Blue Ribbon Committee for the Rehabilitation of Clear Lake

Meeting #9
March 11, 2020
10:00AM

Welcome and Introductions
Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Discussion of Report Submittal and Implementation
- Items for Committee Approval
- Local/Committee Member Updates
- UC Davis Research Program Updates
- 2020 Workplan Review and Discussion
- Public Comment
- ADJOURN

Report Submittal and Implementation

Resources and CA State Assembly Updates
Items for Committee Approval

December Meeting Minutes
Middle Creek Letter of Support
Meeting Schedule Modification

December 11 Meeting Minutes

- Distributed to Committee members January 14
- DRAFT summary posted to Clear Lake website: https://tinyurl.com/wpk8wva
**Middle Creek Letter of Support**

- Discussed by the Committee on December 11
- Additional coordination requested between Middle Creek project team and Habematoolel Pomo of Upper Lake
- Action requested: conditional approval of Middle Creek letter pending approval of Committee branding by Resources

**2020 Meeting Schedule Modification**

- June 24 meeting tentatively rescheduled for June 18
- September 23 meeting confirmed
- December 9 meeting to be rescheduled- potential dates TBD
Purpose: developing a baseline socio-economic analysis and community and tribal engagement around strategies to improve the community vitality of the Clear Lake region
Comprehensive Strategy

1. Identifying relevant data and their sources: COMPLETE
2. Revisions to socioeconomic analysis based on BRC feedback: COMPLETE
3. Collecting, cleaning and processing data: COMPLETE
4. Data analysis – preliminary results: COMPLETE
5. Data reporting and presentation: JUNE 2020
Socio-Economic Analysis

Preliminary Results

Activities

- Data on economic, demographic, employment, business and housing variables have been collected and cleaned except for the following characteristics: commuting patterns, business size and age, business and housing loans, and wages (at county level).
- Preliminary analyses have been conducted on the data that have been collected.
- Next steps are to complete data collection and cleaning.
- Explore health related variables as requested by the BRC.

### Mean Home Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear Lake community</td>
<td>$140,737.31</td>
<td>$242,446.67</td>
<td>$164,915.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>$138,230.40</td>
<td>$265,400.00</td>
<td>$161,763.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordering Counties</td>
<td>$228,115.07</td>
<td>$402,900.00</td>
<td>$328,978.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North of Lake county</td>
<td>$163,101.40</td>
<td>$310,066.67</td>
<td>$229,965.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South of Lake county</td>
<td>$293,128.73</td>
<td>$495,733.33</td>
<td>$427,992.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Socio-Economic Analysis**

**Percent Homeowners**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Clear Lake community</th>
<th>Lake County</th>
<th>North of Lake county</th>
<th>South of Lake county</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2010</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2017</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean Gross Rent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear Lake community</td>
<td>$795.75</td>
<td>$925.20</td>
<td>$932.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>$742.20</td>
<td>$845.00</td>
<td>$812.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordering Counties</td>
<td>$855.43</td>
<td>$983.17</td>
<td>$1,025.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North of Lake county</td>
<td>$677.19</td>
<td>$815.33</td>
<td>$805.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South of Lake county</td>
<td>$1,033.67</td>
<td>$1,151.00</td>
<td>$1,244.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Socio-Economic Analysis

#### Percent Renters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Clear Lake community</th>
<th>Lake County</th>
<th>North of Lake county</th>
<th>South of Lake county</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2010</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2017</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Renter Cost Burden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear Lake community</td>
<td>43.70%</td>
<td>61.32%</td>
<td>57.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>42.16%</td>
<td>58.90%</td>
<td>55.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordering Counties</td>
<td>42.37%</td>
<td>52.39%</td>
<td>51.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North of Lake county</td>
<td>37.27%</td>
<td>49.64%</td>
<td>48.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South of Lake county</td>
<td>43.21%</td>
<td>52.81%</td>
<td>52.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Socio-Economic Analysis

Renter Cost Burden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Clear Lake community</th>
<th>Lake County</th>
<th>North of Lake county</th>
<th>South of Lake county</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-2017</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2010</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community Economic Development Strategy

1. Economic Asset Mapping: COMPLETE
2. Stakeholder Interviews: COMPLETE
3. Literature Review for Promising Practices: COMPLETE
4. Economic Development Workshops: SPRING 2020
Economic Development Workshop Activities

At each meeting, we will ask participants to respond to the following prompts:

**Icebreaker: Gidden’s Approach to Shared Meaning**
What is meaningful to you about Clear Lake? What is special about this place?

**Economic Development Charrette**
Review and respond to Eyler Report. What resonates with you? How does this reflect the industry you work in? What is important to you that may not be reflected in the report?

**Asset Mapping**
What assets can we leverage to implement recommendations in Eyler report?

Economic Development Workshop Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lakeport</th>
<th>Clearlake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino College Extension</td>
<td>Community Gathering Space TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thu. Apr. 16, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
<td>Sat. Apr. 18, 10 am – 12 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fri. Apr. 17, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
<td>Sat. Apr. 18, 2 pm – 4 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue. Apr. 21, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
<td>Sun. Apr. 19, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thu. Apr. 23, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
<td>Sat. Apr. 25, 10 am – 12 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thu. Apr. 30, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
<td>Sat. Apr. 25, 2 pm – 4 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sun. Apr. 26, 3 pm – 5 pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tribal Engagement Strategy

1. Meetings with tribal leaders: ONGOING
2. Develop prototype of socio-economic data relevant to tribal communities: SPRING 2020
3. Facilitate convening: APRIL 2020
4. UC Davis Resource Convening: FALL 2020

Tribal Engagement Approach

- Economic development strategies
- Holistic viewpoint
- Healing perspective
- Focus on youth

- Poor roads
- Difficulty getting services
- Cost of living and housing
- Need for more sheriffs
- Structural racism and marginalization
- Effect of e-gaming on economic strategy
- Local employment and training

- Air quality
- Superfund site
- Pollution from mining
- Lake as economic driver
- Forest management
- Fires/Threat of Fires and Climate Change

- Connect with UCD Native NEST
- Technical assistance (i.e., grant-writing)
- Connect with UC Extension
- Assist with campaigns on pressing challenges

Connections to the environment

Coordination of UCD resources

Identified challenges

Resources
Objectives for Community Meeting

1. Get feedback on what recommendations for Blue Ribbon Committee, what would be valuable for the Blue Ribbon Committee and the community?
2. Ask for input on strategies for fall event at UC Davis to bring students to campus

Prospective Dates
Tue. Apr. 14
Mon. Apr. 20

Next Steps
By the next Blue Ribbon Committee Meeting in June 2020, we plan to do the following:

- Share data report and presentation
- Provide draft Community Economic Development Plan
- Report back on Tribal Engagement community meetings
Questions & Discussion

Website
regionalchange.ucdavis.edu

Facebook
facebook.com/UCDavisCRC

Twitter
@RegionalChange

BREAK FOR LUNCH
2019 Report Implementation

- 2020 Workplan
- Funding Timelines
- Development of Deferred Recommendations

2020 Workplan/Timeline

- Primary focus is research-based recommendations:
  - Technical Subcommittee focus on development of a monitoring plan in early/mid 2020
  - Goal: secure funding and contracting on an upper watershed model by mid 2020
  - USGS and UC Davis TERC in conversations to assist with modeling

- Workplan development for all other 2019 recommendations in parallel to monitoring/modeling discussions
2020 Workplan/Timeline (cont.)

- Committee and its other subcommittees will also conduct short-term planning activities for smaller-scale projects:
  - Socioeconomic and Cultural/TEK Subcommittees will meet at the discretion of Committee leads

- June 18 Committee meeting used for critical status update/project check ins

- September 23 meeting used to review 2020 recommendations and refine the 2020 Annual Report

- December meeting will provide opportunity for final review of 2020 recommendations

2020 Funding Timeline

- Goal: funding availability by end of state fiscal year
- Resources and the Legislature in talks around 2020 funding
- Decision must be made in advance of Governor’s 2020 May Revise Budget
- Pass through contracting vehicles/amendments to existing contracts may be possible for modeling and monitoring recommendations
- Workplans and RFPs may need development for all other recommendations
Development of Deferred Recommendations

- Recommendations deferred for 2020 development:
  - Remote sensing analysis of nutrients and algal blooms throughout the watershed
  - Compile Clear Lake data in an accessible unified database, with database management staff
  - Analyze existing Clear Lake data

- Technical Subcommittee will develop first draft of deferred recommendations for consideration at June 18 Committee meeting

Public Comments and Questions
QUESTIONS?

Thomas Gibson
Deputy Secretary and Special Counsel for Water
Thomas.Gibson@resources.ca.gov

Dave Cepps
Managing Senior Mediator
dceppos@csus.edu

Sam Magill
Senior Mediator
s.magill@csus.edu

Sophie Carrillo-Mandel
Associate Mediator
s.carrillo-mandel@csus.edu