

From: [Mike Antos](#)
To: [CNRA Climate](#)
Subject: Comments on draft Safeguarding California
Date: Monday, June 12, 2017 11:21:38 PM

The Safeguarding California update is a very strong tool for the state, and those who assembled it should be commended. Within that context I have several suggestions.

The section entitled Vision and Organization, at the moment, doesn't contain a clearly defined and crafted Vision. The chapter instead jumps right into Organization. I have to imagine there is a strong vision at the core of this work, and I think it should be included.

CA-6 is exactly the integration effort we most need. The efforts set out in each of the following sections (starting with EM...) rarely seem to identify opportunities to collaborate from within other sections. It would be very powerful to have each recommendation could be paired with a recommendation from another section.

For instance, W-2 and L-3 are very related to one another. Calling out these linkages would be a great first step to managing these efforts together. So too W-5 and P-5/P-9 are closely connected.

Integration is itself an adaptation strategy, and CA-6 calls that out. It would be a valuable exercise to survey across the strategies for linkages between efforts in different categories. The resulting map could support stronger agency collaboration at the state scale.

Mike Antos, Ph.D.
Senior Watershed Manager
Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority
11615 Sterling Ave. Riverside, CA 92503
951.354.4238