tracking and record retention. The new platform is called CalTREES and has a variety of user friendly functions. The new portal has a notification function for individuals and organizations who want to receive documents electronically.

Below please find the link to the website. You can either navigate from the website to Timber Harvest Documents and search as needed or scroll to the bottom of the screen and select the "Subscribe to Notifications" button to start the process to get documents for areas you specify emailed to an address of your choice.

CalTREES Portal: https://caltreesplans.resources.ca.gov/caltrees/

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Department recognizes its responsibility under the Forest Practice Act (FPA) and CEQA to determine whether environmental impacts will be significant and adverse. In the case of the management regime which is part of the THP, significant adverse impacts associated with the proposed application are not anticipated.

CAL FIRE has reviewed the potential impacts from the harvest and reviewed concerns from the public and finds that there will be no expected significant adverse environmental impacts from timber harvesting as described in the Official Response above. Mitigation measures contained in the plan and in the Forest Practice Rules adequately address potential significant adverse environmental effects.

CAL FIRE has considered all pertinent evidence and has determined that no significant adverse cumulative impacts are likely to result from implementing this THP. Pertinent evidence includes, but is not limited to the assessment done by the plan submitter in the watershed and biological assessment area and the knowledge that CAL FIRE has regarding activities that have occurred in the assessment area and surrounding areas where activities could potentially combine to create a significant cumulative impact. This determination is based on the framework provided by the FPA, CCR's, and additional mitigation measures specific to this THP.

CAL FIRE has supplemented the information contained in this THP in conformance with Title 14 CCR § 898, by considering and making known the data and reports which have been submitted from other agencies that reviewed the plan; by considering pertinent information from other timber harvesting documents including THP's, emergency notices, exemption notices, management plans, etc. and including project review documents from other non-CAL FIRE state, local and federal agencies where appropriate; by considering information from aerial photos and GIS databases and by considering information from the CAL FIRE maintained timber harvesting database; by technical knowledge of unit foresters who have reviewed numerous other timber harvesting operations; by reviewing technical publications and participating in research gathering efforts, and participating in training related to the effects of timber harvesting on forest values; by considering and making available to the RPF who prepares THP's, information submitted by the public.

CAL FIRE further finds that all pertinent issues and substantial questions raised by the public and submitted in writing are addressed in this Official Response. Copies of this response are mailed to those who submitted comments in writing with a return address.

ALL CONCERNS RAISED WERE REVIEWED AND ADDRESSED. ALONG WITH THE FRAMEWORK PROVIDED BY THE FOREST PRACTICE ACT AND THE RULES OF THE BOARD OF FORESTRY, AND THE ADDITION OF THE MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO THIS THP, THE DEPARTMENT HAS DETERMINED THAT THERE WILL BE NO SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS RESULTING FROM THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS THP.