

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CALIFORNIA CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL ENDOWMENT  
BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

**Thursday, September 27, 2007**

**10:00 A.M.**

Location: Stanley Mosk Library and Courts Building  
914 Capitol Mall, Room 500  
Sacramento, California

Members of the Board in attendance:

Ms. Susan Hildreth, Chair  
Ms. Suzanne Deal Booth  
Mr. Mike Chrisman, represented by Mr. Bryan Cash  
Ms. Georgette Imura  
Ms. Carmen Martinez  
Mr. Robert V. McDonald  
Mr. Michael Genest, represented by Mr. Vince Brown  
Ms. Betsy Reeves  
Mr. James Irvin Swinden  
Mr. Jon F. Vein

Representing the Assembly:

Assemblymember Karen Bass (also represented by Mr. Max Espinoza)  
Assemblymember Hector De La Torre

Representing the Senate:

Senator Christine Kehoe, represented by Ms. Deanna Spehn  
Senator Don Perata, represented by Mr. Greg Schmidt

Staff in attendance:

Ms. Diane Matsuda, Executive Officer  
Ms. Rachel Magana, Executive Secretary II  
Ms. Marian Moe, Deputy Attorney General

Also present:

Mr. Steve Baker, Berkeley Society for the Preservation of Traditional Music  
Ms. Jan Berckefeldt, Maybeck Foundation  
Mr. Alan Ehrgott, American River Conservancy  
Ms. Kim Giuliano, City of San Ramon  
Mr. Rich Hovden, Recreation and Parks, City of Santa Rosa  
Ms. Janice Nall, Sam Fow Chinese Community  
Ms. Diane Filippi, Urban Center for SPUR  
Mr. Mark Theisen, Redevelopment Agency, City of Santa Cruz

**1. Roll Call**

Executive Secretary Magana called the roll.

**2. Chairperson's Report**

Chair Hildreth thanked the Board for their hard work at the August 22-23 meeting, where reservation of funding was made for 41 projects and 13 planning grants.

**3. Executive Officer's Report**

Executive Officer Matsuda informed the Board of the following items:

- The amount of funding available for Round Three projects is \$44,216,821; which includes the rollovers (projects withdrawing funding from Rounds One and Two) minus the special reservation funding for potential litigation of \$600,000.
- All projects have been notified of the outstanding documents needed before they can be considered for approval of funding.
- There are three projects that are being considered or have been reserved for funding this Round regarding the acquisition of land. CCHE's authorizing legislation requires that the CCHE Board approve the terms of the contract for acquisition; thus, the documents and terms will be brought back to the Board at a later date for Board consideration and possible approval.

**4. Review of Round Two Projects**

**4.1 Applicant: Wiyot Tribe**

Staff respectfully requested that the project be removed from the agenda for consideration of funding as the City of Eureka, which serves as the lead agency for the project, has not given their final approval. Staff recommended that the project be allowed to continue until all environmental documentation is received through the lead agency.

## 5. Review of Round Three Projects (Action Items)

- 5.1: **Applicant: Tahoe Maritime Museum, Homewood, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$266,722**

Upon motion by Member McDonald, seconded by Delegate Brown, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.2: **Applicant: Sahm Fow Chinese Community, Inc., Marysville, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$331,545**

Upon motion by Member Swinden, seconded by Member Imura, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.3: **Applicant: American River Conservancy, Placerville, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$482,750**

The Board decided to defer action until the December meeting in order to research and further clarify the specific use of the funding.

- 5.4: **Applicant: The Berkeley Society for the Preservation of Traditional Music dba Freight & Salvage Coffee House, Berkeley, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$1,161,000**

Upon motion by Delegate Cash, seconded by Member Reeves, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.5: **Applicant: San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association, San Francisco, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$967,500**

Upon motion by Delegate Brown, seconded by Member McDonald, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.6: **Applicant: Zoological Society of San Diego, San Diego, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$967,500**

Upon motion by Delegate Brown, seconded by Member Imura, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.7: **Applicant: Autry National Center of the American West, Los Angeles, CA**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$560,121**

Upon motion by Member Swinden, seconded by Delegate Brown, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.8: **Applicant: California Academy of Sciences**  
**Approval of funding amount requested: \$483,750**

Executive Officer Matsuda explained that since the project involved an EIR, staff was not able to complete the necessary analysis for the entire document for this meeting. She believes that the project will be ready for Board approval at the December meeting.

- 5.9: Applicant: Kidspace: A Participatory Museum dba Kidspace Children's Museum, Pasadena, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$1,741,500**

Upon motion by Delegate Cash, seconded by Member Reeves, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.10 Applicant: San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department, San Francisco, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$1,451,250**

Upon motion by Member Imura, seconded by Member McDonald, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.11 Applicant: Parks and Community Services Department, City of San Ramon, San Ramon, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$250,223**

Upon motion by Delegate Brown, seconded by Member McDonald, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.12 Applicant: City of San Dimas, San Dimas, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$677,250**

Upon motion by Member Imura, seconded by Member McDonald, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.13 Applicant: City of Santa Rosa - Recreation and Parks Department, Santa Rosa, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$822,375**

Upon motion by Delegate Brown, seconded by Member Reeves, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.14 Applicant: Redevelopment Agency of the City of Santa Cruz  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$1,935,000**

Executive Officer Matsuda explained that since the project involved an EIR, staff was not able to complete the necessary analysis for the entire document for this meeting. She believes that the project will be ready for Board approval at the December meeting.

- 5.15 Applicant: Oakland Redevelopment Agency, Oakland, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$1,064,250**

Upon motion by Delegate Brown, seconded by Delegate Cash, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.16 Applicant: City of Los Angeles Department of Cultural Affairs, Los Angeles, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$2,418,750**

Upon motion by Member McDonald, seconded by Member Reeves, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.17 Applicant: City of Roseville, Roseville, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$725,625**

Upon motion by Delegate Cash, seconded by Delegate Brown, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.18 Applicant: City of Los Angeles, Department of Recreation and Parks, Los Angeles, CA  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$1,451,250**

Upon motion by Delegate Brown, seconded by Member Imura, the Board voted to approve the funding.

- 5.19 Applicant: Museum Associates dba Los Angeles County Museum of Art  
Approval of funding amount requested: \$483,750**

Executive Officer Matsuda explained that since the project involved an EIR, staff was not able to complete the necessary analysis for the entire document for this meeting. She believes that the project will be ready for Board approval at the December meeting.

## **6. Public Comments - none**

## **7. Board Member Comments**

Member De La Torre observed that the number of projects ready to go was impressive.

Executive Officer Matsuda remarked, in response to a question from Board Member Reeves, that projects involving land acquisition may take a lot longer than the December timeframe because of the details required by the statute.

## **8. Administrative Matters**

The 13<sup>th</sup> of December was tentatively scheduled for the next Board Meeting.

## **9. Closed Session**

The Board then met in closed session with legal counsel regarding pending litigation filed in San Francisco Superior Court, the Rural Media Arts and Education Project, pursuant to Government Code Section 11126 (e)(1).

After the Closed Session, and before adjournment, the Board reconvened into open session and then Counsel reported that they took no action.

## 10. Adjournment